# Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA) Audit Report

Juvenile Facilities				
□ <b>1</b>	Interim 🛮 Final			
	August 10, 2021			
Aud	ditor Information			
Name: Sharon Pette	Email: sharon@rapidesi.com			
Company Name: Effective System Innovation	ons, LLC			
Mailing Address: P.O. Box 134	City, State, Zip: Tarrytown, NY 10593			
<b>Telephone:</b> 212-677-5093	Date of Facility Visit: April 26, 2021			
Ago	ency Information			
Name of Agency: Howard Center	Governing Authority or Parent Agency: Howard Center contracted by State of VT DCF			
<b>Physical Address:</b> 208 Flynn Avenue, Suite 3				
Mailing Address: SAME AS ABOVE	City, State, Zip: SAME			
<b>Telephone:</b> 802-488-6000	Is Agency accredited by any organization?  ☐ Yes ⊠ No			
<b>The Agency Is:</b> ☐ Military	☐ Private for Profit ☐ Private not for Profit			
☐ Municipal ☐ County	☐ State ☐ Federal			
<b>Agency mission:</b> Howard Center improves the well-being of children, adults, families, and communities. We provide: Support and treatment for children, families, and individuals; Flexible and prompt crisis response; Mental health counseling, Substance abuse treatment; and Intensive services leading to successful community living for people with mental illness, people with developmental disabilities, and children experiencing serious emotional disturbance. We promote: Prevention, early intervention, and community education and understanding; Innovative partnerships with consumers, providers, schools payers, businesses, local communities, and staff; and a workplace that supports professional standards, leadership development, and the needs of employees.				
<b>Agency Website with PREA Information:</b> 1	http://www.howardcenter.org/Safe-Environment-Standards			
Agency (	Chief Executive Officer			
Name: Bob Bick	Title: Chief Executive Officer			
Email: bobb@howardcenter.org	<b>Telephone:</b> 802-488-6125			
Agency-W	Vide PREA Coordinator			
Name: Dave Kronoff	<b>Title:</b> Privacy Officer, PREA Coordinator, Health Informatics Regulatory Specialist			

Page 1 of 124 PREA Audit Report Howard Center: Transition House

Email: davek@howardcenter.org		Telephone: 80	02-488-6915	
PREA Coordinator Reports to:		Number of Compliance Managers who report to the		
Director of Information Management and Compli		PREA Coordina	tor 2	
Fac	ility In	formation		
Name of Facility: Howard Center: Transi	tion Hou	ıse ("T-House")		
<b>Physical Address:</b> 39 Lincoln Street Esse	x Juncti	on, VT 05451		
Mailing Address (if different than above):	SAME	AS ABOVE		
<b>Telephone Number:</b> 802-488-6702				
The Facility Is: ☐ Military		☐ Private for I	Profit	☐ Private not for Profit
☐ Municipal ☐ County		□ State		☐ Federal
Facility Type:	□ Con	rection	□ Intake	
<b>Facility Mission:</b> The Transition house (also know aged youth operated by the Howard Center. Our misskills and support systems to be safe and productive	ssion is to member	support youth wis of their commun	th a history of at-ri ities and families.	sk behavior to build the
Facility Website with PREA Information: ht	tp://www	w.howardcenter.o	rg/Safe-Environm	nent-Standards
Is this facility accredited by any other organiza	ation?	☐ Yes   ⊠ No		
Facility Adm	inistra	tor/Superinter	ndent	
Name: Beth Holden, MS LADC LCMCH	Title	e: Director of l	Home and Comm	unity Services
Email: Bethh@howardcenter.org	Tele	<b>phone:</b> 802-4	88-6617	
Facility PRI	EA Cor	npliance Man	ager	
Name: Maisha McCormick	Title	e: Program Dir	rector and PREA	Compliance Manager
Email: mmccormick@howardcenter.org	Tele	<b>phone:</b> 802-	488-6617	
Facility Heal	lth Ser	vice Administ	rator	
Name: N/A	Title	e: N/A		
Email: N/A	Tele	phone: N/A		
Facili	ity Cha	racteristics		
<b>Designated Facility Capacity:</b> 4	Cur	rent Population	of Facility: 4	
Number of residents admitted to facility during	g the pa	st 12 months	6 youth between March 2021	March 2020 through
Number of residents admitted to facility during whose length of stay in the facility was for 10 d	_			6
Number of residents admitted to facility during whose length of stay in the facility was for 72 h	g the pa	st 12 months		6

Number of residents on date of audit who were	admitted to facility		0	
prior to August 20, 2012:	15 22			
Age Range of Population: Licensed for		T		
Average length of stay or time under supervision	n:	9-12	months	
Facility Security Level:		Community Residential – youth are free to come and go based on program level		
Resident Custody Levels:		State of Vermont DCF custody and voluntary placement		
Number of staff currently employed by the facil contact with residents:	ity who may have		15	
Number of staff hired by the facility during the may have contact with residents:	past 12 months who		8	
Number of contracts in the past 12 months for s contractors who may have contact with resident			currently have any actors	
P	hysical Plant			
Number of Buildings: 1	Number of Single C bedrooms	ell Housing Units:	4 individual	
Number of Multiple Occupancy Cell Housing U	0			
Number of Open Bay/Dorm Housing Units:		0		
Number of Segregation Cells (Administrative and Disciplinary:			0	
Description of any video or electronic monitoring technology (including any relevant information about where cameras are placed, where the control room is, retention of video, etc.):  Transition house has 14 high-definition cameras which record to an on-site hard drive with a minimum retention schedule of 6 months. There are two video monitors on which staff can see live action of the residents.  Additionally, the T House has an alarm system that chimes to alert staff when any window or door is opened in the building.				
	Medical			
Type of Medical Facility:  No onsite medical Facility:			facility at T-House	
Forensic sexual assault medical exams are cond	ucted at:	University of Vern	nont Medical Center	
	Other			
Number of volunteers and individual contractor currently authorized to enter the facility:	rs, who may have conta	act with residents,	0	
Number of investigators the agency currently ensexual abuse:	nploys to investigate a	llegations of	1 assigned DCF investigator	

#### **Audit Narrative**

The State of Vermont Administration of Human Services, Department for Children and Families (AHS DCF) contracted with an independent auditor, Sharon Pette of Effective System Innovations (ESI) on September 2016 to conduct the government mandated PREA audits. The purpose of these audits were to determine the degree of compliance with the federal Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA) standards. The Howard Center Woodside Transition House was among the contracted programs required to undergo an audit. This audit is the third PREA audit the Transition House (often referred to as "T-House") has undergone (previous audits were conducted in 2015 and 2017). However, it is important to note that the T-House program is in a different facility than when the initial audit was conducted. This audit report details information obtained from the third PREA audit, the onsite portion of which was conducted on April 26, 2021.

Six weeks in advance of the audit, several posters were hung throughout the facility announcing the upcoming audit. These posters explained the purpose of the audit and provided youth and staff with the auditor's contact information. More specifically, notification fliers were posted on the client activity board, the client weekly schedule board, the PREA information board, and on the doors of both staff offices (one on the first floor and one on the second floor). Pictures were sent to the auditor verifying the posters were hung consistent with DOJ auditing expectations. One month before the onsite review the PREA auditor held a conference call with the Transition House Program Director/PREA Compliance Manager and the Howard Center Agency PREA Coordinator to discuss expectations and to answer any questions they had.

A comprehensive evaluation of agency policies, facility procedures, program documents, and other relevant materials was conducted prior to the on-site visit.

A few weeks prior to the onsite review, the Agency PREA Coordinator and Transition House PREA Compliance Manager submitted supporting documents for each of the standards to the auditor. A comprehensive evaluation of agency policies, facility procedures, agency and facility mission statements, schematic (layout of facility), daily population reports (1st, 10th and 20th day of the month for past 12 months), and other relevant materials was conducted prior to the onsite visit. At the auditor's request and to better ensure confidentiality, no investigative reports or vulnerability risk tools were sent prior to the onsite visit. Instead, these files were reviewed while onsite and sent to the auditor following the onsite review. These more sensitive files were redacted and scanned during the auditor's onsite visit and uploaded directly to the PRC paper Online Audit System. As required, the auditor also uploaded interview notes, staff file reviews, and other important auditing information to the paper OAS.

The onsite portion of the audit spanned one nine-hour day on April 26, 2021. It is important to note that due to the national COVID-19 health pandemic and to reduce risk of exposure (resulting from long periods of time onsite), DOJ has permitted auditors to conduct remote interviews with agency leaders and higher-level facility managers. Therefore, some interviews were conducted in late March 2021 prior to the onsite visit. During the onsite review the auditor conducted an extensive facility tour which included visual inspection of the one residential building that comprises the Transition House program. During the tour the auditor gathered relevant information about programming, supervision, treatment philosophy and approach, and daily operations through conversations with PREA Compliance Manager,

Mr. Andrew Decker. More information about the facility and treatment programming relevant to PREA standards is provided in the body of this report.

During the audit, the auditor conducted interviews with facility managers, agency leadership, staff, an intern, UVMMC hospital Clinical Coordinator, and youth. The requisite interviews were conducted consistent with DOJ expectations in content and approach, as well as the method for selecting staff to be interviewed (i.e., Facility Director, Facility PREA Compliance Manager, specialized staff, random staff, youth, etc.). The auditor used a list of staff who have contact with resident (titles and by shifts) as well as a list of current residents and youth discharged in past 12 months to conduct file review. At the time of the onsite review, there were four youth in the program. Three of these youth were available to be interviewed during the onsite visit. There was one youth who identified as LGBTQI; no low cognitive functioning youth; no youth with physical disabilities; and no English as a Second Language (ESL) youth. Due to the Covid-19 pandemic, the DOJ has allowed agency leaders and program managers to be interviewed remotely. The auditor conducted these reviews five weeks in advance of the onsite visit. Interviews with Residential Counselors and youth were conducted in person on April 26, 2021. A total of 23 interviews were conducted. More specifically, the audit process included interviews with:

- The Howard Center Executive Director
- The Agency PREA Coordinator
- The Director of Human Resources
- The Howard Center Manager of Employee Relations and Training
- The Director of Home and Community Services
- The Director of Information Management and Compliance
- The Transition House Program Director (who leads investigation for youth-to-youth sexual harassment allegations)
- The Transition House Team Lead who also serves as the programs PREA Compliance Manager
- The Case Manager
- Mental Health Clinician (full-time)
- Seven direct care staff (five full-time and two part-time Residential Counselors)
- One clinical intern (Bachelor's level social worker)
- Three youth residents (there were three youth available at the time of the onsite visit)
- The State of Vermont Residential Licensing Special Investigations Unit (RLSI) Investigator assigned to the Transition House Program.
- Clinical Coordinator of the Forensic Nursing Program University of Vermont Medical Center (UVMMC)

In addition, the audit process involved reviewing 10 youth files - all youth currently in the program (N=4) and all youth discharged from Transition House in the past 12 months (April 2020 through March 2021; N=6). File audits involved reviewing paper files as well as information documented in the Howard Center's electronic medical record. Additionally, the auditor reviewed all reports of sexual harassment and sexual abuse occurring 12 months prior to the review. There were two allegations of youth-to-youth sexual abuse contact (one was substantiated, one was unsubstantiated) and two allegations of youth-to-youth sexual harassment (both unsubstantiated).

As part of the file review process the auditor also reviewed all training records for current staff (N=15) working at the Transition House and one intern. In addition, all Howard Center employee personnel records were also reviewed to determine whether requisite criminal background checks were conducted consistent with PREA standards. The Transition House has two interns but does not currently have any contractors or volunteers working in the program.

Throughout the audit review process, as well as in the debriefing meeting, agency and program leadership were made aware of next steps. The conversation included, but was not limited to, describing expectations for 30 days following the onsite visit and reminding leadership of the federal requirement that the final PREA audit report must be made publicly available. A one hour-debriefing meeting was held remotely approximately two weeks following the onsite visit to summarize preliminary audit findings. Participants included the Transition House Program Director, Transition House Team Lead/PREA Compliance Manager, the Agency PREA Coordinator, State of VT DCF Juvenile Justice Director, and State of VT RLSI Investigator, etc. The auditor provided feedback regarding Transition House program's strengths and corrective action needed. It is important to note that the Transition House program was compliant with the vast majority of federal PREA standards, including demonstrating evidence for "exceeds" expectations on three (3) of the standards. The specific breakdown is provided in the "Summary of Audit Findings" section of this report.

For the purposes of clarity, the auditor reminds the audience that although the State of Vermont Agency of Human Services, Department for Children and Families (AHS DCF) contracts with the Howard Center to provide residential treatment services to youth in the T-House program, for the purposes of the audit, the "agency" is considered Howard Center. This ensures consistency in the interpretation and application of the PREA standards.

## **Facility Characteristics**

The Howard Center Transition House or "T-House" is operated by a private not-for-profit agency, the Howard Center. As previously mentioned, the State of Vermont Agency of Human Services, Department for Children and Families (AHS DCF) contracts with the Howard Center to provide residential treatment services to youth. The Howard Center's mission is "to improve the well-being of children, adults, families and communities." This is accomplished by providing support and treatment to children, families and individuals that include, but are not limited to, prevention, early intervention and community education services.

The Transition House is a community-based residential program located in Essex Junction, Vermont. The Transition House serves male youth between the ages of 15 and 22 who are in the custody of the Commissioner of the Department for Children and Families or have signed a Voluntary Services Agreement with the department beyond their 18<sup>th</sup> birthday. The program also works with clients referred from the VT Department of Mental Health. These clients are typically in the custody of their parents, or their own guardianship post 18 years of age. That said, the program primarily serves youth transitioning out of another residential treatment facility with the goal of transitioning to independent living in the community or reunifying youth with their families. The primary goal of the program is to promote and support successful transition for youth back into their communities. Up until late 2020, the majority of residents were accepted into the Transition House after they have successfully completed treatment at a

longer term and higher security program (the Woodside Juvenile Rehabilitation Center (WJRC) which was operated by the State of Vermont and which closed late in 2020). It is also common for the Transition House to receive referrals from other Howard Center programs as well as staff secure residential programs/agencies in other states.

The mission of Transition House is "...to support youth with a history of at-risk behavior to build the skills and support systems to be safe and productive members of their communities and families" (Transition House Staff Handbook, page 2). The Transition House employs a clinical approach to serving youth, with a focus on promoting skill development related to the management of high risk, criminal, substance abuse, and sexually harmful behaviors. Program youth participate in a house meeting once per quarter to discuss what is going well and get feedback from peers. This group is led by the Transition House Clinician and the Team Lead. Youth are provided ongoing PREA education at least once a year during this quarterly house meeting. All youth are required to meet with the Transition House Clinician for individual counseling session on a weekly basis. Since the primary goal of the Transition House is to provide young people with the opportunity to develop independent living skills all youth are required to have a job, attend school or participate in community service/volunteer activities on a daily basis.

The Transition House has the physical capacity to serve four youth. At the time of the on-site audit there were four youth in the program, although only three youth were available to be interviewed (one youth was on a home visit). Over the past 13 months the average number of youth served was three, although the population ranged from three to four during the period of March 2020 through March 2021. At the time of the on-site review, there were no youth who qualify as "targeted" interviews.

The Transition House is located in a community residential setting and is not enclosed by a perimeter fence. The program is comprised of two dwellings: A main house and an independent living apartment that is connected to the main house. The main two-story house has three bedrooms and two staff offices which are located on the second floor. The first floor of the residence also includes a living room area which opens to the dining area, a kitchen, and a small recreation room. There is a basement that can be accessed through the kitchen and which is locked at all times (unless staff and residents are downstairs). The basement has a large recreation area, a bathroom, washer and dryer area, and two storage rooms. The independent living apartment is reserved for older youth who have demonstrated stable responsible behavior. This apartment shares an adjoining door in the kitchen that is locked and alarmed from the main house side. The independent living apartment has a bedroom area for one youth and a private bathroom for which the youth is responsible for maintaining. For safety reasons, the youth residing in this apartment do not have keys. Rather, the youth who resides in the single independent living apartment must ask staff to unlock his apartment to gain access. Clients who reside in the single apartment can submit applications to secure access to a key. Youth who have earned this privilege must communicate to staff when they are leaving and entering the apartment. The key only provides that youth access to the single apartment.

All Transition House youth sleep in individual bedrooms. All windows and doors (i.e. bedroom, bathroom, offices, front and side doors) are alarmed with a high-pitched chime during the day that is triggered when opened more than one-third of the way. At night, the external doors are armed and if security is breached, an alarm sounds to alert staff. A monitoring device is mounted in the staff office indicates which doors or windows are opened throughout the main house and in the independent living

apartment. The entire facility is housed with 14 surveillance cameras throughout the main dwelling, including two cameras that captures the outside front porch and several cameras in the basement. Three of the cameras (two outside and one in the recreation room) were installed following the previous PREA audit (in 2017). There are two monitors that displays live feed from all 14 cameras – one in a staff office located on the second floor and another downstairs in the reading room/recreation area.

Since the goal of the Transition House is to assist young men in becoming positive contributing members of society through independent living skills, youth within the program earn additional privileges as the successfully move through treatment. Youth who actively participate in the treatment program, demonstrate responsibility, have stable behavior, and are engaged in healthy community-based activities may apply to earn different independent opportunities in the community. Some of these include unsupervised 15-minute walks or an hour of unsupervised time in the community. Youth must applies for these extra privileges. The application includes identifying risks, coping strategies, and support needed from adults. More information about youth supervision at Transition House is provided in other areas of this report (i.e., Standard 115.313).

The Transition House program employs 15 staff (fulltime and parttime) including the Program Director and Team Lead, a full-time Clinician, a Case Manager, eight Residential Counselors (full-time and parttime direct care staff), and two clinical interns.

#### **Summary of Audit Findings**

The onsite audit provided significant evidence that Howard Center has a solid infrastructure that supports effective organizational functioning. Numerous policies and legal documents exist that support the agency's dedication to zero tolerance and effective crisis response. This includes an agency policy that specifically addresses PREA and provides valuable information about how to respond to incidents of sexual abuse, the agency grievance process and other important PREA related information.

The Howard Center infrastructure includes a high-level manager, the Howard Center Compliance Officer, who is responsible for ensuring agency compliance with all state and federal regulations. This individual's work is further supported by a formal committee, the Corporate Compliance Committee, which oversees and monitors agency compliance in all areas (i.e. agency policies, licensing regulations, etc.). Additionally, the Howard Center infrastructure includes an incident review system that requires agency leadership to review all critical incidents to determine contributing factors and develop plans to mitigate future risk. This level of review ensures agency leaders are connected to program operations; that issues are addressed immediately and appropriately; and feedback and guidance is provided to programs to prevent future incidents.

The success of any initiative depends on a variety of factors and requires support from executive level managers. Interviews with several agency leaders in the Howard Center organization reveal Howard Center is committed to keeping youth safe and free from sexual abuse and harassment. The Howard Center Executive Director, Mr. Bob Bick, explained that following the last PREA audit in 2017, the agency decided to install cameras in another Howard Center program to better ensure youth and staff safety. He also reported that he strives to ensure that all youth (i.e., English Limited Proficiency, cognitive functioning, cultural backgrounds, etc.) are afforded the same rights and protections as other

individuals. He explained that the agency dedicates extensive resources to providing translation services, security upgrades, and taking the proper precautions to ensuring youth safety (i.e., placing staff on paid administrative leave if necessary). Other agency leaders, including the Agency PREA Coordinator, shared similar perspectives on the importance of closely aligning agency and program practices with PREA standards. The Director of Information Management and Compliance as well as the Director of Home and Community Services also provided several examples of how the agency demonstrates their commitment to preventing, detecting, and responding to sexual harassment and sexual abuse.

Information gathered from program staff and youth provides evidence that there is solid leadership at the Howard Center Transition Center. Interviews with program staff verified that the Program Supervisor, Ms. Maisha McCormick and Team Lead, Mr. Andrew Decker, are well-respected by staff. Ms. McCormick has been in her current position approximately three years. Staff reported she is professional, dedicated to keeping youth safe, and committed to helping program residents prepare for the future. It was confirmed through observations and interviews that both Ms. McCormick and Mr. Decker support their staff through regular team meetings and making themselves available to staff seven days a week. During the onsite audit, youth interviews confirmed that all youth understand their right to be free from abuse and harassment and understood how to make a report if they were being abused (e.g., including telling a staff member, contacting their parents, lawyer, or DCF social worker). Transition House policy prohibits two youth being left alone without a staff member. Youth confirmed that they are always with staff and are not alone with other residents. Similarly, staff clearly understood their first responder duties and knew what they needed to do in the event a youth alleged sexual abuse.

Observations during the onsite audit allow the auditor to conclude that the majority of federal PREA requirements are thoroughly embedded in the program's daily operations. Since Transition House's initial PREA audit in July 2015 and since its previous audit in 2017, the facility has remained fully committed to mitigating the risk of sexual abuse and sexual harassment. Ms. McCormick continues to demonstrate a deep understanding of the federal requirements and has successfully operationalized these principles at the Transition House.

As previously mentioned, approximately two weeks following the onsite visit the auditor held a debriefing meeting with leaders from Howard Center, Transition House, and State of VT DCF to review the preliminary audit findings. A formal interim report was issued within 30 days of the onsite visit. The report detailed specific action to be taken to achieve 100% compliance with DOJ PREA standards. It is important to note that the Transition House was compliant with the majority of federal PREA standards, including demonstrating evidence for "exceeds" expectations on three (3) of the standards. The specific breakdown is provided below for reference.

Audit Determination	Number of Standards PRIOR To Corrective Action Period	Number of Standards FINAL
Exceeds Standard	3 (311, 331, and 332)	3
Meets Standard	31 (312, 315, 316, 318, 321, 322, 334, 335, 351, 353, 354, 361, 362, 363, 364, 365, 366, 368, 371, 372, 376, 377, 378, 381, 382, 383, 387, 388, 389, 401, and 403)	40
Does Not Meet Standard	9 (313, 317, 333, 341, 342, 352, 367, 373, and 386)	0

For the purposes of clarity, the auditor reminds the audience that although the State of Vermont Agency of Human Services, Department for Children and Families (AHS DCF) contracts with the Howard Center to provide residential treatment services to youth at the Transition House Program, for the purposes of this audit the "agency" is considered Howard Center. This ensures consistency in the interpretation and application of the PREA standards.

It is important to note that the intention of this report is to provide the reader with a summary of audit findings and highlight some examples of evidence supporting these findings. The narrative in this report is <u>not</u> an "all inclusive" list of the evidence needed to sufficiently meet PREA standards. However, for each standard that was successfully met, interviews, observations, and review of additional documents during the onsite visit verified that practices employed by the Howard Center Transition House program are consistent with agency policies and federal PREA expectations.

#### PREVENTION PLANNING

#### Standard 115.311: Zero tolerance of sexual abuse and sexual harassment; PREA coordinator

1	1	_	2	11	(a	1
	•	Э.	7		(a	

- Does the agency have a written policy mandating zero tolerance toward all forms of sexual abuse and sexual harassment? 

  Yes 

  No
- Does the written policy outline the agency's approach to preventing, detecting, and responding to sexual abuse and sexual harassment? 

  Yes □ No

#### 115.311 (b)

- Has the agency employed or designated an agency-wide PREA Coordinator?  $\boxtimes$  Yes  $\square$  No
- Is the PREA Coordinator position in the upper-level of the agency hierarchy?  $\boxtimes$  Yes  $\square$  No
- Does the PREA Coordinator have sufficient time and authority to develop, implement, and oversee agency efforts to comply with the PREA standards in all of its facilities?  $\boxtimes$  Yes  $\square$  No

#### 115.311 (c)

•		agency operates more than one facility, has each facility designated a PREA compliance er? (N/A if agency operates only one facility.) $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No $\square$ NA
•	facility	he PREA compliance manager have sufficient time and authority to coordinate the r's efforts to comply with the PREA standards? (N/A if agency operates only one facility.) $\square$ No $\square$ NA
Audito	or Over	rall Compliance Determination
	$\boxtimes$	Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
		<b>Meets Standard</b> (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
		Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

#### Evidence Used in Compliance Determination:

- Agency Personnel Policies 237. Violence Prevention and Weapon-Free Workplace Policy
- Agency Personnel Policies 218. Harassment
- Agency's Code of Ethics 2.05 Sexual Harassment in the Operations Manual
- Agency's Policy Prohibiting Physical, Emotional, and Sexual Abuse and Sexual Harassment of Clients in the Operations Manual
- Agency's Policies and Protocols Addressing the Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA) in the Operations Manual
- Organizational and Facility Charts showing Agency PREA Coordinator and Transition House PREA Compliance Manager
- Program Director/Facility Compliance Manager job description
- Agency PREA Coordinator job description
- Agency PREA Coordinator is listed on the Howard Center Safe Environment Standards web page
- Interview with Facility PREA Compliance Manager
- Interview with Transition House Program Director
- Interview with Agency PREA Coordinator
- Facility Audit Tour

The Howard Center has several agency policies that set forth clear expectations regarding zero tolerance for all forms of sexual abuse and sexual harassment. The agency's Policy 237 titled, "Violence Prevention and Weapon-Free Workplace Policy" clearly states, "Howard Center has adopted a zero-tolerance policy toward workplace violence." The policy defines harassment as "...any act or gesture intended to harass or intimidate another person, any act or gesture likely to damage personal or agency property, or any act or gesture likely to leave another person injured or fearing injury. This may include oral or written statements, gestures, or expressions that communicate a direct or indirect threat of physical harm to person or property." The agency's policy, "Policies and Protocols Addressing the Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA)" provides specific definitions for resident-on-resident sexual abuse, sexual contact, sexually abusive penetration and sexual harassment. This PREA policy also

provides definitions for staff, contractor, and/or volunteer abuse and harassment to youth consistent with PREA standards. Interviews with the Howard Center CEO, the Agency PREA Coordinator, Transition House Program Director, direct care staff, and other agency and facility leaders provided evidence that all staff understand the zero-tolerance policy; that they are all mandatory reporters; how to report abuse; and take the youth and staff safety seriously.

Similar information is also described in the Howard Center Policy 218 "Harassment" which states, "All persons associated with the Agency including, but not limited to, the Board of Trustees, the administration, the employees, volunteers and interns are expected to conduct themselves at all times to provide an atmosphere free from harassment and to refrain from engaging in prohibited harassment. Any such person who engages in any form of harassment during or after work hours on or off Agency premises, while connected in any way with the Agency, will be in violation of the policy and will be subject to appropriate discipline up to and including dismissal if warranted."

In addition to the policies referenced above, the zero-tolerance expectation is further supported by the Howard Center PREA policy: "Policies and Protocols Addressing the Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA)." This policy provides information around strategies the program will employ to reduce and prevent incidents of sexual abuse and harassment. Examples include: Escorting staff members, volunteers, or contractors who have been accused of sexual abuse immediately out of the facility and conducting unannounced rounds to deter abuse and harassment. Information obtained during the onsite review verified the zero tolerance "tone" which permeates the facility Supportive evidence gathered during the facility tour includes a zero-tolerance bulletin board, the youth handbook, and youth testimonials.

The Howard Center philosophy and commitment to zero tolerance is further supported by state regulations. The State of Vermont AHS Residential Licensing and Special Investigations Unit (RLSIU) is responsible for licensing all community residential facilities serving children in Vermont. State regulations prohibit residential programs from hiring or continuing to employ any person substantiated for child abuse or neglect ("State of Vermont Department for Youth and Families: Licensing Regulations for Residential Treatment Programs in Vermont," Standard 402). In addition, regulations require all residential treatment programs to have written policies and procedures for the orientation of new staff to the program and must include "...child/youth grievance process...policies regarding zero-tolerance for sexual abuse, procedures for reporting suspected incidents of child abuse and neglect, etc." ("State of Vermont, DCF Licensing Regulations for Residential Treatment Programs," Standard 414). The Transition House program is required to undergo a licensing process every two years.

The Howard Center agency has a designated Agency PREA Coordinator, Mr. Dave Kronoff. Interviews indicate he has a clear understanding of his role as it relates to PREA and has sufficient time and authority to develop, implement, and oversee agency efforts to comply with federal PREA standards. The Agency PREA Coordinator position appears in the Howard Center organizational chart and is available on the agency's public website.

Similarly, the Transition House has a designated PREA Compliance Manager, Mr. Andrew Decker, who is responsible for ensuring facility compliance with these federal standards. Although Mr. Decker is also the Team Lead, interviews and observations indicate he has sufficient time to perform the PREA-related job responsibilities. Several factors play into this determination including: Transition House is a small

facility (maximum capacity of four youth) making it less cumbersome to implement changes; The Program Director, Ms. McCormick, is fully committed to ensuring youth are safe and successful; and Mr. Decker and Ms. McCormick work as a team and have been provided the authority and autonomy by Howard Center leadership to make decisions that directly impact the Transition House program.

In further support of compliance with this standard the job description for the Transition House Team Lead includes specific job responsibilities related to PREA. The job description states that the Facility PREA Compliance Manager must: "Serve as the facility's primary contact for PREA. Promote a culture of zero tolerance for sexual abuse, sexual assault, sexual misconduct and sexual harassment at the facility. Be a source of information on PREA for residents and facility staff. Ensures all facility staff, contractors, interns, and volunteers complete all required PREA related training and follow agency PREA related policies and procedures. Provides feedback on the agency's PREA related policies and procedures. Working with the PREA Coordinator and agency soutcome staff ensures the collection and reporting of PREA information. Works with the PREA Coordinator and agency and facility staff to correct identified PREA concerns. Manage the facility's PREA grievance process. Work with agency and outside parties to ensure all allegations of sexual abuse are fully investigated."

Similarly, the Howard Center Agency PREA Coordinator job description also includes job specific responsibilities related to PREA. The agency description outlines the Agency PREA Coordinator responsibilities as: "serves as the agency's primary contact and point person on PREA and is a resource for management on PREA related inquires and procedural questions. Creates, updates, trains, and oversees the implementation of PREA related policies and procedures to comply with all PREA standards and audit requirements. Works with each facility's PREA Compliance Manager to ensure compliance is met at each facility. Creates corrective action plans as needed. Participates in investigations of sexual assaults and oversees the submission of formal reports to the State and Federal governments. Provide support and guidance to HR and the facility PREA Compliance Manager to address sexual harassment allegations. Along with the PREA Compliance Managers, work collaboratively with community partners and other stakeholders to ensure victim and offender care and treatment. Oversee the training and the development of educational materials used to educate staff and clients about PREA and related issues."

Additional evidence that Howard Center and the Transition House program have a solid infrastructure to support PREA, is found in the Transition House organizational chart. The agency and program level charts indicate the job titles "Transition House PREA Compliance Manager" and "Howard Center PREA Coordinator." Interviews with the PREA Compliance Manager and Agency PREA Coordinator support they have enough time and authority to perform PREA related responsibilities. Additionally, the Howard Center Executive Director articulated during his interview that keeping youth safe while in the care of Howard Center is a top agency priority.

The fact that PREA related duties are included in job descriptions coupled with the previously described evidence, allows the auditor to conclude that Transition House has exceeded this PREA standard.

# Standard 115.312: Contracting with other entities for the confinement of residents 115.312 (a) If this agency is public and it contracts for the confinement of its residents with private agencies or other entities including other government agencies, has the agency included the entity's obligation to adopt and comply with the PREA standards in any new contract or contract renewal signed on or after August 20, 2012? (N/A if the agency does not contract with private agencies or other entities for the confinement of residents.) $\square$ Yes $\square$ No $\boxtimes$ NA 115.312 (b) Does any new contract or contract renewal signed on or after August 20, 2012 provide for agency contract monitoring to ensure that the contractor is complying with the PREA standards? (N/A if the agency does not contract with private agencies or other entities for the confinement of residents OR the response to 115.312 (a)-1 is "NO".) ☐ Yes ☐ No **Auditor Overall Compliance Determination Exceeds Standard** (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards) $\times$ Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the *standard for the relevant review period*) **Does Not Meet Standard** (Requires Corrective Action) The Howard Center does not contract with private entities for the confinement of youth. Although the State of Vermont Department for Children and Families contracts with the Howard Center to provide residential treatment services for youth in the Transition House program, for the purposes of this report the Howard Center is considered the "agency." Therefore, this standard is N/A and defaults to a "Meets Standard" determination. Standard 115.313: Supervision and monitoring 115.313 (a) Does the agency ensure that each facility has developed a staffing plan that provides for adequate levels of staffing and, where applicable, video monitoring, to protect residents against sexual abuse? ⊠ Yes □ No Does the agency ensure that each facility has implemented a staffing plan that provides for adequate levels of staffing and, where applicable, video monitoring, to protect residents against

Does the agency ensure that each facility has documented a staffing plan that provides for adequate levels of staffing and, where applicable, video monitoring, to protect residents against

sexual abuse? ⊠ Yes □ No

sexual abuse? ⊠ Yes □ No.

•	Does the agency ensure that each facility's staffing plan takes into consideration the 11 criteria below in calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring: The prevalence of substantiated and unsubstantiated incidents of sexual abuse? ⊠ Yes □ No
	Does the agency ensure that each facility's staffing plan takes into consideration the 11 criteria below in calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring: Generally accepted juvenile detention and correctional/secure residential practices?  ⊠ Yes □ No
•	Does the agency ensure that each facility's staffing plan takes into consideration the 11 criteria below in calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring: Any judicial findings of inadequacy? ⊠ Yes □ No
•	Does the agency ensure that each facility's staffing plan takes into consideration the 11 criteria below in calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring: Any findings of inadequacy from Federal investigative agencies? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No
•	Does the agency ensure that each facility's staffing plan takes into consideration the 11 criteria below in calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring: Any findings of inadequacy from internal or external oversight bodies? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No
•	Does the agency ensure that each facility's staffing plan takes into consideration the 11 criteria below in calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring: All components of the facility's physical plant (including "blind-spots" or areas where staff or residents may be isolated)? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No
•	Does the agency ensure that each facility's staffing plan takes into consideration the 11 criteria below in calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring: The composition of the resident population? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No
•	Does the agency ensure that each facility's staffing plan takes into consideration the 11 criteria below in calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring: The number and placement of supervisory staff? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No
•	Does the agency ensure that each facility's staffing plan takes into consideration the 11 criteria below in calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring: Institution programs occurring on a particular shift? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No
•	Does the agency ensure that each facility's staffing plan takes into consideration the 11 criteria below in calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring: Any applicable State or local laws, regulations, or standards? ⊠ Yes □ No

<ul> <li>Does the agency ensure that each facility's staffing plan takes into consideration the 11 criteria below in calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring: Any other relevant factors?</li></ul>
115.313 (b)
■ Does the agency comply with the staffing plan except during limited and discrete exigent circumstances?   Yes □ No
■ In circumstances where the staffing plan is not complied with, does the facility document all deviations from the plan? (N/A if no deviations from staffing plan.) □ Yes □ No ⋈ NA
115.313 (c)
<ul> <li>Does the facility maintain staff ratios of a minimum of 1:8 during resident waking hours, except during limited and discrete exigent circumstances? (N/A only until October 1, 2017.)</li> <li>☑ Yes □ No □ NA</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Does the facility maintain staff ratios of a minimum of 1:16 during resident sleeping hours, except during limited and discrete exigent circumstances? (N/A only until October 1, 2017.)</li> <li>         ⊠ Yes □ No □ NA     </li> </ul>
■ Does the facility fully document any limited and discrete exigent circumstances during which the facility did not maintain staff ratios? (N/A only until October 1, 2017.)   Yes □ No □ NA
■ Does the facility ensure only security staff are included when calculating these ratios? (N/A only until October 1, 2017.) ⊠ Yes □ No □ NA
■ Is the facility obligated by law, regulation, or judicial consent decree to maintain the staffing ratios set forth in this paragraph?   ✓ Yes   No
115.313 (d)
■ In the past 12 months, has the facility, in consultation with the agency PREA Coordinator, assessed, determined, and documented whether adjustments are needed to: The staffing plan established pursuant to paragraph (a) of this section?   Yes □ No
■ In the past 12 months, has the facility, in consultation with the agency PREA Coordinator, assessed, determined, and documented whether adjustments are needed to: Prevailing staffing patterns? ⊠ Yes □ No
In the past 12 months, has the facility, in consultation with the agency PREA Coordinator, assessed, determined, and documented whether adjustments are needed to: The facility's deployment of video monitoring systems and other monitoring technologies?   ✓ Yes ✓ No

•	assess	past 12 months, has the facility, in consultation with the agency PREA Coordinator, ed, determined, and documented whether adjustments are needed to: The resources the
	facility	y has available to commit to ensure adherence to the staffing plan? ⊠ Yes □ No
115.31	3 (e)	
•	superv	he facility implemented a policy and practice of having intermediate-level or higher-level visors conduct and document unannounced rounds to identify and deter staff sexual abuse exual harassment? (N/A for non-secure facilities) $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No $\square$ NA
•		policy and practice implemented for night shifts as well as day shifts? (N/A for non-secure ies) $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No $\square$ NA
•	superv	the facility have a policy prohibiting staff from alerting other staff members that these visory rounds are occurring, unless such announcement is related to the legitimate ional functions of the facility? (N/A for non-secure facilities) $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No $\square$ NA
Audit	or Ove	rall Compliance Determination
		Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
	$\boxtimes$	<b>Meets Standard</b> (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
		Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)
п . 1		

#### Evidence Used in Compliance Determination:

- Agency's Policies and Protocols Addressing the Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA) in the Operation Manual
- Transition House Staff Handbook
- Transition House Policy 4.0 "Staffing Needs and Monitoring Staffing Plans"
- Transition House Policy 4.0 "Emergency Procedures"
- State of VT Residential Treatment 1:1 Staffing Funding Request Form
- Unannounced rounds log
- Facility schematic/layout
- Facility staffing schedules
- RLSI licensing report verifying Transition House is in compliance with State of VT youth to staff ratios of 1:4
- Documentation of Annual Staffing Plan review covering all areas required by PREA
- Interview with Program Director/PREA Compliance Manager
- Interview with Agency PREA Coordinator
- Interviews with intermediate and high-level staff who conduct unannounced rounds
- Observations during facility tour

Currently, the Transition House exceeds PREA staffing ratios which require a minimum staff-to-youth ratio of 1:4 at all times. The Transition House Staff Handbook states, "The T House is staffed at 1:4 staff-to-client ratio at all times. Because of our program's individualized and independent, our staffing pattern increases to a ratio of 1:2 and in some cases 1:1 in order to support and supervise community-based activities." Review of the staff schedule, interviews with youth and staff, as well as auditor observations while onsite verified this staff to youth ratio is maintained.

Youth and staff interviews revealed the Transition House has a 1:2:1 direct care staff shift pattern. Monday through Friday the program has one staff member on shift from 7 AM to 2 PM; two staff on shift from 2 PM to 10 PM; and one staff member on shift (10 PM to 7 AM). The Program Supervisor, Clinician, Case Manager and the Team Lead work Monday through Friday. The Transition House program does not deviate from their staffing pattern. The Home and Community Services Program Managers and Supervisors all rotate back up coverage for the Transition House on a weekly basis. In the event of a staffing shortage to emergency or staff illness, the Director on call is responsible for arranging coverage and/or responding to the program in person.

The purpose of the Transition House is to prepare youth for transition back into the community. For this reason, youth earn increasingly more responsibility and independence as they progress through the program. It is important that the reader understand that accompanying this increased independence is a decrease in staff supervision of youth. The program uses a system called Collaborative and Proactive Solutions which allows youth to earn privileges while ensuring youth safety. Details are provided in the Transition House Staff Handbook.

The Transition House defines supervision as "the act of overseeing and managing a client or student in a household, school or community setting" (page 17). The Transition House Staff Handbook reminds staff that supervision is a staff responsibility and that supervision does not solely mean the act of being physically present. The handbook further explains, "Good supervision, a key to successful programming, is the participation in the client's interactions. It is proactive rather than reactive. That is, a staff person who is adequately supervising a client in the grocery store should be able to help that client avoid a tricky situation because they are engaged in the shopping with the client rather than simply going through the motions beside them" (page 17).

The Transition House Staff Handbook describes several types of supervision while youth are in the house (i.e. Arm's length; Direct; Whereabouts in the House; Spot Checks, etc.). Staff are required to know where youth are at all times (in the house and in the community). Clients are allowed to ask staff for unsupervised time within the house but are never allowed in one another's bedrooms. The staff handbook clearly dictates, "...if two clients are upstairs, their bedroom doors should be closed and they are not hanging out in the hallway or in each other's rooms" (pages 18 and 19). The verbal expectation set by the Program Director in team meetings and through coaching is that staff periodically check on all youth throughout their shift.

The Howard Center PREA policy support provisions in this standard by specifically addressing supervision of youth, minimum staffing requirements, unannounced rounds, and requiring all programs to have a local staffing plan. This policy also requires facilities to review their staffing plan at least annually to ensure staffing and supervision is adequate. In addition, the Transition House Policy 3.6 "Staffing Needs and Monitoring of Staffing Plans" and policy 4.0 "Emergency Procedures" both state T-

House will review their staffing plan at least annually to ensure staffing and supervision is adequate. Review of annual staffing plan review minutes from February 2020 and February 2021 were reviewed, verifying this formal review occurs a minimum of annually.

Due to the nature of the program youth supervision is not equal to that needed in more secure juvenile justice facilities. However, youth and staff interviews confirmed that staff checked on them periodically throughout their shift and that staff are expected to have "eyes on ears on" during waking and bedtime hours. Night staff are expected to be in the staff office located on the second floor and to remain aware of youth whereabouts throughout the night (i.e. listening for door chimes which would indicate a door has been opened). While night staff are permitted to sleep while on shift, they are also required to conduct bed checks at least three times per night. Bed checks require staff opening the youth's bedroom door and viewing the youth from the doorway. Staff are required to document any issues in the youth's electronic health record. As previously mentioned, all Transition House windows and doors (except the staff office) are armed with a high-pitched chime that sounds when opened (at night if the alarm is tripped there is a loud siren that rings out). The front and back doors are also alarmed.

As part of the Transition House response protocol and to ensure the program maintains the required staff-to-youth ratio, if there is a crisis (i.e., transporting a youth to the hospital or one-on-one supervision for suicide watch), on-call staff are contacted. On-call staff are required to respond within one hour. The Transition House maintains a minimum of one to four staff-to-youth ratio and has an extensive surveillance monitoring system. Youth and staff interviews and auditor observations while on site verified youth-to-staff ratios are maintained.

The Transition House program has 14 cameras strategically placed throughout the three floors of the residence, as well cameras monitoring the outside of the building. The facility tour did not reveal any blind spots in the residential home. The Transition House Program Director has access to the T-House video footage and is automatically recorded and stored for up to 6 months. Although these cameras are not monitored 24/7 (there is no "crow's nest" or full-time staff who is responsible for viewing live feed), the Program Director and staff can view all rooms in the building from the two monitors placed monitor located in her office (in the administration building).

As previously described in the "Facility Characteristics" section of this report, the Transition House is a two-story residence with a basement (total of three floors). Due to the nature of the program – focusing on youth earning increasingly more independence - youth are permitted to be upstairs at the same time but are not allowed in the bedroom of another resident. Computer monitors on the first and second floors allow staff to track youth whereabouts. The Program Director or Mental Health Clinician offices are located on the second floor, allowing another layer of youth supervision. If youth are in the basement staff are required to be with youth. The expectation is that staff are "eyes on, ears on" with new youth in the program and as youth earn trust, staff will graduate to knowing where youth are in the house (with requisite periodic check-ins).

The Howard Center "Policies and Protocols for Addressing the Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA)" requires a practice of unannounced management rounds. The policy states, "Each facility will implement a practice of intermediate or higher level staff conducting unannounced rounds for all shifts. Staff will not be alerted to an impending round unless it may interfere with the operation of the facility." In addition, the Transition House Staff Handbook states, "Announced 'rounds' for all shifts will occur at

least 4 times per year to ensure that all program and agency policies and procedures are being followed. This means that a program leader will conduct random checks of all shifts at random. This information will be documented in program's supervisory files in compliance with PREA expectations. Program leadership should make every effort to ensure that staff are unaware of visits, and staff members may not alert colleagues to unannounced visits." These rounds are recorded in an unannounced rounds log. The unannounced rounds log requires the manager to document specific observations such as: Were staff ratios consistent with policy expectations? Were all doors shut and locked? Were there any high-risk behaviors, situations, or activities observed? The auditor applauds the program for being specific and guiding staff on what to look for during these important rounds.

During the onsite review of the "Unannounced Rounds Log" revealed the Program Director and Team Lead conduct rounds on the weekdays as well as the weekends. Over a 12-month period, a total of 10 rounds were conducted by designated managers. However, five out of 12 months only had one round conducted and four months had no rounds conducted and/or recorded (March 2020, April 2020, May 2020, and January 2021). Since DOJ requires more than one round to be conducted monthly, the Transition House was not in compliance with provisions in this standard. In addition, the auditor also noted that several of the unannounced log entries did not include the time at which the rounds were conducted. Therefore, the auditor could not make a firm determination that these rounds were conducted across all shifts.

The auditor reviewed detailed minutes from the past two Transition House Annual Staffing Plan review which were held on 2/10/2020 and 2/23/2021. These discussions addressed all areas required by the provisions of this standard.

#### **Corrective Action:**

• Transition House is required to increase the number of rounds per month (minimum of two) to include ensure these checks occur across all shifts. It is important that the persons conducting the rounds clearly document the time at which the round was conducted. The program is required to submit 4 months of logs to demonstrate the program has institutionalized this practice.

During the corrective action period, the program submitted four months of unannounced rounds logs to the auditor for review (May, June, July and August 2021) and as evidence to support this new practice has been institutionalized. Each month between two and four rounds were conducted. The auditor verified that these rounds covered all shifts and weekdays and weekends. The auditor has determined the program is now in compliance with provisions in this standard.

# Standard 115.315: Limits to cross-gender viewing and searches

The Transition House program prohibits pat-down searches and strip searches.

115.315 (a)	
<ul> <li>Does the facility always refrain from conducting any cross-gender strip or cross-gender visual body cavity searches, except in exigent circumstances or by medical practitioners?</li> <li></li></ul>	
115.315 (b)	
<ul> <li>Does the facility always refrain from conducting cross-gender pat-down searches in non-exigent circumstances?</li></ul>	-
115.315 (c)	
■ Does the facility document and justify all cross-gender strip searches and cross-gender visual body cavity searches? ☐ Yes ☒ No The Transition House does not conduct pat-down searches or strip searches	
■ Does the facility document all cross-gender pat-down searches? ☐ Yes ☒ No	
115.315 (d)	
■ Does the facility implement policies and procedures that enable residents to shower, perform bodily functions, and change clothing without nonmedical staff of the opposite gender viewing their breasts, buttocks, or genitalia, except in exigent circumstances or when such viewing is incidental to routine cell checks? ⊠ Yes □ No	
■ Does the facility require staff of the opposite gender to announce their presence when entering a resident housing unit?   Yes □ No	
■ In facilities (such as group homes) that do not contain discrete housing units, does the facility require staff of the opposite gender to announce their presence when entering an area where residents are likely to be showering, performing bodily functions, or changing clothing? (N/A for facilities with discrete housing units) □ Yes □ No ⋈ NA	r
115.315 (e)	
■ Does the facility always refrain from searching or physically examining transgender or intersex residents for the sole purpose of determining the resident's genital status? ⊠ Yes □ No	

•	conver	sident's genital status is unknown, does the facility determine genital status during sations with the resident, by reviewing medical records, or, if necessary, by learning that ation as part of a broader medical examination conducted in private by a medical ioner?   Yes  No
115.31	5 (f)	
•	a profe securit	he facility/agency train security staff in how to conduct cross-gender pat down searches in essional and respectful manner, and in the least intrusive manner possible, consistent with y needs?   Yes No The Transition House does not conduct pat-down searches p searches
•	interse	he facility/agency train security staff in how to conduct searches of transgender and x residents in a professional and respectful manner, and in the least intrusive manner le, consistent with security needs? $\square$ Yes $\boxtimes$ No
Audito	or Over	rall Compliance Determination
		Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)  Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)

Evidence Used in Compliance Determination:

- Transition House Staff Handbook
- Transition House Policy 4.1 "Policy on Client Searches"
- State of Vermont DCF Residential Licensing Standard 727

**Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

- Interviews with random direct care staff across all shifts
- Interviews with random sample of youth
- Observations during facility tour

The Transition House program does not conduct cross-gender strip searches or cross-gender visual body cavity searches (meaning a search of the anal or genital opening). The Transition House Staff Handbook upholds, "The Transition House does not complete ANY physical searches (including strip searches, visual body cavity searches, and pat down searches) at any time for any reason. If a staff person has reason to believe that a client has contraband upon returning to the program, staff should ask the client to wait in supervision until the staff person can call the paging system (outlined later in the document) in order to consult and plan. If there is a safety concern where a staff has reason to believe that a resident may have contraband hidden on their body which will pose a risk of harm to themselves or others, then the police may be contacted to conduct a physical search of the resident." Youth and staff interviews revealed that this policy is closely followed - the program does not conduct any pat frisk or strip searches. As the handbook describes, the program does conduct periodic pocket checks in which youth are asked to empty their pockets when returning from the community. In addition, room searches are conducted, although staff and youth reported these were not done frequently or consistently. The T-

House also has Policy 4.1 "Policy on Client Searches" that clearly states that staff are forbidden to conduct pat down and physical searches of youth. Youth and staff interviews verified there is no physical contact between staff and youth or between youth.

Youth residing in the Transition House have privacy when using the bathroom and when changing their clothes. The main house has two bathrooms - one in the basement and one on the second floor (in addition to the independent apartment which has its own bathroom). Only one youth is permitted to be in the bathroom at any given time and the door must be closed. The Transition House Staff Handbook states, "Clients have the right to privacy in their bedrooms and the bathroom; Clients have the right to have undisturbed time for themselves in their rooms, as well as the right to shower, change and use the bathroom without another resident or staff seeing them" (page 19). In addition, the handbook requires staff to knock prior to entering a client's bedroom or bathroom and wait for a response prior to entering. This requirement to knock and announce is an expectation for all staff, regardless if staff are male or female. The State of Vermont DCF Residential Licensing requirements further support compliance with part (d) of this standard. State regulations dictate, "...a residential treatment program shall provide toilets and baths or showers which allow for individual privacy unless a child/youth requires assistance" (Standard 727). Youth interviews confirmed that youth have privacy when showering, toileting, and changing clothes. Additionally, the program has a "Staff On" bulletin board that hangs in the hallway on the second floor. This information board allows youth to see who is on shift for the day and over the course of the upcoming month.

# Standard 115.316: Residents with disabilities and residents who are limited English proficient

#### 115.316 (a)

•	Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that residents with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: Residents who are deaf or hard of hearing? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No
•	Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that residents with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: Residents who are blind or have low vision? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No
•	Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that residents with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: Residents who have intellectual disabilities? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No
•	Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that residents with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: Residents who have psychiatric disabilities?   Yes  No

■ Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that residents with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: Residents who have speech disabilities?   Yes □ No
<ul> <li>Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that residents with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: Other? (if "other," please explain in overall determination notes.) ⋈ Yes □ No</li> <li>Do such steps include, when necessary, ensuring effective communication with residents who are deaf or hard of hearing? ⋈ Yes □ No</li> </ul>
■ Do such steps include, when necessary, providing access to interpreters who can interpret effectively, accurately, and impartially, both receptively and expressively, using any necessary specialized vocabulary? ⊠ Yes □ No
■ Does the agency ensure that written materials are provided in formats or through methods that ensure effective communication with residents with disabilities including residents who: Have intellectual disabilities? ⊠ Yes □ No
■ Does the agency ensure that written materials are provided in formats or through methods that ensure effective communication with residents with disabilities including residents who: Have limited reading skills?   Yes □ No
■ Does the agency ensure that written materials are provided in formats or through methods that ensure effective communication with residents with disabilities including residents who: Are blind or have low vision? ⊠ Yes □ No
115.316 (b)
■ Does the agency take reasonable steps to ensure meaningful access to all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment to residents who are limited English proficient? ⊠ Yes □ No
<ul> <li>Do these steps include providing interpreters who can interpret effectively, accurately, and impartially, both receptively and expressively, using any necessary specialized vocabulary?</li> <li>☑ Yes □ No</li> </ul>
115.316 (c)

Does the agency always refrain from relying on resident interpreters, resident readers, or other types of resident assistants except in limited circumstances where an extended delay in obtaining

Howard Center: Transition House

an effective interpreter could compromise the resident's safety, the perform	nance of first-response
duties under §115.364, or the investigation of the resident's allegations?	⊠ Yes □ No

# **Auditor Overall Compliance Determination**

	Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
$\boxtimes$	Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the
	standard for the relevant review period)
	<b>Does Not Meet Standard</b> (Requires Corrective Action)

Evidence Used in Compliance Determination:

- Transition House Staff Handbook
- Agency's Policy on Accessibility in the Operations Manual
- Agency Policy for Providing Communication Assistance for Individuals with Disabilities and/or Limited English Proficiency
- List of interpreters maintained on Howard Center Webpages, Diversity, Equity & Inclusion (Interpreter Services is the 2nd item listed on left hand side)
- HC service agreement/contract with Language Line Solutions (executed 8/2017)
- Interview with Howard Center Executive Director
- Interviews with random direct care staff across all shifts

The agency takes appropriate steps to ensure that residents with disabilities (i.e. residents who are deaf or hard of hearing, those who are blind or have low vision, or those who have intellectual, psychiatric, or speech disabilities) or are limited English proficient have an equal opportunity to participate in the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment. The Howard Center has a contract with the Language Line which provides interpreter services telephonically and can interpret over 120 languages. The auditor reviewed the contract with Language Line to verify the agency has a formal agreement to provide these services. The agency "Policy on Accessibility" upholds that when English is not a client's primary language, translation services will be provided. In addition, the policy also specifically states that accommodations should be made regarding written materials. For example, these may include "reading the material to that person, having material printed in large print and having pictures and graphics added to the text to make information more understandable." This is further supported by information found in the Transition House Staff Handbook which states, "Howard Center maintains contracts with interpreters and signers as well as tele-interpretive services. All information can be translated for clients or made available at the time of intake. Staff should support clients in order to ensure comprehension."

Interviews revealed that although the Transition House program has not had a resident with a disability or who is limited English proficient to date and therefore, has not had to access these services. However, interviews with program leaders verified they are aware of the process they would use to obtain the necessary translation services as needed. Interviews with program managers, direct care staff, and Howard Center leaders all verified they would not allow residents to interpret for other youth, except in emergency situations. The auditor confidently concludes that Transition House leadership guarantees all clinical and physical needs of youth are met while in the program, including providing necessary special

accommodations. Further supporting these standard provisions, the Transition House staff handbook explains, "The Howard Center has a contracted tele-interpreter service that is available 24/7/365. Flyers outlining how to obtain these services as are posted at each of the phones in the program. If you have questions to this, please utilize supervision on how to access....Clients are prohibited from providing translation for each other....Due to best practice and assurance of accurate communication, clients and their families are prohibited from providing interpretation services for each other...This prohibition is waived in the event of exigent circumstances."

On the day the youth arrives to the program, the Program Director or Team Lead meets with youth and families to review written program materials. Among the information provided is the resident handbook which describes the program rules and their rights. Within ten days of arrival, the Team Lead meets with the new resident to review the resident handbook and have youth watch the PREA education video. Youth are required to complete a short knowledge quiz on the material covered – i.e. zero tolerance, mandated reporting, how to file a grievance, etc. Interviews with the Program Director and Team Lead verified that the Transition House staff would make the appropriate accommodations necessary to ensure all youth with disabilities received this information within the 10-day requirement. This would likely be achieved by using the contracted translation services (i.e. Language Line).

The Transition House and the Howard Center agency are committed to ensuring all individual client needs are met. During an interview with the Howard Center Executive Director, Mr. Bob Bick, he explained that he strives to ensure that all youth (i.e., English Limited Proficiency, cognitive functioning, cultural backgrounds, etc.) are afforded the same rights and protections as other individuals. He explained that the agency dedicates extensive resources to providing translation services but believes this is money well spent to ensure youth safety and progress in treatment. Other agency and facility leaders, including the Agency PREA Coordinator and Transition House Program Director, shared similar perspectives on the importance of providing translation services.

The evidence allows the auditor to confidently conclude T-House is in compliance with provisions in this PREA standard.

## Standard 115.317: Hiring and promotion decisions

#### 115.317 (a)

•	Does the agency prohibit the hiring or promotion of anyone who may have contact with residents
	who: Has engaged in sexual abuse in a prison, jail, lockup, community confinement facility,
	juvenile facility, or other institution (as defined in 42 U.S.C. 1997)? ⊠ Yes □ No

•	Does the agency prohibit the hiring or promotion of anyone who may have contact with residents
	who: Has been convicted of engaging or attempting to engage in sexual activity in the
	community facilitated by force, overt or implied threats of force, or coercion, or if the victim did
	not consent or was unable to consent or refuse? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No

•	Does the agency prohibit the hiring or promotion of anyone who may have contact with residents who: Has been civilly or administratively adjudicated to have engaged in the activity described in the question immediately above? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No
•	Does the agency prohibit the enlistment of services of any contractor who may have contact with residents who: Has engaged in sexual abuse in a prison, jail, lockup, community confinement facility, juvenile facility, or other institution (as defined in 42 U.S.C. 1997)?  ☐ Yes ☐ No
•	Does the agency prohibit the enlistment of services of any contractor who may have contact with residents who: Has been convicted of engaging or attempting to engage in sexual activity in the community facilitated by force, overt or implied threats of force, or coercion, or if the victim did not consent or was unable to consent or refuse? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No
•	Does the agency prohibit the enlistment of services of any contractor who may have contact with residents who: Has been civilly or administratively adjudicated to have engaged in the activity described in the question immediately above? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No
115.31	17 (b)
•	Does the agency consider any incidents of sexual harassment in determining whether to hire or promote anyone, or to enlist the services of any contractor, who may have contact with residents? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No
115.31	17 (c)
•	Before hiring new employees, who may have contact with residents, does the agency: Perform a criminal background records check? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No
•	Before hiring new employees, who may have contact with residents, does the agency: Consult any child abuse registry maintained by the State or locality in which the employee would work? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No
•	Before hiring new employees, who may have contact with residents, does the agency: Consistent with Federal, State, and local law, make its best efforts to contact all prior institutional employers for information on substantiated allegations of sexual abuse or any resignation during a pending investigation of an allegation of sexual abuse? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No
115.31	17 (d)
•	Does the agency perform a criminal background records check before enlisting the services of any contractor who may have contact with residents? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No
•	Does the agency consult applicable child abuse registries before enlisting the services of any contractor who may have contact with residents? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No

	Does the agency either conduct criminal background records checks at least every five years of current employees and contractors who may have contact with residents or have in place a system for otherwise capturing such information for current employees?   Yes		
115.31	7 (f)		
	Does the agency ask all applicants and employees who may have contact with residents directly about previous misconduct described in paragraph (a) of this section in written applications or interviews for hiring or promotions? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No		
	■ Does the agency ask all applicants and employees who may have contact with residents directly about previous misconduct described in paragraph (a) of this section in any interviews or written self-evaluations conducted as part of reviews of current employees?   Yes □ No		
	Does the agency impose upon employees a continuing affirmative duty to disclose any such misconduct? ⊠ Yes □ No		
115.31	7 (g)		
	Does the agency consider material omissions regarding such misconduct, or the provision of materially false information, grounds for termination? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No		
115.31	7 (h)		
	Unless prohibited by law, does the agency provide information on substantiated allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment involving a former employee upon receiving a request from an institutional employer for whom such employee has applied to work? (N/A if providing information on substantiated allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment involving a former employee is prohibited by law.) $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No $\square$ NA		
Audito	r Overall Compliance Determination		
	☐ <b>Exceeds Standard</b> (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)		
	<ul> <li>□ Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)</li> <li>□ Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)</li> </ul>		
	□ Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)		
Evideno	ce Used in Compliance Determination:		

115.317 (e)

- Agency Personnel Policy 107 "Pre-Employment Screening (Internal and External)" and accompanying form
- Howard Center supplement form "PREA Release and Questionnaire" added to application

- Howard Center and the American Federation of State, County, and Municipal Employees AFL-CIO Howard Mental Health Chapter of Local #1674 collective bargaining agreement
- Contract between Howard Center and State of Vermont requiring background checks and prohibiting use of anyone with substantiated abuse, neglect, or exploitation
- State of Vermont AHS DCF Licensing Regulations on background checks
- Interview with Human Resources staff (Director and Employee Relations Manager)
- Interview with Program Director
- Personnel file reviews confirming all staff, volunteers, and contractors have criminal background checks (upon hire and a minimum of every five years, DCF RLSI requires every three years)
- Screen shot showing completed application for direct care staff verifying revised language has been added to Pre-Employment Screening form (during corrective action)

The Transition House program does not hire any individuals who have engaged in sexual abuse in a prison, jail, lockup, community confinement facility, or juvenile facility. The Transition House also does not hire any individuals who have been convicted of engaging or attempting to engage in sexual activity that was facilitated by force, or coercion, or if the victim did not or could not consent. The State of Vermont AHS DCF licensing regulations dictate background checks must be conducted "upon hire and every three years thereafter, on all employees, board member/trustees, volunteers, student interns, and others who may have unsupervised contact with children/youth in the program." These state licensing regulations specify that these checks must be completed prior to having any unsupervised contact with youth and that documentation must be maintained. The regulations also specify background checks must include consulting three distinct databases: 1) Vermont Criminal Information Center; 2) Vermont Child Protection Registry; and 3) Adult Abuse Registry. Interviews with the Director of Human Resources and an HR Analyst verified all staff receive checks prior to hire and then every other year while employed at the agency.

# The PREA standard provisions state:

- (a) "The agency shall not hire or promote anyone who may have contact with residents, and shall not enlist the services of any contractor who may have contact with residents, who—(1) Has engaged in sexual abuse in a prison, jail, lockup, community confinement facility, juvenile facility, or other institution (as defined in 42 U.S.C. 1997); (2) Has been convicted of engaging or attempting to engage in sexual activity in the community facilitated by force, overt or implied threats of force, or coercion, or if the victim did not consent or was unable to consent or refuse; or (3) Has been civilly or administratively adjudicated to have engaged in the activity described in paragraph (a)(2) of this section."
- (b) The agency shall consider any incidents of sexual harassment in determining whether to hire or promote anyone, or to enlist the services of any contractor, who may have contact with residents."

In addition, provision (f) requires, "The agency shall also ask all applicants and employees who may have contact with residents directly about previous misconduct described in paragraph (a) of this section in written applications or interviews for hiring or promotions and in any interviews or written self-evaluations conducted as part of reviews of current employees. The agency shall also impose upon employees a continuing affirmative duty to disclose any such misconduct." While Howard Center conducts background checks and requires all staff and contractors to sign the PREA Release and

Questionairre prior to hire, it does not use this questionnaire prior to issuing promotions. In addition, the questionnaire does not specifically address the requirements listed in provision (a). The Howard Center also does not gather this information for candidates for promotion through "...written applications or interviews ...and in any interviews or written self-evaluations." The agency will be required to make these adjustments during the corrective action period.

Prior to the onsite review the auditor conducted remote file reviews with Howard Center Human Resources staff. The auditor randomly selected a sample of personnel files to review, making sure all job classifications were represented. The sample was determined by selecting every other name on a list of all staff (part time and full time). The sample included all current Transition House employees as well as those who no longer work in the program (left the agency within the past 12 months). Approximately 52% of all personnel files were reviewed (i.e., N=23; 16 current employees and seven former employees). There were no volunteers or contractors in the Transition House program in the past 12 months. The file review revealed that all current Transition House criminal background checks for staff have been conducted prior to beginning work with youth and subsequently every two years. This exceeds federal PREA expectations which require background checks be conducted once every five years.

The State of Vermont AHS DCF licensing regulations dictate background checks must be conducted "upon hire and every three years thereafter, on all employees, board member/trustees, volunteers, student interns, and others who may have unsupervised contact with children/youth in the program" (page 16, section 412). These state licensing regulations specify that these checks must be completed prior to having any unsupervised contact with youth and that documentation must be maintained (page 16, section 413). The regulations also specify background checks must include consulting three distinct databases: 1) Vermont Criminal Information Center; 2) Vermont Child Protection Registry; and 3) Adult Abuse Registry.

Additional evidence supporting compliance with this standard includes the Howard Center Personnel Policy 107 "Pre-Employment Screening (Internal and External)." This policy states that employment of individuals will be prohibited (in certain Howard Center Programs) "...if a) the individual's name appears on any sexual offender registry or registry of listings of substantiated abuse cases; (b) the applicant has a conviction or employment history of child or client abuse, neglect or mistreatment; or (c) the individual has a criminal history that negatively affects his/her ability to carry out the functions of the job offered, all as determined in the sole discretion of the hiring authority and Director of Human Resources." Interviews with the Howard Center Human Resources Director verified that incidents of substantiated sexual harassment are considered when determining whether to hire or promote individuals.

In addition, interviews with the Human Resources Director and the Employee Relations Manager verified the Howard Center requires all employees to report any criminal activities and/or professional misconduct throughout the duration of their employment. This information is provided in various policies including "Pre-Employment, Post Accepted Offer Screening Authorization and Release" form. The form clearly states that "failure to notify their supervisor within 24 hours or as soon as practical thereafter, of a significant change in status, may result in disciplinary action up to and including termination."

As part of the application process, the Howard Center requires prospective employees to complete the "PREA Release and Questionnaire." This form poses a series of questions including: "Have you ever had a substantiated sexual abuse or harassment complaint filed against you? Have you ever resigned during a pending investigation of alleged sexual abuse or sexual harassment?" This form is signed by the applicant and submitted along with a completed application. Review of personnel files confirmed that all new employees, contractors, and interns hired within the past 12 months have completed this form. As previously mentioned, this form or a revised version to reflect provision (a) and (f) will need to be completed by individuals who are being considered for promotion.

The formal collective bargaining agreement between the Howard Center and the American Federation of State, County, and Municipal Employees AFL-CIO Howard Mental Health Chapter of Local #1674 further supports the hiring and promotion guidelines mandated by federal PREA standards. The agreement, effective July 1, 2018, explicitly states, "Termination could result from unsatisfactory job performance, violation of Agency policy or acceptable standards of behavior, including but not limited to the following: Unethical and/or destructive behavior with present or past clients of the Agency...Falsification of client reports or other documentation" (page 35, Section 807, C5). The language in this agreement supports that if an investigation resulted in a substantiated finding for sexual abuse or sexual harassment of a resident, the agency would terminate the staff member. Interviews with Howard Center agency leaders and Transition House staff verified this standard is upheld.

The executed contract between the Howard Center and the State of Vermont provides additional support for compliance with this standard. The contract specifically requires, "the Grantee agrees not to employ any individual, use any volunteer, or otherwise provide reimbursement to any individual in the performance of services connected with this agreement, who provides care, custody, treatment, transportation, or supervision to children or vulnerable adults if there is a substantiation of abuse or neglect or exploitation against that individual." The contract also specifies the abuse registries/databases the contracted agency is required to consult when conducting background checks on potential employees. The auditor applauds the State of Vermont and the Howard Center for its commitment to ensuring the safety of youth in its care.

Additionally, an interview with the Director of Human Resources revealed that after seeking counsel from the Howard Center's legal representative, the agency would provide information to future employees regarding substantiated cases of sexual harassment and sexual abuse. Although the Transition House has never had a report of staff sexual harassment or sexual abuse, the Howard Center would provide information on substantiated allegations of sexual abuse and harassment involving a former employee, if requested by a future institutional employer.

The fact that the Howard Center conducts extensive background checks on all staff, contractors, and volunteers every two years (beyond the State of VT DCF requirement of every three years) coupled with the agency requiring potential employees to complete the PREA Release and Questionnaire form, provides evidence that the Transition House program exceeds expectations on several provisions within this standard. However, as previously mentioned, the Howard Center will need to implement a practice of gathering additional information from individuals whom they are considering promoting prior to doing so.

#### **Corrective Actions**

The program is required to establish a process for gathering information regarding previous
misconduct as described in provisions (a) and (b) above through written application or
interviews from individuals being considered for promotion. The program may also consider
(although not required) memorializing this practice into policy to set clear expectations moving
forward.

During the corrective action period the program updated the online application for employment to capture the requirements of provisions (a) and (b). All applicants are now required to answer questions regarding previous misconduct. More specifically the online application states: "Howard Center will not hire or promote anyone who may have contact with residents who: (1) Has engaged in sexual abuse in a prison, jail, lockup, community confinement facility, juvenile facility, or other institution; (2) Has been convicted of engaging or attempting to engage in sexual activity in the community facilitated by force, overt or implied threats of force, or coercion, or if the victim did not consent or was unable to consent or refuse; or (3) Has been civilly or administratively adjudicated to have engaged in the activity described above. Howard Center will consider any incidents of sexual harassment in determining whether to hire or promote anyone who may have contact with residents. Have you ever had a substantiated sexual abuse or sexual harassment complaint filed against you as described above? [ ] Yes [ ] No Have you ever resigned during a pending investigation of alleged sexual abuse or sexual harassment as described above? [ ] Yes [ ] No....I hereby authorize Howard Center to contact all prior institutions where I have worked for information on 1) any substantiated allegations or convictions of sexual abuse or sexual harassment; 2) any civil or administrative adjudication of the any of the activities described above or 3) any resignation during a pending investigation of alleged sexual abuse or sexual harassment." The Agency PREA Coordinator submitted a screen shot of this section of the revised online application and submitted it to the auditor as verification. In addition, the Transition House submitted a screen shot of a completed application showing the applicant was required to answer these questions.

The auditor has determined the program is now in compliance with provisions in this standard.

#### Standard 115.318: Upgrades to facilities and technologies

#### 115.318 (a)

•	If the agency designed or acquired any new facility or planned any substantial expansion or
	modification of existing facilities, did the agency consider the effect of the design, acquisition
	expansion, or modification upon the agency's ability to protect residents from sexual abuse?
	(N/A if agency/facility has not acquired a new facility or made a substantial expansion to
	existing facilities since August 20, 2012, or since the last PREA audit, whichever is later.)
	⊠ Yes □ No □ NA

11	_	21	0	(h)
11	Э,	J.	o	<b>(b)</b>

If the agency installed or updated a video monitoring system, electronic surveillance system, or other monitoring technology, did the agency consider how such technology may enhance the agency's ability to protect residents from sexual abuse? (N/A if agency/facility has not installed or updated a video monitoring system, electronic surveillance system, or other monitoring technology since August 20, 2012, or since the last PREA audit, whichever is later.)

⊠ Yes □ No □ NA

# **Auditor Overall Compliance Determination**

	Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
$\times$	Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the
	standard for the relevant review period)
	Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

Evidence Used in Compliance Determination:

- Agency's Policy on Accessibility Architectural and Environmental Barriers in the Operations Manual addresses the physical accessibility of our buildings
- Howard Center Camera Surveillance Policy
- Interview with Agency Director
- Interview with Program Director
- Observations during facility audit tour

Shortly following the last PREA audit in 2017, the Transition House installed three additional cameras (two cameras outside and one inside). There are now a total of 14 cameras placed strategically throughout the facility. There are two computer monitors which staff use to see all angles – one upstairs in the staff office and other on the first floor in the sitting area/small recreation room. The auditor applauds the program for considering youth safety when making any physical modifications to the program. Interviews with the Program Director and the Team Lead revealed the additional camera placed in the recreation room was added to provide more enhanced supervision of this area. At the time of the onsite review, the Transition House was not planning any additional expansions or modifications.

To drive program practices, the Howard Center has implemented a policy on the use of cameras – "HC Camera Surveillance Policy."

#### **RESPONSIVE PLANNING**

## Standard 115.321: Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations

#### 115.321 (a)

• If the agency is responsible for investigating allegations of sexual abuse, does the agency follow a uniform evidence protocol that maximizes the potential for obtaining usable physical evidence

	for administrative proceedings and criminal prosecutions? (N/A if the agency/facility is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal OR administrative sexual abuse investigations.)  ☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ NA
•	The State of Vermont RLSI Unit is responsible for conducting sexual abuse investigations
115.32	21 (b)
•	Is this protocol developmentally appropriate for youth where applicable? (N/A if the agency/facility is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal OR administrative sexual abuse investigations.) $\square$ Yes $\square$ No $\boxtimes$ NA
•	Is this protocol, as appropriate, adapted from or otherwise based on the most recent edition of the U.S. Department of Justice's Office on Violence Against Women publication, "A National Protocol for Sexual Assault Medical Forensic Examinations, Adults/Adolescents," or similarly comprehensive and authoritative protocols developed after 2011? (N/A if the agency/facility is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal OR administrative sexual abuse investigations.) $\square$ Yes $\square$ No $\boxtimes$ NA
115.32	21 (c)
•	Does the agency offer all residents who experience sexual abuse access to forensic medical examinations, whether onsite or at an outside facility, without financial cost, where evidentiarily or medically appropriate? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No
•	Are such examinations performed by Sexual Assault Forensic Examiners (SAFEs) or Sexual Assault Nurse Examiners (SANEs) where possible? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No
•	If SAFEs or SANEs cannot be made available, is the examination performed by other qualified medical practitioners (they must have been specifically trained to conduct sexual assault forensic exams)? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No
•	Has the agency documented its efforts to provide SAFEs or SANEs? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No
115.32	21 (d)
•	Does the agency attempt to make available to the victim a victim advocate from a rape crisis center? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No
•	If a rape crisis center is not available to provide victim advocate services, does the agency make available to provide these services a qualified staff member from a community-based organization, or a qualified agency staff member? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No
•	Has the agency documented its efforts to secure services from rape crisis centers? ⊠ Yes □ No

115.321 (e)
<ul> <li>As requested by the victim, does the victim advocate, qualified agency staff member, or qualified community-based organization staff member accompany and support the victim through the forensic medical examination process and investigatory interviews? ⋈ Yes □ No</li> <li>As requested by the victim, does this person provide emotional support, crisis intervention, information, and referrals? ⋈ Yes □ No</li> </ul>
115.321 (f)
If the agency itself is not responsible for investigating allegations of sexual abuse, has the agency requested that the investigating entity follow the requirements of paragraphs (a) through (e) of this section? (N/A if the agency/facility is responsible for conducting criminal AND administrative sexual abuse investigations.) ⊠ Yes □ No □ NA
115.321 (g)
<ul> <li>Auditor is not required to audit this provision.</li> </ul>
115.321 (h)
If the agency uses a qualified agency staff member or a qualified community-based staff member for the purposes of this section, has the individual been screened for appropriateness to serve in this role and received education concerning sexual assault and forensic examination issues in general? (Check N/A if agency attempts to make a victim advocate from a rape crisis center available to victims per 115.321(d) above.) □ Yes □ No ⋈ NA
Auditor Overall Compliance Determination
<ul> <li>□ Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)</li> <li>□ Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)</li> <li>□ Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)</li> </ul>
Evidence Used in Compliance Determination:  • Agency's Policies and Protocols Addressing the Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA) in the

- **Operations Manual**
- University of Vermont Medical Center's (UVMMC) Sexual Assault Nurse Examiner (SANE) Guidelines
- Draft MOU with Children's Advocacy Center (CAC) and Chittenden Unit of Specialized Investigations (CUSI)
- Emails verifying attempts made to secure MOU with CAC/CUSI
- State of VT DCF Investigation Policy 241

- Copies of licenses of Clinicians working in Transition House
- Interview with SANE Coordinator at local hospital
- Interviews with direct care staff across all shifts
- Interview with PREA Compliance Manager
- Documentation of referrals of allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment (calls to Centralized Intake, program incident reports, investigation reports, etc.)
- HC website describes investigative responsibilities of the agency and who conducts criminal investigations (DCF RLSI and local law enforcement when potentially criminal)

The Howard Center is responsible for conducting administrative/personnel investigations related to any violations of agency policies, including ethical misconduct. The AHS Residential Licensing Special Investigations Unit (RLSIU), in partnership with local law enforcement, is responsible for conducting criminal investigations for sexual abuse or misconduct.

Although the Howard Center is not responsible for conducting criminal investigations, the agency protocol "Policies and Protocols Addressing the Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA)" ensures the Transition House follows a uniform protocol for investigating allegations of sexual abuse. The policy specifically addresses the process for preserving physical evidence for administrative proceedings and criminal prosecutions. In the event a report of sexual abuse is made, the policy directs the first responder to "...immediately separate the victim from the alleged abuser. Preserve and protect any crime scene until appropriate steps can be taken to collect any evidence (have staff watch area or move all residents and staff away from the area). If the abuse occurred within a time period that still allows for the collection of physical evidence, request that the alleged victim and abuser not take any actions that could destroy physical evidence, including as appropriate, washing, brushing teeth, changing clothes, urinating, defeating, drinking or eating." During the onsite visit, staff interviews revealed staff understood the protocol and verbalized the process of separating youth, protecting evidence, and calling the Manager on Call for additional guidance if a youth reports they have been abused.

In addition to preserving evidence, the PREA policy referenced above also states that the victim will be provided "an assessment of the victim's acute medical or mental health needs" and will be offered the opportunity to have a forensic medical examination at the hospital. The policy also instructs staff to "explain to the victim that the exam is conducted by medical staff trained to provide services to abuse victims and the agency will pay for it...inform the victim that there are victim advocates available to provide support through the examination process and the investigative interviews...and they will also provide emotional support, crisis intervention, information and referral." The agency policy clearly states that if the victim chooses to undergo the forensic examination, staff will transport the victim to the University of Vermont Medical Center (UVMMC). The staff member who conducts the transport is responsible for informing hospital staff of the alleged abuse or assault and requesting the youth is examined by a SANE. The policy also states the "facility will take steps to ensure confidential communications between the victim and the advocates." This policy also states the victim will be provided with crisis counseling services and requires staff to contact Howard Center's Human Resources if the alleged abuser is a staff member, contractor, or volunteer.

The Howard Center Transition House has established a fully executed Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) with a local rape crisis and child advocacy center, HOPE Works. The Howard Center has also

drafted an MOU with a local unit of the statewide organization called the Chittenden Children's Advocacy Center (CAC) which conducts forensic investigations and provides advocacy services to sexual assault and sexual abuse victims. The CAC protocol requires all victims of sexual abuse or assault (within 72 hours of the event) be seen by a SANE at the local hospital (University of Vermont Medical Center – UVMMC). The Agency PREA Coordinator has been actively engaged in outreach (via phone and email) to these two organizations in an effort to secure signed agreements. The auditor reviewed several email exchanges with Directors from these organizations as well as the draft MOUs to verify significant effort has been made on behalf of the Howard Center PREA Coordinator to execute these agreements.

The Transition House does not employ or contract with a Registered Nurse. Therefore, the Howard Center policy dictates that if a youth alleges sexual abuse, he will be taken to the University of Vermont Medical Center for a forensic examination by a Sexual Assault Nurse Examiner (SANE). Review of the Center's University of Vermont Medical policies well the public website (https://www.uvmhealth.org/medcenter), indicate the hospital has SANEs who are available 24 hours a day, 7 days a week. The information provided also states that SANE nurses work closely with victim advocates (the Children's Advocacy Center - CAC), State of Vermont DCF, local law enforcement, and other important parties to ensure victims receive compassionate and comprehensive care. The UVMMC website also provides extensive details about the SANE program and describes the program as including: "timely medical assessment and forensic examination; treatment and counseling for concerns about pregnancy, sexually transmitted infections and HIV; and appropriate referral for follow-up care...including treatment for sexually transmitted infections and counseling." The UVMMC also has a Children's Hospital which employs four nurses who are certified SANES. These individuals are available 24/7 and have specialized training to work with children who have been sexual abused or assaulted. An interview with the Clinical Coordinator of the Forensic Nursing Program at UVMMC verified their practice includes offering Sexually Transmitted Infection (STI) prophylaxis and emergency contraception (if client is female) and contacting HOPE Works for advocacy services for all victims of sexual assault and/or abuse. In addition, she reported that community advocates are permitted to accompany youth throughout the exam. These practices are memorialized in the UVMMC policy. The auditor reviewed these policies to verify these practices are part of standard operating procedures.

As previously mentioned, the Transition House had two allegations of sexual abuse or sexual assault in the 12-month period prior to the onsite visit. The UVMMC policies and practices, Howard Center's draft MOU with the CAC, the executed MOU with HOPE Works, and the agency PREA policy allow the auditor to conclude T-House is "in compliance" on this standard.

#### Standard 115.322: Policies to ensure referrals of allegations for investigations

#### 115.322 (a)

- Does the agency ensure an administrative or criminal investigation is completed for all allegations of sexual abuse? 

  ✓ Yes 

  ✓ No
- Does the agency ensure an administrative or criminal investigation is completed for all allegations of sexual harassment? 

  Yes □ No

115.522 (0)		
<ul> <li>Does the agency have a policy and practice in place to ensure that allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment are referred for investigation to an agency with the legal authority to conduct criminal investigations, unless the allegation does not involve potentially criminal behavior?</li> <li>☑ Yes □ No</li> </ul>		
■ Has the agency published such policy on its website or, if it does not have one, made the policy available through other means?   Yes □ No		
■ Does the agency document all such referrals? ⊠ Yes □ No		
115.322 (c)		
<ul> <li>If a separate entity is responsible for conducting criminal investigations, does such publication describe the responsibilities of both the agency and the investigating entity? [N/A if the agency/facility is responsible for criminal investigations. See 115.321(a).]</li> <li>☑ Yes □ No □ NA</li> </ul>		
115.322 (d)		
<ul> <li>Auditor is not required to audit this provision.</li> </ul>		
115.322 (e)		
<ul> <li>Auditor is not required to audit this provision.</li> </ul>		
<b>Auditor Overall Compliance Determination</b>		
☐ <b>Exceeds Standard</b> (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)		
Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)		
□ <b>Does Not Meet Standard</b> (Requires Corrective Action)		
Evidence Used in Compliance Determination:		

- State of Vermont DCF Policies 50, 51, 52, 56, 57, 60, 66, and 241
- Agency's Policies and Protocols Addressing the Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA) in the Operations Manual
- Agency Personnel Policy 225 Complaint and Grievance
- Howard Center web page Safe Environment Standards shares information about zero tolerance and other PREA info and links to Vermont's policy regarding investigating allegations.
- Transition House Coordinated Response Plan
- Transition House Staff Handbook

- Children Youth and Family Services Core Manual
- Review of investigation reports conducted by the Howard Center Transition House Investigation staff (staff to youth sexual harassment and two allegations of sexual abuse that were not accepted by RLSI as "abuse")
- Interviews with RLSI investigators
- Interview with Howard Center Executive Director

The State of Vermont and Howard Center have several policies ensuring that administrative and criminal investigations are completed for all allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment. The Howard Center "Policies and Protocols Addressing the Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA)" directly addresses all provisions put forth in this standard. The policy outlines the requirement of mandatory reporting and the process for contacting DCF Centralized Intake Unit immediately when a youth alleges they have been abused or sexually harassed.

The State of Vermont Residential Licensing and Special Investigations Unit (RLSI) is responsible for conducting all investigations of abuse occurring in community residential programs in Vermont. Once an allegation is called into the Centralized Intake Unit there is a process for determining whether a case is "accepted" or "not accepted" for investigation. All cases that are "not accepted" are required to be reviewed by a supervisor who confirms or denies this decision. If the case is accepted, a Primary RLSI Investigator is assigned and the investigation process begins. If an incident appears that it may result in a criminal case, the investigative lead assigned to the case will contact the local police department. If law enforcement chooses, they will work alongside DCF RLSI to interview the victim and alleged perpetrator.

In the event a youth alleges sexual abuse, staff members are required to immediately contact Centralized Intake and Emergency Services (CIES) by calling Vermont's Child Abuse Hotline. Interviews with Transition House staff verified they understand they are mandatory reporters. This expectation and protocol are further supported by the agency's PREA policy and the Transition House Coordinated Response plan, both which require program staff to call the State of Vermont Centralized Intake with all allegations of sexual abuse or sexual assault.

Provisions of this standard are also supported by the Howard Center Children Youth and Family Services Core Manual which states, "State law mandates that professionals in the fields of education, childcare, mental health, social services, medicine and law enforcement report all suspected cases of child abuse and neglect. Reports must be made within 24 hours of the time information regarding the suspected abuse of neglect was first received or observed... You are a mandated reporter. You are obligated to report suspicion of abuse or neglect of any at-risk population. This means children, elderly and the disabled. If you reasonably suspect abuse or neglect, it is your responsibility to report it, not to investigate or judge whether or not it merits investigation" (page 21). All Transition House staff members who were interviewed as part of the onsite audit understood they are mandatory reporters.

The provisions in this standard are further supported by language in the Transition House Staff Handbook which proclaims, "Any allegation of abuse or sexual harassment by staff or another resident made by a resident or staff of the Transition House will be investigated within the guidelines established by the Howard Center. When appropriate as a mandated reporter, allegations will be reported to

appropriate authorities such as DCF or the police. Appropriateness is determined by the standard of "reasonable cause to believe that a child has been abused or is at risk of abuse" in the child abuse and neglect statute, title 33."

The Howard Center Personnel Policy 225 "Complaint and Grievance" guides how the Human Resources unit handles all grievances and includes a description of the investigation process. Interviews with Human Resources staff confirmed that all grievances are investigated. Interviews with the Howard Center Executive Director, the Transition House Program Director, VT DCF RLSI Investigator, and other leaders verified that all referrals are investigated, and all staff are mandatory reporters. The Howard Center agency PREA policy clearly states that law enforcement will assist with sexual abuse investigations when a staff member is involved.

Within the 13-month period from March 2020 through March 2021, there was one substantiated allegation of youth-to-youth sexual abuse and one unsubstantiated youth-on-youth sexual abuse. There was also one unsubstantiated allegation of youth-to-youth sexual harassment. Review of the detailed investigation reports verified all incidents were reported to State of Vermont DCF Centralized Intake as required. These cases were not accepted by DCF RLSI as "sexual abuse." As such, the Transition House was directed to investigate the matters and provide an action plan for safety to RLSI. The auditor reviewed all incident reports and supporting documentation verifying youth-to-youth sexual harassment and sexual abuse allegations were thoroughly investigated by the Transition House Program Director and Team Lead. In addition, investigation reports indicated that the youth were offered to contact a victim advocate, their attorney, and a family member for support.

The Howard Center has a webpage which provides information regarding zero-tolerance and explains who is responsible for investigating allegations of abuse. This website includes a link to the zero-tolerance policy as well as a link to the State of Vermont Policy 241 and Policy 52, which guides the process for investigating allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment.

### TRAINING AND EDUCATION

#### **Standard 115.331: Employee training**

#### 115.331 (a)

- Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with residents on: Its zero-tolerance policy for sexual abuse and sexual harassment?  $\boxtimes$  Yes  $\square$  No
- Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with residents on: How to fulfill their responsibilities under agency sexual abuse and sexual harassment prevention, detection, reporting, and response policies and procedures? ⊠ Yes □ No
- Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with residents on: Residents' right to be free from sexual abuse and sexual harassment 

  Yes 
  No

■ Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with residents on: The right of residents and employees to be free from retaliation for reporting sexual abuse and sexual harassment? ⊠ Yes □ No
■ Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with residents on: The dynamics of sexual abuse and sexual harassment in juvenile facilities?   ✓ Yes   ✓ No
■ Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with residents on: The common reactions of juvenile victims of sexual abuse and sexual harassment?   ✓ Yes   ✓ No
■ Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with residents on: How to detect and respond to signs of threatened and actual sexual abuse and how to distinguish between consensual sexual contact and sexual abuse between residents?   Yes □ No
■ Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with residents on: How to avoid inappropriate relationships with residents?   Yes □ No
■ Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with residents on: How to communicate effectively and professionally with residents, including lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, intersex, or gender nonconforming residents? ⊠ Yes □ No
<ul> <li>■ Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with residents on: How to comply with relevant laws related to mandatory reporting of sexual abuse to outside authorities?</li> <li>☑ Yes □ No</li> </ul>
■ Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with residents on: Relevant laws regarding the applicable age of consent? ⊠ Yes □ No
115.331 (b)
<ul> <li>Is such training tailored to the unique needs and attributes of residents of juvenile facilities?</li> <li>         ⊠ Yes □ No     </li> </ul>
• Is such training tailored to the gender of the residents at the employee's facility? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No
■ Have employees received additional training if reassigned from a facility that houses only male residents to a facility that houses only female residents, or vice versa?   Yes □ No
115.331 (c)
<ul> <li>■ Have all current employees who may have contact with residents received such training?</li> <li>☑ Yes □ No</li> </ul>

•	emplo	he agency provide each employee with refresher training every two years to ensure that all yees know the agency's current sexual abuse and sexual harassment policies and lures?   Yes  No
•	•	rs in which an employee does not receive refresher training, does the agency provide her information on current sexual abuse and sexual harassment policies? ⊠ Yes □ No
115.33	31 (d)	
•		he agency document, through employee signature or electronic verification, that yees understand the training they have received? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No
Audit	or Ovei	rall Compliance Determination
	$\boxtimes$	Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
		<b>Meets Standard</b> (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
		Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

- Agency's Policies and Protocols Addressing the Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA) in the Operations Manual
- Review of HC online PREA training curriculum and quiz
- Online trainings Corporate Compliance, Client Rights, Ethics and Respect
- Staff PREA Jeopardy training Power Point (refresher)
- Staff PREA Jeopardy training outline (during the corrective action period)
- Review of training records verifying staff completed required PREA training on annual basis
- Review of training records verifying staff completed the required attestation form for zero tolerance and mandated reporting
- Interviews with direct care and specialized staff

Howard Center's "Policies and Protocols Addressing the Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA)" states "all staff members, contractors, or volunteers working at the PREA facility or having direct contact with residents of those facilities are required to follow all of the PREA related policies and protocols and participate in all required PREA trainings." The Transition House PREA training is listed on the New Employee training checklist which ensures new staff complete the required training prior to working alone with youth.

In addition, state residential licensing regulations require all residential treatment programs to have written policies and procedures for the orientation of new staff to the program. The regulations require that staff training "...must occur within the first 30 days of employment and include, but is not limited to...child/youth grievance process...policies regarding zero-tolerance for sexual abuse, procedures for reporting suspected incidents of child abuse and neglect, etc." ("State of Vermont Department for Children and Families: Licensing Regulations for Residential Treatment Programs" section 414).

Howard Center: Transition House

All new Howard Center employees are required to complete a one-day orientation training as well as several online courses, which address various topics related to PREA standards. These trainings include:

- "Corporate Compliance" training which provides information on how to make a complaint if a program or a staff member is not complying with agency, state or federal regulations.
- "Client Rights" training which offers information about a client's legal rights, right to privacy, and the agency policy around confidentiality. This training is required upon hire and every three years.
- "Agency Ethics" training AND the "Respect" training both provide information related to zero tolerance for sexual harassment and abuse. These trainings are required every three years and annually, respectively.

The Howard Center has an interactive online PREA training for all Transition House staff. The training requires staff to answer questions as they move through the Power Point presentation. Review of the training provided clear evidence that all required DOJ training topics are covered in detail (i.e., zero tolerance, how to avoid inappropriate relationships with residents, how to communicate effectively and professionally with LGBTQI youth; etc.). The Howard Center PREA policy clearly states that PREA training must be completed upon hire and every year thereafter. Although, the PREA standards only require an annual refresher during the in-between years, the Howard Center requires all employees to complete the comprehensive online PREA training and completed the attestation form. Upon completing the PREA training Transition House employees are required to sign a statement which reads: "By signing this I am acknowledging my understanding of the following: That the Howard Center Has a zero tolerance for any type of sexual harassment and abuse of any kind; that I have been trained about what to do in the event of incident or report of sexual abuse and/or harassment; That I have been trained about warning signs regarding abuse and/or harassment; that I understand there is a policy prohibiting any type of retaliation in the event of a disclosure and/or allegation; that I understand that I am a mandated reported under Vermont law; and that there may be agency disciplinary action and/or legal consequences for not following federal and state law as well as agency policies." Review of training records indicate Transition House employees (N=15; full-time and parttime) have completed the PREA training consistent with Howard Center policy and federal DOJ expectations.

Early in 2021, the Transition House created a PREA refresher training for staff to be completed in addition to the annual Howard Center online class. The refresher training is structured in a Jeopardy style format and staff are required to answer questions related to preventing, detecting, and responding to incidents of sexual abuse and sexual harassment. The auditor reviewed the Power Point presentation used for the refresher training as well as meeting minutes to verify staff participated in the training.

Due to the in-depth nature of the online PREA training, the fact that the agency requires the online training to be completed on an annual basis, and the fact that the Transition House also requires staff to participate in an additional PREA training each year (PREA Jeopardy) allows the auditor to conclude the program has "exceeded" federal PREA expectations outlined in this standard.

Although not required, during the corrective action period the program developed a detailed training outline for PREA Jeopardy refresher training to ensure consistency across trainers and to ensure all

required topic areas are covered. The training curriculum was submitted to the auditor for review. The auditor noted the detailed outline included definitions of sexual abuse and sexual harassment; first responder duties; ways for making a report; dynamics of abuse in residential settings; mandatory reporters; and other key areas set forth in PREA standards.

### Standard 115.332: Volunteer and contractor training

#### 115.332 (a)

■ Has the agency ensured that all volunteers and contractors who have contact with residents have been trained on their responsibilities under the agency's sexual abuse and sexual harassment prevention, detection, and response policies and procedures? 

Yes 
No

#### 115.332 (b)

• Have all volunteers and contractors who have contact with residents been notified of the agency's zero-tolerance policy regarding sexual abuse and sexual harassment and informed how to report such incidents (the level and type of training provided to volunteers and contractors shall be based on the services they provide and level of contact they have with residents)?

 $\boxtimes$  Yes  $\square$  No

#### 115.332 (c)

■ Does the agency maintain documentation confirming that volunteers and contractors understand the training they have received? 

Yes □ No

# **Auditor Overall Compliance Determination**

$\boxtimes$	<b>Exceeds Standard</b> (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
	Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the
	standard for the relevant review period)
	Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

Evidence Used in Compliance Determination:

- Agency's Policies and Protocols Addressing the Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA) in the Operations Manual
- Review of PREA training forms and curriculum
- Interview with Program Director/PREA Compliance Manager

At the time of the onsite review, the Transition House did not have any contractors but did have two clinical interns. The auditor reviewed training records for each of the interns, indicating they have completed the Howard Center online PREA training. An interview with the Program Director verified that contractors and volunteers are required to complete the same PREA training provided to new Howard Center employees.

Review of contract language from another Howard Center program (Park Street) supports that contractors and volunteers are required to be trained on their responsibilities related to agency's effort to prevent, detect, and respond to allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment. The contract language states contractors agree to "complete all required trainings including refreshers.... [and] follow all of the Agency's PREA-related policies and procedures" (Howard Center Professional Services Agreement for an Independent Contractor, Attachment C or D: "Compliance with the Prison Rape Elimination Act"). This attachment clearly states, "The Provider will, but not limited to: Complete all required trainings including refreshers; follow all of the Agency's PREA-related policies and procedures; will immediately report all suspected or reported sexual abuse and sexual harassment following the Agency's protocol; and will contact the Facility PREA Compliance Manager or the Agency's PREA Coordinator with any PREA questions. The Provider understands that failure to comply with the PREA requirements is grounds for immediate termination of the contract." An interview with the Agency PREA Coordinator confirmed that if Transition House contracts with any individuals, the potential contractor would be required to complete this form. The auditor applauds the Howard Center for recognizing the value of setting clear expectations regarding zero-tolerance and ensuring that PREA requirements are successfully met by infusing PREA specific language into the legally binding agreement.

In addition, all contractors and volunteers are required to complete the online PREA training that all employees are required to complete. Once the training is completed, participants must electronically sign the attestation form which states:

# "I [INSERT NAME], acknowledge and agree:

That the Howard Center has a zero tolerance for any type of sexual harassment and abuse of any
kind'
That I have been trained about what to do in the event of incident or report of sexual abuse
and/or harassment;
That I have been trained about warning signs regarding abuse and/or harassment;
That I understand there is a policy prohibiting any type of retaliation in the event of a disclosure
and/or allegation;
That I understand that I am a mandated reporter under Vermont law;
And that there may be agency disciplinary action and/or legal consequences for not following
federal and state law as well as agency policies.

I have read the Howard Center Prison Rape Elimination Act General Overview and by signing below acknowledge and understand the information contained in it."

Since Howard Center requires contractors to complete the full online training on an annual basis, the auditor has determined the program "exceeds" the provision in this standard.

# Standard 115.333: Resident education 115.333 (a) During intake, do residents receive information explaining the agency's zero-tolerance policy regarding sexual abuse and sexual harassment? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No During intake, do residents receive information explaining how to report incidents or suspicions of sexual abuse or sexual harassment? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No Is this information presented in an age-appropriate fashion? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No 115.333 (b) Within 10 days of intake, does the agency provide age-appropriate comprehensive education to residents either in person or through video regarding: Their rights to be free from sexual abuse and sexual harassment? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No Within 10 days of intake, does the agency provide age-appropriate comprehensive education to residents either in person or through video regarding: Their rights to be free from retaliation for reporting such incidents? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No Within 10 days of intake, does the agency provide age-appropriate comprehensive education to residents either in person or through video regarding: Agency policies and procedures for responding to such incidents? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No 115.333 (c) Have all residents received such education? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No Do residents receive education upon transfer to a different facility to the extent that the policies and procedures of the resident's new facility differ from those of the previous facility? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No 115.333 (d) Does the agency provide resident education in formats accessible to all residents including those who: Are limited English proficient? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No

who: Are deaf?  $\boxtimes$  Yes  $\square$  No

who: Are visually impaired?  $\boxtimes$  Yes  $\square$  No

Does the agency provide resident education in formats accessible to all residents including those

Does the agency provide resident education in formats accessible to all residents including those

	Does the agency provide resident education in formats accessible to all residents including those who: Are otherwise disabled? ⊠ Yes □ No
	Does the agency provide resident education in formats accessible to all residents including those who: Have limited reading skills? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No
115.333	3 (e)
	Does the agency maintain documentation of resident participation in these education sessions?  ⊠ Yes □ No
115.333	<b>3</b> ( <b>f</b> )
	In addition to providing such education, does the agency ensure that key information is continuously and readily available or visible to residents through posters, resident handbooks, or other written formats? ⊠ Yes □ No
Audito	r Overall Compliance Determination
	☐ <b>Exceeds Standard</b> (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
	Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
	□ <b>Does Not Meet Standard</b> (Requires Corrective Action)

- Agency's Policies and Protocols Addressing the Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA) in the Operations Manual
- T-House's pamphlet "A Resident and Family Guide to PREA"
- T-House Policy 2.1 "Intake Process"
- T-House Staff Handbook
- T-House poster "PREA Resources and Information" (with pamphlets from HOPE Works)
- T-House grievance/suggestion box
- Review T-House youth PREA education video developed by Idaho State Police
- Translation service is listed in the Interpreters list available on the Howard Center Webpages, Diversity, Equity & Inclusion
- HC contract with Language Line Solutions for translation services
- Youth file reviews demonstrating education provided within 10 days of intake and signed form by youth understanding zero tolerance for sexual abuse and sexual harassment
- Interviews with youth
- Interviews with Team Leader who is responsible for reviewing PREA information and youth handbook with youth upon arrival

Howard Center: Transition House

• PREA Quiz and Summary Sheet for residents (during corrective action period)

The Transition House has several avenues by which youth receive Zero Tolerance information. When a youth arrives to the program the PREA Compliance Manager/Team Lead shows the youth the "PREA Resources and Information" bulletin board located in the recreation area in the main house. This board describes zero tolerance and provides information on how to report abuse including phone numbers. The bulletin board also includes a folder with several pamphlets from HOPE Works, the local victim advocacy organization and the Transition House's pamphlet titled, "A Resident and Family Guide to PREA." The PREA Compliance Manager reviews the "Resident and Family Guide to PREA" in detail with the youth and requires the youth to answer a short knowledge quiz on the content (i.e., zero tolerance, avenues for reporting, etc.). New youth are shown the locked suggestion/grievance box on the day they arrive.

In support of the current practice, the Transition House's 2.1 "Intake Procedures" requires the youth PREA orientation occur on the day a youth arrives to the program. The Transition House Staff Handbook also states youth will be "Given a copy of the program handbook and reviewed with staff. Clients will need to sign a that they have been given this material... Oriented to PREA and given a copy of the PREA client and family handbook. Staff should assist clients by helping them to read through and answer questions. Clients and staff need to sign that this work is complete."

To supplement the written youth handbook and to account for various learning styles, the Transition House uses a video about zero tolerance and sexual harassment. The video is a product of a collaborative effort between the Office of Justice and the Idaho State Police and is catered to a juvenile justice youth audience. The video addresses zero tolerance, definitions of sexual abuse and harassment, avenues to report abuse, steps to take if abused, what the investigation process looks like, retaliation, and other critical information as it relates to PREA. Upon training completion youth are required to complete a short quiz and sign an attestation form acknowledging they understand staff are mandatory reporters and the ways to report abuse while at Transition House. These forms are stored in a locked cabinet in the clinician's office. The Transition House PREA Compliance Manager is responsible for ensuring new youth view this video within 10 days of intake. While onsite, the auditor reviewed signed youth forms stating youth had received the PREA training (N=10). Review of youth files indicated that 60% of youth file reviews (N=10; 4 current youth and 6 youth discharged over the past 12 months) did not have a signature and/or date on the youth PREA education quiz and attestation form. Therefore, the auditor could not verify the PREA education was provided to youth within the 10-day timeframe.

Youth interviews verified all current youth (three out of four youth were interviewed) had viewed the video and understood the ways to make a report of sexual abuse and sexual harassment. The auditor has viewed the video several times for other audits and is familiar with its content and subsequent compliance with PREA standards.

To comply with provision (f) of this standard, Transition House created and implemented ongoing PREA education for youth. This ongoing training involves youth watching the PREA video and the PREA Compliance Manager reviewing important safety information – i.e., zero-tolerance for sexual abuse and sexual harassment; how to report; emotional support services available (HOPE Works); and other important areas related to youth safety. The auditor applauds the program for its commitment to continually educating youth about zero tolerance throughout their stay in the program.

To date, Transition House has not had any youth who needed translation services or had any need for other special accommodations. However, as previously mentioned, the Howard Center has an executed contract with Language Line to provide translation services. The Program Director and Team Lead reported that the Transition House program controls when a youth enters the program, and therefore they would ensure translators are available on the day a youth arrives to the program (to translate PREA related materials).

#### **Corrective Actions**

• The program is required to establish a system to better ensure youth education quizzes and attestation forms are signed and dated by youth and by staff member. This includes the initial PREA education session as well as ongoing refresher education sessions.

During the corrective action period, the program enhanced the existing PREA knowledge quiz that is reviewed with youth after the PREA education materials are explained to the youth and the youth views the PREA video. At the bottom of the quiz/form the youth is required to sign and date the form which states, "I was provided the PREA client handbook, watched the video, completed this quiz and was oriented as to why PREA is part of the T House. I attest that all staff is mandated reporters, I know how to report abuse or harassment and T House has a zero-tolerance policy." During the corrective action period, the program re-trained all youth and had youth sign the PREA knowledge quiz to demonstrate understanding. These were submitted to the auditor for review.

The auditor determined this practice has been formalized and institutionalized. The program is now in compliance on this standard.

# Standard 115.334: Specialized training: Investigations

#### 115.334 (a)

In addition to the general training provided to all employees pursuant to §115.331, does the agency ensure that, to the extent the agency itself conducts sexual abuse investigations, its investigators have received training in conducting such investigations in confinement settings? [N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.321(a).] □ Yes □ No ⋈ NA

### 115.334 (b)

- Does this specialized training include: Techniques for interviewing juvenile sexual abuse victims? [N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.321(a).] □ Yes □ No ⋈ NA
- Does this specialized training include: Proper use of Miranda and Garrity warnings? [N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.321(a).] □ Yes □ No ⋈ NA

		Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)
	$\boxtimes$	<b>Meets Standard</b> (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
		Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
Audito	or Over	rall Compliance Determination
•	Audito	or is not required to audit this provision.
115.33	84 (d)	
•	special	he agency maintain documentation that agency investigators have completed the required fized training in conducting sexual abuse investigations? [N/A if the agency does not et any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.321(a).]  Yes \( \subseteq \text{No} \times \text{NA} \)
115.33	84 (c)	
_	for adn	ninistrative action or prosecution referral? [N/A if the agency does not conduct any form inistrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.321(a).]  Yes No NA
	Does t	his specialized training include: The criteria and evidence required to substantiate a case
•	[N/A i	his specialized training include: Sexual abuse evidence collection in confinement settings? If the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse gations. See 115.321(a).] $\square$ Yes $\square$ No $\boxtimes$ NA

- State of VT Statutes Title 33, Chapter 49: Child Welfare Services
- State of Vermont DCF Policy 241
- State of VT DCF Licensing Regulations for Residential Treatment Programs
- State of VT DCF Policy 52 Child Safety Interventions Investigations and Assessments
- Certificate of completion for the RLSI investigators responsible for investigations at Transition House (NIC Specialized Investigations course)
- Review of the NIC online Specialized Investigations curriculum
- Review of training records verifying additional training completed for RLSI Investigators (through DCF and VT state police)
- Interview with DCF RLSI investigator
- State of Vermont DCF Policy 241

As previously mentioned, the Howard Center is not responsible for conducting sexual abuse investigations. The State of Vermont Residential Licensing and Special Investigation (RLSI) unit are responsible for conducting these investigations and for ensuring investigators complete the required specialized training. If the alleged perpetrator is over the age of 18, the local police department would

lead the investigation (not RLSI). An interview with the DCF RLSI investigator assigned to the Transition House indicated the investigator has received adequate training. Review of training records verified that the investigator has completed the fundamentals and advanced training on conducting investigations and has received training on child development, forensic interviewing techniques, and other areas critical to conducting effective investigations. In addition, the RLSI investigator has successfully completed the DOJ endorsed training developed by the National Institute of Corrections, "PREA: Investigating Sexual Abuse in a Confinement Setting." This training, coupled with the previously mentioned trainings allows Transition House to meet provisions put forth in this standard. A copy of training completion certificates were sent to the auditor for verification. Training records are maintained by the State of Vermont RLSI in an electronic training record.

To support this practice the State of Vermont DCF Policy 241 "Licensing Residential Treatment Programs and Regulatory Interventions" states, "RLSI social workers conducting child safety interventions in PREA-compliant RTPs must receive specialized training in conducting investigations in confinement settings, techniques for interviewing child/youth sexual abuse victims, and understanding law enforcement's proper use of Miranda and Garrity warnings, sexual abuse evidence collection in confinement settings, and the criteria and evidence required to substantiate a case for administrative action or prosecution referral. The National Institute of Corrections Investigating Sexual Abuse in a Confinement Setting Course was designed to meet the requirements of 28 CFR 115.334(b) and generates a certificate at the completion of the training. The RLSI Director shall maintain documentation that RLSI social workers have completed the required specialized training" (page 6). The auditor applauds DCF for memorializing this expectation into policy as a way of demonstrating its commitment and accountability to this practice.

#### Standard 115.335: Specialized training: Medical and mental health care

#### 115.335 (a)

•	Does the agency ensure that all full- and part-time medical and mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities have been trained in: How to detect and assess signs of sexual abuse and sexual harassment? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No
•	Does the agency ensure that all full- and part-time medical and mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities have been trained in: How to preserve physical evidence of sexual abuse? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No
•	Does the agency ensure that all full- and part-time medical and mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities have been trained in: How to respond effectively and professionally to juvenile victims of sexual abuse and sexual harassment? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No
•	Does the agency ensure that all full- and part-time medical and mental health care practitioners

who work regularly in its facilities have been trained in: How and to whom to report allegations

or suspicions of sexual abuse and sexual harassment? ⊠ Yes □ No

■ If medical staff employed by the agency conduct forensic examinations, do such medical staff receive appropriate training to conduct such examinations? (N/A if agency medical staff at the facility do not conduct forensic exams.) □ Yes □ No 図 NA		
115.335 (c)		
<ul> <li>Does the agency maintain documentation that medical and mental health practitioners have received the training referenced in this standard either from the agency or elsewhere?</li> <li></li></ul>		
115.335 (d)		
<ul> <li>Do medical and mental health care practitioners employed by the agency also receive training mandated for employees by §115.331?</li></ul>		
also receive training mandated for contractors and volunteers by §115.332? ⊠ Yes □ No		
Auditor Overall Compliance Determination		
☐ <b>Exceeds Standard</b> (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)		
<ul> <li>✓ Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)</li> </ul>		
□ <b>Does Not Meet Standard</b> (Requires Corrective Action)		

- Up-to-date Social Work licenses for the Transition House Clinician and Program Director
- Training records and signed forms acknowledging the program clinician and clinical interns received and understands expectations related to PREA
- Interview with the program Clinician
- Interview with clinical interns
- Interview with SANE Coordinator from University of Vermont Medical Center (UVMMC)

The Transition House employs one Mental Health Clinician (Licensed Social Worker) to work with program youth. The State of Vermont Residential Licensing Unit requires these professionals to have the appropriate education to perform their assigned job duties, although clinicians are not required to be licensed to work with youth in the Transition House. The program also employs two bachelor-level social workers (interns) who are working toward their master's degrees. These interns do not work with youth individually. The Transition House Program Director, Ms. Maisha McCormick, is also a Licensed Social Worker. The Transition House does not employ or contract with any medical staff (i.e. physicians, nurses, etc.).

115.335 (b)

Interviews revealed the Transition House clinician (LICSW) clearly understand how to detect and assess signs of sexual abuse and sexual harassment; how to preserve physical evidence of sexual abuse; how to respond effectively and professionally to juvenile victims of sexual abuse and harassment; and to whom allegations or suspicions of sexual abuse and sexual harassment should be reported. These topics are covered in various academic courses required for earning a Master's degree in Social Work and for state licensure. In addition, review of staff training records verified that the Clinician and both clinical interns have completed the Howard Center PREA staff training which also covers these topics.

The facility does not conduct any forensic evaluations. In the event a youth alleges sexual abuse, the victim would be taken to the local hospital, the University of Vermont Medical Center, to be examined by a SANE or SAFE. An interview with the UVMMC SANE Coordinator verified that there is an established practice of monitoring Continuing Education Units (CEUs) required to maintain SANE certification. The UVMMC SANE Coordinator indicated this information is carefully documented and followed up on (i.e., if a nurse has not been re-credentialed, they are not allowed to practice).

#### SCREENING FOR RISK OF SEXUAL VICTIMIZATION AND ABUSIVENESS

# Standard 115.341: Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness

11	_	.34	1	(a)
	<b>.</b>	74		lal

113.541 (a)
<ul> <li>Within 72 hours of the resident's arrival at the facility, does the agency obtain and use information about each resident's personal history and behavior to reduce risk of sexual abuse by or upon a resident? ⊠ Yes □ No</li> <li>Does the agency also obtain this information periodically throughout a resident's confinement? ⊠ Yes □ No</li> </ul>
115.341 (b)

113.341 (D)

Are all PREA screening assessments conducted using an objective screening instrument?

 ∑ Yes □ No

### 115.341 (c)

- During these PREA screening assessments, at a minimum, does the agency attempt to ascertain information about: Prior sexual victimization or abusiveness? 

  Yes □ No
- During these PREA screening assessments, at a minimum, does the agency attempt to ascertain information about: Any gender nonconforming appearance or manner or identification as lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, or intersex, and whether the resident may therefore be vulnerable to sexual abuse? 

  Yes □ No

■ During these PREA screening assessments, at a minimum, does the agency attempt to ascertain information about: Current charges and offense history?   Yes □ No
■ During these PREA screening assessments, at a minimum, does the agency attempt to ascertain information about: Age? ⊠ Yes □ No
<ul> <li>During these PREA screening assessments, at a minimum, does the agency attempt to ascertain information about: Level of emotional and cognitive development?</li></ul>
<ul> <li>During these PREA screening assessments, at a minimum, does the agency attempt to ascertain information about: Physical size and stature?</li></ul>
■ During these PREA screening assessments, at a minimum, does the agency attempt to ascertain information about: Mental illness or mental disabilities?   Yes □ No
■ During these PREA screening assessments, at a minimum, does the agency attempt to ascertain information about: Intellectual or developmental disabilities?   Yes □ No
■ During these PREA screening assessments, at a minimum, does the agency attempt to ascertain information about: Physical disabilities? ⊠ Yes □ No
■ During these PREA screening assessments, at a minimum, does the agency attempt to ascertain information about: The resident's own perception of vulnerability?   Yes □ No
■ During these PREA screening assessments, at a minimum, does the agency attempt to ascertain information about: Any other specific information about individual residents that may indicate heightened needs for supervision, additional safety precautions, or separation from certain other residents?   Yes □ No
115.341 (d)
■ Is this information ascertained: Through conversations with the resident during the intake process and medical mental health screenings?   ✓ Yes   No
■ Is this information ascertained: During classification assessments? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No
Is this information ascertained: By reviewing court records, case files, facility behavioral records, and other relevant documentation from the resident's files? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No

#### 115.341 (e)

■ Has the agency implemented appropriate controls on the dissemination within the facility of responses to questions asked pursuant to this standard in order to ensure that sensitive information is not exploited to the resident's detriment by staff or other residents? 

✓ Yes 

✓ No

# **Auditor Overall Compliance Determination**

	Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
$\boxtimes$	Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the
	standard for the relevant review period)
	<b>Does Not Meet Standard</b> (Requires Corrective Action)

# Evidence Used in Compliance Determination:

- Agency's Policies and Protocols Addressing the Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA) in the Operations Manual
- Agency's Policy on Entries into Client Records in the Operations Manual
- Transition House Vulnerability Assessment Instrument: Risk of Victimization and/or Sexually Aggressive Behavior/Violent Behavior adapted from the Florida Department of Juvenile Justice (Adapted from Vulnerability Scale from New Zealand)
- Youth file reviews verifying vulnerability assessment completed within 72 hours of intake
- T-House Staff Handbook
- T-House Policy 2.0 Intake Procedures
- Personnel record review verifying all staff have signed Agreement to Protect the Privacy,
   Confidentiality and Security of Protected Health Information and Education Records forms
- Interviews with staff responsible for conducting vulnerability assessments
- Interviews with youth
- Interview with agency PREA Coordinator
- Interview with Transition House PREA Compliance Manager
- Observations during facility tour that vulnerability information is accessible only to limited staff

The Transition House Staff Handbook states information regarding risk to be a victim or perpetrator of sexual harassment or abuse will be considered at the time a youth is referred to the program. The handbook cites a number of factors including but not limited to: "gender identity and/or gender expression; personal history such as past trauma, including sexual trauma; emotional and cognitive abilities; mental health considerations; ability status; and client's own perceptions of vulnerability or risk" (page 9). The handbook also upholds "A Crisis Plan is written (including any specific considerations regarding level of risk or vulnerability to perpetrate or be victim of sexual abuse or harassment), and available for staff to implement. Clients for whom risk to offend or be victimized will actively review this plan with the Program Supervisor or Clinician at the time of intake. This information should be part of the crisis plan, recorded in the 'precautions' section of the electronic health record at time of intake and reflected in a clinical note." Interviews with the Program Director and program Clinician verified the various risk vulnerability factors that are considered at intake.

The Transition House uses the Vulnerability Assessment Instrument: Risk of Victimization and/or Sexually Aggressive Behavior/Violent Behavior adapted from the Florida Department of Juvenile Justice (Adapted from the Vulnerability Scale from New Zealand) to assess a youth's risk to be victimized or to perpetrate sexual assault. This tool qualifies as a formal objective vulnerability risk screening instrument. These assessments are conducted by the Transition House Clinician. In her absence, the Program Director serves as the backup and would conduct these assessments on new intakes. While onsite the auditor reviewed completed vulnerability tools located in youth files (N=11; four current and seven discharged youth). This review revealed 27% of vulnerability assessments were not in compliance with PREA expectations. More specifically, one youth file did not have a vulnerability tool and could not be located while two other files showed the vulnerability assessment being completed outside of the 72-hour PREA requirement. During the corrective action period the program was required to describe the process for ensuring youth are assessed within 72 hours. Additionally, the program submitted copies of the vulnerability assessments (and admission dates) for all youth have entered the program (N=2) since the onsite review. The auditor confirmed these assessments were completed within the 72-hour timeframe. The program is now in compliance with this standard.

Interviews with the Program Director and the clinician stated vulnerability risk for all youth is discussed a minimum of twice a year during Transition House Leadership meetings. The program is encouraged to take detailed meeting minutes to clearly document the discussion and placement and programming decisions (considering vulnerability information).

The Howard Center Operations "Policy on Entries into Client Records" supports this PREA standard. The policy explicitly states, "In the CYFS Transition House and the Transition House Program, risk assessments for victimization and abusiveness must be conducted within 72 hours of the resident's admission to the facility and documented in the client health record. Information gathered in these assessments must be used to reduce the risk of sexual abuse by or upon the resident. Re-assessments must be conducted periodically while the resident remains in treatment in the facility (page 1, #3). The Transition House policy "2.0 Intake Procedures" also directs these assessments be completed within 72 hours.

Interviews with the Agency PREA Coordinator and the Transition House Program Director/PREA Compliance Manager explained that the Howard Center uses an electronic health record system. Completed vulnerability tools are stored in hardcopy paper in a locked filing cabinet in the clinician's office. Sensitive information is also stored in a secure folder online, to which only the Agency PREA Coordinator and PREA Compliance Managers have access.

Furthermore, staff are trained on confidentiality and warned that they are permitted to view those client records that directly relate to their job responsibilities. Staff are required to sign the Agreement to Protect the Privacy, Confidentiality and Security of Protected Health Information and Education Records. The statement forbids staff copying client records or using client information, other than necessary as it relates to their specific job duties. The form specifically states, "I understand that I must protect any PII that may come into my possession even though I may not be directly involved in providing services to individuals or families. I will only use and disclose PII with the individual's

permission or as permitted by state and or federal laws. I understand that privacy extends beyond the death of an individual. I understand that my obligation to protect PII extends beyond my work at Howard Center....I will follow all agency privacy and security related policies and procedures. I understand that violating the conditions of this agreement or misusing PII obtained from my work at Howard Center, or from agency records, that I may be subject to civil and or criminal penalties under state and federal laws....I understand that any violation of this agreement may result in disciplinary action up to and including termination..." Interviews with direct care staff verified staff do not access detailed vulnerability information.

In further support of provisions in this standard, all Transition House job descriptions clearly describe staff responsibilities for complying with PREA regulations to include the duty to protect sensitive client information. More specifically, job descriptions for Residential Counselors specifically state, that these staff are responsible "...for the coordination and implementation of behavioral plans, milieu adjustments due to crisis management and supervision of the youth residing in the program as well as ensuring the safety of all residents. In order to adequately supervise youth, implement safety and behavioral plans, respond to crisis situations and fulfill roles as treatment providers, the position will have access to clinical documentation, psychological evaluations and client history including a youth's history of sexual perpetration and or victimization. The position is required to participate in clinical supervision and must adhere to relevant privacy regulations." Job descriptions are regularly reviewed as part of the agency's staff performance review process, which provides an opportunity for supervisors to reinforce these expectations. Direct care staff interviews verified they are required to uphold confidentiality and follow strict guidelines regarding client information (including vulnerability risk information).

#### **Corrective Actions**

• The program is required to establish a clear process to ensure timely completion of vulnerability assessments, including having a back-up person to complete these assessments when the clinician is not available. Transition House will be required to submit completed vulnerability tools to the auditor for all new intakes occurring during the corrective action period.

As previously stated, during the corrective action period the program was required to describe the process for ensuring youth are assessed within 72 hours. Additionally, the program submitted copies of the vulnerability assessments (and admission dates) for all youth have entered the program (N=2) since the onsite review. The auditor confirmed these assessments were completed within the 72-hour timeframe. The program is now in compliance with this standard.

### Standard 115.342: Use of screening information

#### 115.342 (a)

■ Does the agency use all of the information obtained pursuant to § 115.341 and subsequently, with the goal of keeping all residents safe and free from sexual abuse, to make: Housing Assignments? 

Yes 
No

■ Does the agency use all of the information obtained pursuant to § 115.341 and subsequently, with the goal of keeping all residents safe and free from sexual abuse, to make: Bed assignments?   Yes □ No	
■ Does the agency use all of the information obtained pursuant to § 115.341 and subsequently, with the goal of keeping all residents safe and free from sexual abuse, to make: Work Assignments?   Yes □ No	
■ Does the agency use all of the information obtained pursuant to § 115.341 and subsequently, with the goal of keeping all residents safe and free from sexual abuse, to make: Education Assignments?   Yes □ No	
<ul> <li>Does the agency use all of the information obtained pursuant to § 115.341 and subsequently, with the goal of keeping all residents safe and free from sexual abuse, to make: Program Assignments?</li></ul>	
115.342 (b)	
■ Are residents isolated from others only as a last resort when less restrictive measures are inadequate to keep them and other residents safe, and then only until an alternative means of keeping all residents safe can be arranged?   Yes □ No	
<ul> <li>During any period of isolation, does the agency always refrain from denying residents daily large-muscle exercise?</li></ul>	
■ During any period of isolation, does the agency always refrain from denying residents any legally required educational programming or special education services? ⊠ Yes □ No	
<ul> <li>■ Do residents in isolation receive daily visits from a medical or mental health care clinician?</li> <li>☑ Yes ☐ No</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>■ Do residents also have access to other programs and work opportunities to the extent possible?</li> <li>☑ Yes □ No</li> </ul>	
115.342 (c)	
<ul> <li>Does the agency always refrain from placing: Lesbian, gay, and bisexual residents in particular housing, bed, or other assignments solely on the basis of such identification or status?</li> <li>         ⊠ Yes □ No     </li> </ul>	•
■ Does the agency always refrain from placing: Transgender residents in particular housing, bed, or other assignments solely on the basis of such identification or status?   Yes □ No	,

	the agency always refrain from placing: Intersex residents in particular housing, bed, or assignments solely on the basis of such identification or status? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No
	s the agency always refrain from considering lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, or intersex diffication or status as an indicator or likelihood of being sexually abusive? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No
115.342 (d)	
fema ensur secur fema	n deciding whether to assign a transgender or intersex resident to a facility for male or le residents, does the agency consider on a case-by-case basis whether a placement would re the resident's health and safety, and whether a placement would present management or rity problems (NOTE: if an agency by policy or practice assigns residents to a male or le facility on the basis of anatomy alone, that agency is not in compliance with this lard)? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No
the a	n making housing or other program assignments for transgender or intersex residents, does gency consider on a case-by-case basis whether a placement would ensure the resident's h and safety, and whether a placement would present management or security problems?  ⊠ Yes □ No
115.342 (e)	
-	placement and programming assignments for each transgender or intersex resident essed at least twice each year to review any threats to safety experienced by the resident?    Yes □ No
115.342 (f)	
serio	each transgender or intersex resident's own views with respect to his or her own safety given us consideration when making facility and housing placement decisions and programming nments?   Yes   No
115.342 (g)	
	ransgender and intersex residents given the opportunity to shower separately from other ents? ⊠ Yes □ No
115.342 (h)	
docu	esident is isolated pursuant to paragraph (b) of this section, does the facility clearly ment: The basis for the facility's concern for the resident's safety? (N/A for h and i if ity doesn't use isolation?) $\square$ Yes $\square$ No $\boxtimes$ NA

Howard Center: Transition House

•	docum	ent: The reason why no alternative means of separation can be arranged? (N/A for h and i ity doesn't use isolation?) $\square$ Yes $\square$ No $\boxtimes$ NA
115.34	12 (i)	
•	inadeq whethe	case of each resident who is isolated as a last resort when less restrictive measures are uate to keep them and other residents safe, does the facility afford a review to determine or there is a continuing need for separation from the general population EVERY 30? Yes $\square$ No
Audit	or Over	all Compliance Determination
		Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
	$\boxtimes$	Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the
		standard for the relevant review period)
		Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

- Transition House Vulnerability Assessment Instrument: Risk of Victimization and/or Sexually Aggressive Behavior/Violent Behavior
- Transition House Individualized Crisis Management Plan (ICMP)
- T-House Staff Handbook
- T-House Policy 2.1 Risk Assessment
- Interview with PREA Compliance Manager
- Interview with Agency PREA Coordinator
- Interview with individuals responsible for conducting vulnerability risk assessment and making placement decisions based on assessment information
- Interviews with staff who supervise youth 1:1
- Interviews with youth who have been separated from the group as a result of allegations of sexual abuse and/or sexual harassment verifying youth have access to education and recreation daily; Transition House prohibits the use of isolation
- Running Bedroom Placement Log (during corrective action period)

The Transition House has adopted the Vulnerability Assessment Instrument: Risk of Victimization and/or Sexually Aggressive Behavior/Violent Behavior adapted from the Florida Department of Juvenile Justice (Adapted from Vulnerability Scale from New Zealand) to assess a youth's risk to be victimized or to perpetrate sexual assault. Review of documentation and interviews with the Transition Clinician and Program Director indicate the facility considers all factors when determining in which bedroom youth are placed, consistent with PREA standards. The Transition House Clinician is responsible for conducting the vulnerability assessment on youth. During the audit interview, the program clinician explained she gathers vulnerability information through interviewing the youth, observing, and consulting referral documents detailing youth's history. This information is used to determine the course of treatment and plays a role in determining where youth will be placed within the program (i.e. which bedroom, first or second floor, etc.). For example, youth who are at high risk for victimization would be

placed in a bedroom closest to the staff office, separate from youth who are high risk for perpetration. Youth who qualify to live in the independent living apartment must demonstrate success in the program and/or at another similar setting. These youth are not placed in the independent apartment based on sexual orientation, although this is one risk factor that is considered when placing youth in the program.

As previously mentioned, bedroom assignments are made based on individual needs and considers the treatment and supervision level required to ensure youth and staff safety. Upon completion of the vulnerability risk assessment at intake and within one week of a youth's arriving to the program, the T-House Clinician meets with youth. Following this meeting, the Clinician incorporates vulnerability information into her clinical notes on the Howard Center electronic record system. As previously mentioned, the auditor reviewed completed vulnerability assessments while onsite. Interviews supported that while the program discusses vulnerability information and bedroom assignments for new intakes during the weekly leadership meeting (managers only), this discussion is not clearly documented. There was little evidence of placement decisions based on vulnerability risk. Therefore, the program will be required to improve it's documentation of these discussions and placement and programmatic decisions based on risk information.

The Transition House does not use isolation. If there is an incident of resident-on-resident abuse, staff are trained to separate the youth, which may require both youth spending time in their individual bedrooms. The perpetrator will be placed on one-on-one supervision with staff. While on restriction, agency policy dictates that youth will continue to participate in programming. More specifically, the Transition House Staff Handbook states, "If a client is the identified as the perpetrator in an allegation of sexual harassment and/or sexual abuse, the program director (or director on call) will work with staff will establish a safety plan to manage for any increased risk in the milieu until the incident can be investigated and an outcome is established. All investigations and responses will follow Howard Center's Policies and Protocols Addressing PREA. If this safety plan results in the isolation of either the perpetrator or the accuser, these individuals will continue to receive their full programming as outlined in their IPC, but with increased support and/or supervision."

The Transition House policies supports the current practice of discussing vulnerable youth such as LGBTQI during leadership meetings and that the Program Clinician is responsible for documenting summaries of these meetings. The program's policy "2.1 Risk Assessment" also explains how information from the vulnerability tool is used to inform treatment and placement decisions. Again, while interviews with program leaders supported this practice is in place, the program will be required to create a formal structure for ensuring this practice occurs consistently (and is clearly documented).

The Transition House Staff Handbook directs that youth will be formally assessed on a monthly basis using the risk and vulnerability assessment. These assessments will be completed to gather information on risk to relapse on high-risk behaviors, vulnerability to be a victim of sexual abuse, risk to be a perpetrator of sexual abuse, and asses needed changes to supervision. The Transition House policy clearly states, "...this information will be used to identify any changes in supervision, bedroom, bathroom and other placement needs. Attention will be paid to the impact of highly vulnerable youth (for example, residents who identify as LGBTQI and those who've experienced sexual trauma previously)." Although Transition House has not yet had a transgender or intersex youth, the auditor reminded the Program Director of the PREA provision requiring these youth be formally assessed for vulnerability risk a minimum of twice per year. The Program Director and the clinician stated they were

aware of this provision and as previously mentioned, the Transition House discusses vulnerability and risk tool updates during its Leadership meetings a minimum of twice per year.

#### **Corrective Actions**

The program will need to establish a formal process for documenting placement decisions based
on information obtained through the vulnerability assessment. Transition House may consider
documenting these decisions and rationale for placement directly on the intake vulnerability tool
and/or by taking detailed meeting minutes that capture the detailed discussion and final
placement decisions from leadership meetings.

During the corrective action period the program created the "Running Bedroom Placement Log" to better track and document how vulnerability risk assessment information is being used to determine youth bed placement and programming. This log was submitted to the auditor in August 2021 review. The auditor noted verified that the log includes all program youth; youth's intake date; vulnerability risk level as per the standardized assessment (i.e., low, medium, high); where the youth was placed; and the rationale for the placement. By way of example, the log specifically stated, "[Youth A] scored as high on the vulnerability assessment. With the additional new clients who are going to be entering program, this bedroom is closest to staff office." The log also shows the program is using the initial vulnerability information on an ongoing basis to change placements as needed. For example the log states, "[Youth A] moved from upstairs room to the annex apartment on first floor. Given [Youth A's] clinical need for more independent practice, along with his risk of victimization [Youth A] will be moved to the room which is separated from the other clients. [Youth A] will have own bathroom in this room and other clients do not have access to this room or bathroom."

To better support provisions in this standard and document ongoing discussions regarding vulnerability risk and program placement, the program also created a standing agenda item on the weekly leadership meeting agenda to discuss all youth placements. During the corrective action period, the Program Director submitted samples of these meeting minutes to verify these discussions are now regularly taking place.

# REPORTING

### Standard 115.351: Resident reporting

### 115.351 (a)

- Does the agency provide multiple internal ways for residents to privately report: Sexual abuse and sexual harassment? 

  Yes □ No
- Does the agency provide multiple internal ways for residents to privately report: Retaliation by other residents or staff for reporting sexual abuse and sexual harassment? 

  ✓ Yes 

  ✓ No

		t Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)
		candard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the for the relevant review period)
	□ Exceeds	Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
Audito	or Overall Comp	oliance Determination
		sidents? ⊠ Yes □ No
	Does the agency	provide a method for staff to privately report sexual abuse and sexual
•	Does the facility  Yes	provide residents with access to tools necessary to make a written report? $\Box$ No
115.35	(1 (d)	
•	Do staff member   ⊠ Yes	rs promptly document any verbal reports of sexual abuse and sexual harassment? $\square$ No
•		rs accept reports of sexual abuse and sexual harassment made verbally, in ously, and from third parties? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No
115.35	11 (c)	
•	contact relevant	tained solely for civil immigration purposes provided information on how to consular officials and relevant officials at the Department of Homeland Security abuse or harassment? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No
•	Does that private   ⊠ Yes □	e entity or office allow the resident to remain anonymous upon request? No
•	-	tity or office able to receive and immediately forward resident reports of sexual larassment to agency officials? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No
•		also provide at least one way for residents to report sexual abuse or sexual public or private entity or office that is not part of the agency? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No
115.35	(1 (b)	
•		provide multiple internal ways for residents to privately report: Staff neglect or onsibilities that may have contributed to such incidents? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No

PREA Audit Report Page 63 of 124 Howard Center: Transition House

Operations Manual

Agency's Policies and Protocols Addressing the Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA) in the

- State of VT Statutes Online Title 33, Chapter 49: Child Welfare Services
- State of VT Policy 52, Child Safety Interventions
- Agency's Consumer Grievance and Appeal Policy in the Operations Manual
- Agency's Consumer Grievance and Appeal Procedures in the Procedures Manual
- Agency's Adult or Child Abuse Reporting Policy in the Operations Manual
- HC PREA Grievance Form
- Transition House grievance box
- Transition House Youth Handbook
- Transition House Staff Handbook
- Transition House PREA Resources and Information bulletin board
- Interviews with random staff
- Interview with PREA Compliance Manager
- Facility audit tour observations
- HC website explains third-party reporting information
- Review of incident reports verifying verbal reports of sexual abuse and sexual harassment

The Howard Center Transition House provides several avenues by which youth may report incidents of sexual abuse, sexual harassment, or retaliation by other residents or staff. The staff handbook states program youth may "make a verbal or written report to a staff member...may also call Centralized Intake (DCF Child Reporting Hotline), their DCF worker, attorney, Guardian ad Litum or parent." This information and specific contact information is provided on the "PREA Resources and Information" bulletin board in the Transition House recreation area. Interviews revealed that Program Director and the PREA Compliance Manager/Team Lead have keys to the locked suggestion/grievance box. This box is checked once a day, Monday through Friday.

All youth interviewed articulated that if someone was harming them, they would tell a staff member, their DCF worker, their lawyer or contact local law enforcement. All youth reported they knew about the PREA bulletin board and that they could call the abuse hotline number if someone was harming them. Two of the three youth interviewed did not know about victim advocacy services, although all of the youth stated that they thought there were phone numbers on the PREA bulletin board in the recreation/sitting room. Since not all youth knew about HOPE Works the Transition House Program Director is encouraged to invite a HOPE Works representative to come speak with youth and staff about the advocacy services they offer.

All youth stated that they are permitted to call their attorneys or make other professional phone calls daily and are afforded privacy during these calls. All of these phone numbers appear on the youth's approved phone list and youth explained they have privacy when making calls to DCF, their attorney, and making an abuse report (i.e., staff dial the phone and then observe youth from outside the closed door). This practice is supported in language in the Staff Handbook which states, "Clients may always speak with their DCF worker, DCF hotline, Lawyer and GAL without monitoring and/or supervision." All staff confirmed that they provide youth with privacy when talking with their DCF worker and their lawyers. Staff also stated that they would provide youth with the same privacy if youth requested to call the abuse hotline number or HOPE Works. Youth interviews also verified they understood they are allowed to have privacy when making a report of sexual abuse.

The Howard Center PREA policy supports existing practices at Transition House. The policy clearly states, "...third parties, including other residents, staff members, family members, legal guardians, outside advocates, and attorneys for the resident, may file grievances on behalf of the resident currently or formerly in custody at facility and may assist the resident in completing the grievance; resident orientation and facility handbooks shall include a clear statement of the resident's right to report and pursue a grievance without retaliation, as well as information about resident's grievance options, the process for reporting a grievance, the location of grievance boxes and forms, and any other information necessary to report a grievance through any of the available means; there is no time limit on when individuals may file a grievance alleging sexual misconduct..." The auditor commends Howard Center for memorializing this expectation in agency policy to ensure facilities comply with federal regulations. Third party reporting information is also found on the Howard Center's Safe Environmental Standards webpage.

Onsite interviews with staff revealed that staff understand their responsibilities as a mandatory reporter and that they could file a report on behalf of a youth. They also understood they are required to report third-party complaints as well as anonymous reports. The agency "Consumer Grievance and Appeal Policy and Consumer Grievance and Appeal Procedures" ensures all staff understand the client grievance process and their role in assisting youth when necessary. The policy specifically states, "staff will be trained on the consumer complaint, grievance and appeal policy and procedures upon hire and annually thereafter. Any individuals initiating or pursuing a complaint, grievance or appeal will be free from any form of retaliation.... A complaint should be discussed initially with the staff person most directly involved. The client need not put the complaint into writing unless he/she, or others, feel it would help in clearly defining the problem. A staff person can assist a consumer in putting the complaint in writing if so requested."

Youth interviews revealed that all youth feel safe in the program and would feel comfortable approaching Transition House staff or a trusted adult to report any incidents of sexual abuse. Youth also verified that in the event of an emergency, such as in the case of reporting abuse, that staff would afford them privacy to make a phone call to any of the individuals on their approved contact list. As previously stated, all youth reported they are permitted to privately call their attorneys on a daily basis. Similarly, staff interviewed stated if they wished to make a report of sexual abuse or sexual harassment, they could have privacy by closing the door to the staff office and calling their supervisor of State of VT DCF.

#### Standard 115.352: Exhaustion of administrative remedies

#### 115.352 (a)

•	Is the agency exempt from this standard? NOTE: The agency is exempt ONLY if it does not
	have administrative procedures to address resident grievances regarding sexual abuse. This does
	not mean the agency is exempt simply because a resident does not have to or is not ordinarily
	expected to submit a grievance to report sexual abuse. This means that as a matter of explicit
	policy, the agency does not have an administrative remedies process to address sexual abuse.
	□ Yes ⊠ No □ NA

115.352	2 (b)
•	Does the agency permit residents to submit a grievance regarding an allegation of sexual abuse without any type of time limits? (The agency may apply otherwise-applicable time limits to any portion of a grievance that does not allege an incident of sexual abuse.) (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No $\square$ NA  Does the agency always refrain from requiring a resident to use any informal grievance process, or to otherwise attempt to resolve with staff, an alleged incident of sexual abuse? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No $\square$ NA
115 05	
115.352	2 (c)
	Does the agency ensure that: A resident who alleges sexual abuse may submit a grievance without submitting it to a staff member who is the subject of the complaint? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No $\square$ NA
	Does the agency ensure that: Such grievance is not referred to a staff member who is the subject of the complaint? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No $\square$ NA
115.352	2 (d)
	Does the agency issue a final agency decision on the merits of any portion of a grievance alleging sexual abuse within 90 days of the initial filing of the grievance? (Computation of the 90-day time period does not include time consumed by residents in preparing any administrative appeal.) (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No $\square$ NA
	If the agency determines that the 90-day timeframe is insufficient to make an appropriate decision and claims an extension of time [the maximum allowable extension of time to respond is 70 days per $115.352(d)(3)$ ], does the agency notify the resident in writing of any such extension and provide a date by which a decision will be made? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No $\square$ NA
	At any level of the administrative process, including the final level, if the resident does not receive a response within the time allotted for reply, including any properly noticed extension, may a resident consider the absence of a response to be a denial at that level? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No $\square$ NA
115.352 (e)	
	Are third parties, including fellow residents, staff members, family members, attorneys, and outside advocates, permitted to assist residents in filing requests for administrative remedies relating to allegations of sexual abuse? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)  □ Yes □ No □ NA

•	Are those third parties also permitted to file such requests on behalf of residents? (If a third party, other than a parent or legal guardian, files such a request on behalf of a resident, the facility may require as a condition of processing the request that the alleged victim agree to have the request filed on his or her behalf, and may also require the alleged victim to personally pursue any subsequent steps in the administrative remedy process.) (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No $\square$ NA
•	If the resident declines to have the request processed on his or her behalf, does the agency document the resident's decision? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No $\square$ NA
•	Is a parent or legal guardian of a juvenile allowed to file a grievance regarding allegations of sexual abuse, including appeals, on behalf of such juvenile? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No $\square$ NA
•	If a parent or legal guardian of a juvenile files a grievance (or an appeal) on behalf of a juvenile regarding allegations of sexual abuse, is it the case that those grievances are not conditioned upon the juvenile agreeing to have the request filed on his or her behalf? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No $\square$ NA
115.35	52 (f)
•	Has the agency established procedures for the filing of an emergency grievance alleging that a resident is subject to a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No $\square$ NA
•	After receiving an emergency grievance alleging a resident is subject to a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse, does the agency immediately forward the grievance (or any portion thereof that alleges the substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse) to a level of review at which immediate corrective action may be taken? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.).   □ NO □ NA
•	After receiving an emergency grievance described above, does the agency provide an initial response within 48 hours? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No $\square$ NA
•	After receiving an emergency grievance described above, does the agency issue a final agency decision within 5 calendar days? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)  ⊠ Yes □ No □ NA
•	Does the initial response and final agency decision document the agency's determination whether the resident is in substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No $\square$ NA

-		nce? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No $\square$ NA
•		he agency's final decision document the agency's action(s) taken in response to the ency grievance? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No $\square$ NA
115.35	52 (g)	
• Audite	do so (N/A i	Igency disciplines a resident for filing a grievance related to alleged sexual abuse, does it DNLY where the agency demonstrates that the resident filed the grievance in bad faith? If agency is exempt from this standard.) $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No $\square$ NA rall Compliance Determination
		Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)  Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)  Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)
		· •

- Agency's Policies and Protocols Addressing the Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA) in the **Operations Manual**
- Transition House Staff Handbook
- PREA Grievance Form
- Youth interviews
- Staff interviews
- Pictures of new plexi-glass grievance box (during corrective action period)

Youth can file a grievance at any time while at the Transition House and are not required to use an informal grievance process such as attempting to resolve the issue with the staff member who may be the subject of the grievance. The Howard Center PREA policy states, "There is no time limit on when individuals may file a grievance alleging sexual misconduct. All issues related to allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment, as well as allegations of retaliation, are grievable. Staff shall not require a resident youth to use an informal grievance process or otherwise try to resolve with staff incidents involving alleged staff sexual misconduct." In addition, the policy also states, "Third parties, including other residents, staff members, family members, legal guardians, outside advocates, and attorneys for the resident, may file grievances on behalf of the resident currently or formerly in custody at facility and may assist the resident in completing the grievance." As previously mentioned, youth have several avenues for filing grievances, including the suggestion/grievance box. All youth and staff interviewed verified youth and staff are permitted to file a grievance at any time and that they would assist youth with filing a grievance as needed/requested.

The agency PREA policy also addresses other provisions in this standard. More specifically, the policy directs the PREA Compliance Manager to meet with the youth within 24 hours of receipt of a grievance or the next business day, whichever is sooner. The PREA Compliance Manager is also required to meet Page 68 of 124

with the youth again to explain the grievance process within three days. Youth interviewed all stated they had not filed a grievance of any kind.

Agency expectations also include: "upon completion of the investigation into the grievance the facility PREA Compliance Manager shall explain to the resident the resolution of the matter and the reasons for the decision, documenting any resolution that has already occurred, and recommending or explaining any decisions made pertaining to the grievance. Grievances will be addressed promptly but may require more time to investigate. If more time is needed, then the facility shall render a final decision within 90 days unless the facility needs an extension of time up to 70 additional days. The resident shall be apprised of any time extensions and the date by which a decision will be made in writing."

Although the Howard Center has several policies addressing the grievance process, the agency PREA policy, "Policies and Protocols Addressing the Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA)," speaks most directly to the emergency grievance process and supports compliance with this standard. The policy reads:

- Grievances that allege the possibility of imminent harm shall be processed in an expedited fashion;
- If needed, staff shall assist the resident in writing his or her grievance and explaining the nature of the emergency. The individual who is informed of the grievance shall communicate the grievance and the nature of the emergency to the facility PREA Compliance Manager;
- The facility PREA Compliance Manager in consultation with the PREA Coordinator shall determine whether the matter is an emergency. If the matter is an emergency, he or she shall investigate the matter and provide the resident with an initial response within 24 hours of the resident's filing of the grievance and a final decision within three calendar days. If he or she determines that the matter is not an emergency, he or she shall explain this to the resident and forward the grievance for processing according to the procedures listed above;
- The facility PREA Compliance Manager shall report all emergency grievances involving substantiated cases of alleged abuse or neglect to the PREA Coordinator immediately"

Review of incident and investigation reports confirmed that the program responds quickly to allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment (i.e., meeting with youth as soon as possible and within the 24-hour target timeframe). As previously described, all program youth reported various ways they could report sexual abuse or harassment, including telling a staff member, calling their DCF social worker, or completing a written anonymous PREA Grievance Form and placing it in the locked box on the unit. This box is checked by the Program Director and the Team Lead throughout the week. However, the locked grievance box is not checked on the weekends and holidays. PREA provision (f 2) requires the program to respond to emergency grievances within 48 hours. The program will be required to implement a practice to ensure emergency grievance can be responded to within the 48-hour time requirement.

All staff confirmed they would assist youth with writing a grievance upon request (and most stated they would offer this option to youth). In addition, all youth understood they could ask staff or family members for help with filing a grievance.

The agency PREA policy also states that staff are prohibited from disciplining or retaliating against youth for filing a good faith grievance. Additionally, the Transition House Staff Handbook states, "Any unfounded allegation or report made in good-faith, will not result in any discipline." Staff interviews confirmed they understand retaliation is strictly prohibited.

#### **Corrective Action**

• The program is required to create a system for ensuring the grievance box is checked by managers on weekends and holidays to meet the 48-hour timeframe for emergency grievances. Transition House may choose to create a cut out of the box and replace the section with plexi glass, allowing staff members to see if a grievance have been filed. If this occurs on the weekends or holidays, the direct care staff could contact the on-call manager to come to the program and review the grievance (and begin a sexual abuse or sexual harassment investigation if warranted).

During the corrective action period, the program replaced the existing grievance box with a clear plexiglass grievance box. This box is securely locked and only managers have a key to unlock it. A picture was sent to the auditor to verify this box was installed. The Program Director also submitted meeting minutes from two staff meetings in which staff were informed of their new responsibilities regarding the grievance box. Staff were notified they are now required to visually check the grievance box throughout their shift and to alert the on-call manager if there is anything in the box. Conversations with the Program Director confirmed the new practice of direct care staff contacting the on-call manager who will then come to the program to review the grievance. This new practice better ensures emergency grievances are addressed consistent with PREA expectations.

The auditor determines the program is now in compliance with this standard.

# Standard 115.353: Resident access to outside confidential support services and legal representation

#### 115.353 (a)

•	Does the facility provide residents with access to outside victim advocates for emotional support services related to sexual abuse by providing, posting, or otherwise making accessible mailing addresses and telephone numbers, including toll-free hotline numbers where available, of local,
	State, or national victim advocacy or rape crisis organizations? ⊠ Yes □ No
•	Does the facility provide persons detained solely for civil immigration purposes mailing addresses and telephone numbers, including toll-free hotline numbers where available of local, State, or national immigrant services agencies? ⊠ Yes □ No
•	Does the facility enable reasonable communication between residents and these organizations and agencies, in as confidential a manner as possible? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No

115.353	<b>5</b> (D)
(	Does the facility inform residents, prior to giving them access, of the extent to which such communications will be monitored and the extent to which reports of abuse will be forwarded to authorities in accordance with mandatory reporting laws? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No
115.353	3 (c)
;	Does the agency maintain or attempt to enter into memoranda of understanding or other agreements with community service providers that are able to provide residents with confidential emotional support services related to sexual abuse? ⊠ Yes □ No
	Does the agency maintain copies of agreements or documentation showing attempts to enter into such agreements? ⊠ Yes □ No
115.353	<b>3</b> (d)
	Does the facility provide residents with reasonable and confidential access to their attorneys or other legal representation? ⊠ Yes □ No
• ]	Does the facility provide residents with reasonable access to parents or legal guardians?  ⊠ Yes □ No
Audito	r Overall Compliance Determination
	<ul> <li>□ Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)</li> <li>□ Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)</li> <li>□ Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)</li> </ul>
	ce Used in Compliance Determination:
	D = 0  MOLI  (1  Cl.(1.1), 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 +

- Draft MOU with Children's Advocacy Center (CAC) and Chittenden Unit of Specialized Investigations (CUSI)
- Emails verifying attempts made to secure MOU with CAC/CUSI
- Transition House Staff Handbook
- Transition House PREA Resources and Information bulletin board
- Transition House PREA information pamphlet provided at intake
- Youth interviews
- Staff interviews
- HOPE Works contact information hanging on the PREA bulletin board

The Howard Center Transition House Street program has a fully executed MOU with HOPE Works and a draft MOU with the Chittenden Children's Advocacy Center (CAC). These MOUs are comprehensive and clearly outline the specific responsibilities of individual parties. An interview with the Agency

PREA Coordinator securing the MOU with the CAC has been challenging. The draft MOU and several emails verifying Howard Center's efforts to enter into these agreements were reviewed by the auditor. The federal PREA standards require an agency to "maintain or attempt to enter into a memoranda of understanding..." and therefore, Transition House is in compliance with this provision. The auditor attempted several times to upload these email correspondences to the PRC OAS but was unsuccessful. The auditor applauds the Howard Center for its persistence and commitment to establishing these MOUs. Once an MOU is secured, the Transition House Program Director is encouraged to invite representatives from HOPE Works to speak with program youth and staff about the services they provide. That said, contact information for HOPE Works is provided on the PREA Resources and Information bulletin board located in the recreation area on the first floor. Although some residents were not aware of these services, all youth cited several individuals not affiliated with the Transition House program whom they could call for assistance if they were sexually abused or harassed. This included the State of Vermont DCF social worker or the abuse hotline.

The PREA information pamphlet provided to youth and families upon arriving to the Transition House program also provides a list of individuals who can be contacted in the event of sexual abuse or harassment. In addition, the University of Vermont Medical Center website (<a href="www.uvmhealth.org">www.uvmhealth.org</a>) on the Sexual Assault Program webpage, provides information about the SANE program services provided. On this same page, there is a video about the advocacy services offered by HOPE Works as part of the SANE program/process.

The Transition House Staff handbook states, "Clients may always speak with their DCF worker, DCF hotline, Lawyer and GAL without monitoring and/or supervision." While onsite, interviews with all youth and many staff verified youth have privacy when talking with their attorneys and other approved contacts (i.e., staff dial the phone for youth and then step away outside of ear shot).

The auditor acknowledges the time and cooperation that is involved in establishing and attempting to establish a MOU. The Howard Center and Transition House has clearly demonstrated its commitment to meeting the youth's medical and psychological needs, particularly in the event of a sexual abuse and/or sexual assault. Therefore, the auditor applauds the Howard Center for continuing to pursue MOUs with the entities previously mentioned.

#### Standard 115.354: Third-party reporting

#### 115.354 (a)

- Has the agency established a method to receive third-party reports of sexual abuse and sexual harassment? 

  Yes 

  No
- Has the agency distributed publicly information on how to report sexual abuse and sexual harassment on behalf of a resident? 

  Yes □ No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination					
		Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)  Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)  Page Not Most Standard (Requires Competing Action)			
Evider	□ ace Usea	Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)  l in Compliance Determination:			
	Operat	y's Policies and Protocols Addressing the Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA) in the ions Manual d Center webpage Safe Environment Standards			
take re The H progra the Sta the H	ports from and a steep of Volume of	In other sections of this report, the Howard Center has several policies requiring staff to om third parties and requiring them to contact DCF Centralized intake to make the report. Center's webpage for the Transition House program provides information about the gency's zero tolerance policies; process and contact information for third-party reporting; ermont policy that describes the investigatory process for incidents of sexual abuse; and Center annual report that includes progress on implementing PREA and sexual abuse The auditor has reviewed the webpage and all links are in working order.			
		OFFICIAL RESPONSE FOLLOWING A RESIDENT REPORT			
Stand <b>115.3</b> 6		.361: Staff and agency reporting duties			
•	Does that oc Does the	ne agency require all staff to report immediately and according to agency policy any edge, suspicion, or information regarding an incident of sexual abuse or sexual harassment curred in a facility, whether or not it is part of the agency?   Yes  No ne agency require all staff to report immediately and according to agency policy any edge, suspicion, or information regarding retaliation against residents or staff who reported dent of sexual abuse or sexual harassment?  Yes  No			

■ Does the agency require all staff to comply with any applicable mandatory child abuse reporting laws?  $\boxtimes$  Yes  $\square$  No

Does the agency require all staff to report immediately and according to agency policy any knowledge, suspicion, or information regarding any staff neglect or violation of responsibilities that may have contributed to an incident of sexual abuse or sexual harassment or retaliation?

115.361 (b)

 $\boxtimes$  Yes  $\square$  No

115.361 (c)
Apart from reporting to designated supervisors or officials and designated State or local services agencies, are staff prohibited from revealing any information related to a sexual abuse report to anyone other than to the extent necessary, as specified in agency policy, to make treatment, investigation, and other security and management decisions? ⊠ Yes □ No
115.361 (d)
<ul> <li>Are medical and mental health practitioners required to report sexual abuse to designated supervisors and officials pursuant to paragraph (a) of this section as well as to the designated State or local services agency where required by mandatory reporting laws? ⋈ Yes ⋈ No</li> <li>Are medical and mental health practitioners required to inform residents of their duty to report,</li> </ul>
and the limitations of confidentiality, at the initiation of services? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No
115.361 (e)
■ Upon receiving any allegation of sexual abuse, does the facility head or his or her designee promptly report the allegation to the appropriate office?   Yes □ No
<ul> <li>Upon receiving any allegation of sexual abuse, does the facility head or his or her designee promptly report the allegation to the alleged victim's parents or legal guardians unless the facility has official documentation showing the parents or legal guardians should not be notified?</li> <li></li></ul>
■ If the alleged victim is under the guardianship of the child welfare system, does the facility head or his or her designee promptly report the allegation to the alleged victim's caseworker instead of the parents or legal guardians? (N/A if the alleged victim is not under the guardianship of the child welfare system.) ⊠ Yes □ No □ NA
■ If a juvenile court retains jurisdiction over the alleged victim, does the facility head or designee also report the allegation to the juvenile's attorney or other legal representative of record within 14 days of receiving the allegation? ⊠ Yes □ No
115.361 (f)
■ Does the facility report all allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including third-party and anonymous reports, to the facility's designated investigators? ⊠ Yes □ No

# **Auditor Overall Compliance Determination**

	Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
$\boxtimes$	Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the
	standard for the relevant review period)
	Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

Evidence Used in Compliance Determination:

- Vermont's child abuse reporting law (Title 33, Chapter 49)
- Agency's Policies and Protocols Addressing the Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA) in the Operations Manual
- Agency's Adult or Child Abuse Reporting Policy in the Operations Manual
- Transition House Comprehensive Care Core Manual
- Transition House Staff Handbook Staff Expectations and Professionalism
- Howard Center webpage Safe Environment Standards (<a href="https://howardcenter.org/safe-environment-standards/">https://howardcenter.org/safe-environment-standards/</a>)
- Interviews with staff
- Interview with PREA Compliance Manager
- Interview with Program Director
- Interview with Clinicians
- Training records confirming staff have completed PREA training and HC Mandatory Child Abuse Reporting training
- Investigation reports and supporting documentation

Vermont's child abuse reporting law (Title 33, Chapter 49) states that if a person has reasonable cause to believe that a child has been abused or neglected, he or she must make a report to the Department for Children and Families (DCF). In support of this law, the Howard Center Home and Community Services Core Manual clearly describes staff responsibilities as a mandatory reporter. The manual explains, "State law mandates that professionals in the fields of education, childcare, mental health, social services, medicine and law enforcement report all suspected cases of child abuse and neglect. Reports must be made within 24 hours if they have reasonable cause to believe that a child has been abused or neglected. You are a mandated reporter. You are obligated to report suspicion of abuse or neglect of any at-risk population...If you suspect abuse or neglect, it is your responsibility to report it, not to investigate or judge whether or not it merits investigation. The first step is discussing with your supervisor.... It is important to remember that as a provider you are not responsible for determining whether or not abuse or neglect actually occurred. Your responsibility is only to pass on the information to DCF; it is DCF's responsibility to conduct an investigation and make a legal finding." Following a verbal report to the supervisor and a written incident report must be completed by the end of the work shift. The completed incident report is sent to the Program Director who ensures the appropriate parties are notified (i.e., Family Worker, Clinical Director, DCF, Licensing, Police, CYFS Director, etc.).

Interviews with direct care staff and the program clinician revealed that these individuals are aware of their responsibilities as mandatory reporters and they understand the process for responding to reports of sexual abuse and/or harassment. In addition, the Transition House mental health clinician reported she verbally informs youth of her mandatory reporting responsibilities when she initially meets with a youth and periodically during their stay (as necessary). All youth interviews confirmed that youth understand that all staff are mandatory reporters and what the law requires. The Howard Center PREA policy also states, "Family members, attorneys, guardians and other third parties may file grievances on behalf of resident in writing or verbally by indicating that they have a complaint to any staff member including the Administrator." This policy language and information from staff interviews provide evidence of compliance with provisions in this PREA standard.

Supporting information regarding the agency's zero tolerance for retaliation is found in the agency policy, "Policies and Protocols Addressing the Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA)." This PREA policy specifically states, "No facility employee, volunteer or contractor may retaliate against a resident, staff, volunteer, contractor or third party in any way for participating directly or indirectly in the grievance process. Employees, contractors and volunteers shall report any incident of retaliation against a resident, youth, staff, volunteer, contractor or third party for participation in the grievance process, to the facility PREA Compliance Manager who is responsible for monitoring and responding to retaliation."

The Howard Center PREA policy states, "Family members, attorneys, guardians and other third parties may file grievances on behalf of resident in writing or verbally by indicating that they have a complaint to any staff member including the Administrator...reports from third parties or anonymous sources shall be accepted for investigation." This policy language and interviews with staff support compliance with provision (f) of this PREA standard.

The Howard Center prohibits staff from revealing information related to a sexual abuse report to anyone other than the extent necessary to make decisions related to treatment, investigations, and safety and security. Compliance with this PREA provision is supported by the agency PREA policy which specifically states, "All staff members responsible for investigating grievances shall keep confidential the fact that a resident has filed a grievance and the information contained in the grievance, except for the following: a) Reporting the results of the grievance investigation up the chain of command; b) Complying with mandatory reporting responsibilities; and c) Revealing only as much information as is necessary in order to complete the investigation and resolution of the grievance after discussing with the resident the steps necessary to complete an investigation." Interviews with Transition House staff verified they are only permitted to disclose information about the grievance and/or sexual abuse allegation to investigators, DCF Centralized Intake, and the Program Director. Staff may share very limited information with other staff on duty and only enough to keep you safe from imminent harm. The agency PREA policy also requires notification to the victim's parents/legal guardians, the DCF case worker, and the resident's attorney. The Transition House Staff Handbook also provides additional support for provisions in the standard by stating, "Apart from those who need to know about the report of abuse, staff are prohibited from disclosing information related to the report made to anyone else."

In the past 12 months there have been two allegations of youth-on-youth sexual abuse and two allegations of youth-on-youth sexual harassment. Provision (e) of this standard requires the Program Director or designee to contact the alleged victim's parents or legal guardians; case worker if youth is

under the guardianship of the child welfare system; and youth's attorney or legal representative within 14 days of receiving the allegation. Review of incident and investigation reports verified this allegation was reported to the Program Director and thoroughly investigated. Consistent with mandatory reporting laws and State of Vermont licensing regulations, the Transition House made a verbal report to DCF Centralized Intake within 24 hours. The date and time of this call was documented in the investigation report. In addition, the investigation report verified that the victim's legal guardian and case worker were notified immediately following the incident.

Evidence reviewed indicates Park Street notifies the necessary parties when an incident occurs consistent with Howard Center policy and federal PREA standards.

# Standard 115.362: Agency protection duties

#### 115.362 (a)

■ When the agency learns that a resident is subject to a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse, does it take immediate action to protect the resident? 

✓ Yes 

✓ No

# **Auditor Overall Compliance Determination**

	Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
$\boxtimes$	Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the
	standard for the relevant review period)
	<b>Does Not Meet Standard</b> (Requires Corrective Action)

Evidence Used in Compliance Determination:

- Agency's Policies and Protocols Addressing the Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA) in the Operations Manual
- State of Vermont DCF Policy 241
- Review of Transition House incident reports and investigation reports verifying youth were immediately separated and/or placed on 1:1 supervision
- Review of sexual abuse investigation reports
- Interview with HC Human Resources Director
- Interview with HC Human Resource Specialist
- Interview with Program Director
- Interview with PREA Compliance Manager
- Interview with Howard Center CEO

Onsite interviews revealed staff were formally trained on and understand how to ensure youth are kept safe in the event they are at imminent risk for sexual abuse. This process involves taking immediate action to separate the alleged perpetrator and victim. The Howard Center policy "Policies and Protocols Addressing the Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA)" supports this practice by dictating, "a staff member accused of sexual abuse will be immediately suspended with pay; Volunteers, interns, or contractors accused of sexual abuse will be directed to leave the facility immediately."

In the past 12 months there have been two allegations of youth-on-youth sexual abuse and two allegations of youth-on-youth sexual harassment. Interviews with the Program Director/PREA Compliance Manager, Agency PREA Coordinator, Director of Human Resources, and Human Resource Specialist confirmed that in the event a staff member was alleged to have sexually abused a youth, the staff member would be immediately escorted out of the facility and placed on administrative leave. In the event of a youth-on-youth sexual abuse allegation, the program would immediately separate the youth and ensure youth were properly supervised by staff to guard against self- harm or harm to others. Staff interviews revealed they understand the coordinated response protocol which includes immediate action and then following up to ensure safety longer term (i.e., changing youth bedrooms, providing one-on-one staff supervision until the investigation concluded, etc.).

Review of investigative and incident reports verified Transition House practice is consistent with agency policy and federal PREA guidelines. There is sufficient evidence supporting that Transition House staff would respond immediately and appropriately to allegations of sexual abuse.

Stand	ard 115.363: Reporting to other confinement facilities
115.36	63 (a)
•	Upon receiving an allegation that a resident was sexually abused while confined at another facility, does the head of the facility that received the allegation notify the head of the facility or appropriate office of the agency where the alleged abuse occurred? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No
•	Does the head of the facility that received the allegation also notify the appropriate investigative agency? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No
115.36	<b>53 (b)</b>
•	Is such notification provided as soon as possible, but no later than 72 hours after receiving the allegation? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No
115.36	63 (c)
•	Does the agency document that it has provided such notification? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No
115.36	53 (d)
•	the facility head or agency office that receives such notification ensure that the allegation is investigated in accordance with these standards? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No

# **Auditor Overall Compliance Determination**

	Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)		
$\boxtimes$	Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the		
	standard for the relevant review period)		
	Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)		

Evidence Used in Compliance Determination:

- Agency's Policies and Protocols Addressing the Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA) in the Operations Manual
- Howard Center Operations Manual Adult and Child Abuse Reporting
- State of Vermont DCF Policy 241
- Interview with Howard Center CEO
- Interview with Program Director
- Interview with PREA Compliance Manager
- Interview with RLSI Investigator

The Transition House has not had an incident in which a youth disclosed they were sexually abused while in a prior placement/facility in the past 12 months prior to the onsite review. However, Transition House and agency leader interviews all indicated that if this were to happen, a report would be made to Centralized Intake and DCF Residential Licensing Special Investigations Unit. RLSI would be responsible for contacting the superintendent/program director of the youth's prior placement within 72 hours. In support of this testimony The State of Vermont DCF Policy 241 "Licensing Residential Treatment Programs and Regulatory Interventions" states, "Upon receiving information or an allegation that a child/youth was sexually abused or harassed while placed at another RTP, RLSI shall confirm a report was made to Centralized Intake and Emergency Services and notify the program administrator where the suspected abuse occurred within 72 hours. Notification will occur by phone or email and RLSI will document the notification in FSDNet." In addition, the Howard Center PREA policy reiterates that DCF is responsible for reporting the allegation to the facility in which the abuse allegedly occurred. Interviews with the Agency PREA Coordinator, Transition House Program Director, and the RLSI Investigator confirmed this practice.

During the corrective action period, although not required, the State of Vermont revised Policy 241 to further clarify current practice regarding notifying other confinement facilities of sexual abuse incidents. The policy now states, "....federal PREA regulation 28 CFR § 115.363 requires program/facility heads to report to other program/facility heads if they learn of allegations of sexual abuse in other programs (both in-state and out-of-state)....If an employee of an RTP informs RLSI of suspected child abuse/neglect, RLSI will confirm a report was made to Centralized Intake and Emergency Services...If the alleged abuse occurred outside of Vermont, RLSI staff will confirm a report was made to the appropriate investigative agency in the state where the abuse occurred and/or make a joint report with the RTP staff person" (page 5). The auditor applauds the program for further clarifying who is responsible for ensuring the requisite notifications to other facilities is made (in-state and out-of-state).

# Standard 115.364: Staff first responder duties

115.364 (a)	
	learning of an allegation that a resident was sexually abused, is the first security staff er to respond to the report required to: Separate the alleged victim and abuser?   Yes □ No
memb	learning of an allegation that a resident was sexually abused, is the first security staff er to respond to the report required to: Preserve and protect any crime scene until priate steps can be taken to collect any evidence? ⊠ Yes □ No
memb that co chang	learning of an allegation that a resident was sexually abused, is the first security staff er to respond to the report required to: Request that the alleged victim not take any actions ould destroy physical evidence, including, as appropriate, washing, brushing teeth, ing clothes, urinating, defecating, smoking, drinking, or eating, if the abuse occurred a time period that still allows for the collection of physical evidence? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No
memb action chang	learning of an allegation that a resident was sexually abused, is the first security staff er to respond to the report required to: Ensure that the alleged abuser does not take any s that could destroy physical evidence, including, as appropriate, washing, brushing teeth, ing clothes, urinating, defecating, smoking, drinking, or eating, if the abuse occurred a time period that still allows for the collection of physical evidence? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No
115.364 (b)	
the all	first staff responder is not a security staff member, is the responder required to request that eged victim not take any actions that could destroy physical evidence, and then notify ty staff?   Yes  No
<b>Auditor Ove</b>	rall Compliance Determination
	Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)  Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)

Evidence Used in Compliance Determination:

- Agency's Policies and Protocols Addressing the Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA) in the Operations Manual
- HC Operations Manual Adult and Child Abuse Reporting

**Does Not Meet Standard** (Requires Corrective Action)

- Checklist for Coordinated Response to Incidents of Sexual Abuse (Park Street and Transition House)
- HC online PREA training curriculum for staff

- Interviews with staff including first responders
- Interview with human resources staff
- Review of incident reports verifying immediate action was taken in response to allegations of sexual abuse

As described earlier in this report, the Howard Center's "Policies and Protocols Addressing the Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA)" provides specific details on how first responders are required to respond when a youth alleges sexual abuse. These steps include separating the alleged victim and abuser and ensuring the alleged victim and abuser do not take any actions that could destroy physical evidence (i.e., washing, brushing teeth, changing clothes, eating, or using the bathroom). Interviews revealed staff are knowledgeable of their first responder duties, including how to best preserve physical evidence.

There have been no allegations of sexual abuse that involved penetration by a staff member or another resident while a youth was at the Transition House. However, in the previous 12 months there were two allegations of youth-to-youth sexual abuse and two allegations of youth-to-youth sexual harassment. Review of incident and investigation reports provides evidence that Transition House youth (victim and perpetrator) are separated until the investigation is concluded. As previously mentioned, if the incident involved a staff member, all staff understood they would have a duty to protect youth and therefore, the alleged perpetrator (staff member) would be asked to leave immediately. This practice was also verified through information obtained from interviews with Howard Center human resources staff.

#### Standard 115.365: Coordinated response

-	-	_	_		- 4	/ \
•		_	- 4	65	- 7	0
		- 7	7	11.7		1

■ Has the facility developed a written institutional plan to coordinate actions among staff first responders, medical and mental health practitioners, investigators, and facility leadership taken in response to an incident of sexual abuse? 

Yes 
No

#### **Auditor Overall Compliance Determination**

	Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)			
$\boxtimes$	Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the			
	standard for the relevant review period)			
	Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)			

#### Evidence Used in Compliance Determination:

- Agency's Policies and Protocols Addressing the Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA) in the Operations Manual
- Transition House Staff Handbook
- Transition House Coordinated Response Plan
- HC Checklist for Coordinated Response to Incidents of Sexual Abuse
- HC Checklist for Coordinated Response to Incidents of Sexual Harassment
- Post Incident Checklist Following an Allegation of Sexual Abuse
- Online staff PREA training records

- Program Director interview
- PREA Compliance Manager interview
- Staff interviews

The Transition House Staff Handbook provides specific direction on how to handle crisis situations. This process includes using de-escalation techniques, making sure youth are safe, contacting the Supervisor on call, and completing an incident report.

The Transition House also has a written coordinated response plans for responding to incidents of sexual abuse and incidents of sexual harassment. The plan outlines responsibilities of staff first responders, the program supervisor, the PREA Compliance Manager, Howard Center human resources staff, the Agency PREA Coordinator, and the State of Vermont DCF. All staff are formally trained on their responsibilities during the required annual staff PREA training. Review of staff PREA training records verified all staff have been trained on the program's coordinated response protocol. Interviews revealed staff know how to appropriately and immediately respond to allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment. The agency PREA policy also provides detailed information on steps first responders must take when an allegation of sexual abuse is made.

# Standard 115.366: Preservation of ability to protect residents from contact with abusers

#### 115.366 (a)

■ Are both the agency and any other governmental entities responsible for collective bargaining on the agency's behalf prohibited from entering into or renewing any collective bargaining agreement or other agreement that limits the agency's ability to remove alleged staff sexual abusers from contact with any residents pending the outcome of an investigation or of a determination of whether and to what extent discipline is warranted? 

☑ Yes □ No

#### 115.366 (b)

Auditor is not required to audit this provision.

#### **Auditor Overall Compliance Determination**

	<b>Exceeds Standard</b> (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
$\boxtimes$	Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the
	standard for the relevant review period)
	Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

#### Evidenced Used in Compliance Determination:

- Howard Center and the American Federation of State, County, and Municipal Employees AFL-CIO Howard Mental Health Chapter of Local #1674 collective bargaining agreement
- Agency's Policies and Protocols Addressing the Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA) in the Operations Manual
- Personnel Policy Section 210 Suspension

- Interview with Howard Center Executive Director
- Interview with Director of Human Resources

The collective bargaining agreement between the Howard Center and the regional bargaining unit ("Collective Bargaining Agreement Between Howard Center and American Federation of State, County, and Municipal Employee AFL-CIO Howard Mental Health Chapter of Local #1674" effective July 1, 2018) allows for the removal of staff who have been alleged to have sexually abused a resident while awaiting the outcome of an investigation or while waiting for a determination of the extent of the discipline. The legally binding agreement clearly states, "Termination could result from unsatisfactory job performance, violation of Agency policy or unacceptable standards of behavior, including but not limited to the following: a) Unethical and/or destructive behavior with present or past clients of the Agency, provided the employee knew or reasonably should have known that the individual is a present or past client of the Agency." If a staff member sexually abused or sexually harassed a resident, this would qualify as unacceptable and unethical behavior and consequently, the staff would forfeit his/her protection provided in this collective bargaining agreement. Interviews with Howard Center agency leaders verified this collective bargaining agreement is current and the agreement provisions are closely adhered to.

Additional support for compliance with this standard is found in the agency PREA policy which states, "Volunteers and contractors accused of sexual abuse will be directed to leave the facility immediately." In addition, the Howard Center's personnel Policy Section 210 states, "This is not to prevent a supervisor from immediately relieving an employee from duty when in the sole opinion of the supervisor it is in the best interest of the Agency to do so." The Transition House Staff Handbook also states, "Staff at the T House are expected to uphold the ethical, professional conduct and personnel policies and expectations outlined extensively in the agency's Code of Ethics and personnel policies. Failure to do so could result in progressive discipline, and include termination."

### Standard 115.367: Agency protection against retaliation

#### 115.367 (a)

- Has the agency established a policy to protect all residents and staff who report sexual abuse or sexual harassment or cooperate with sexual abuse or sexual harassment investigations from retaliation by other residents or staff? 

  Yes □ No
- Has the agency designated which staff members or departments are charged with monitoring retaliation? 

  Yes □ No

#### 115.367 (b)

■ Does the agency employ multiple protection measures for residents or staff who fear retaliation for reporting sexual abuse or sexual harassment or for cooperating with investigations, such as housing changes or transfers for resident victims or abusers, removal of alleged staff or resident abusers from contact with victims, and emotional support services? 

Yes □ No

115.367 (c)
■ Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor the conduct and treatment of residents or staff who reported the sexual abuse to see if there are changes that may suggest possible retaliation by residents or staff?   Yes □ No
■ Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor the conduct and treatment of residents who were reported to have suffered sexual abuse to see if there are changes that may suggest possible retaliation by residents or staff? ⊠ Yes □ No
<ul> <li>Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Act promptly to remedy any such retaliation?</li></ul>
■ Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor: Any resident disciplinary reports? ⊠ Yes □ No
■ Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor: Resident housing changes? ⊠ Yes □ No
■ Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor: Resident program changes? ⊠ Yes □ No
■ Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor: Negative performance reviews of staff? ⊠ Yes □ No
■ Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor: Reassignments of staff? ⊠ Yes □ No
■ Does the agency continue such monitoring beyond 90 days if the initial monitoring indicates a continuing need? ⊠ Yes □ No

# 115.367 (d)

In the case of residents, does such monitoring also include periodic status checks?

 ∑ Yes □ No

11	_	7		(-)
	•	•	n/	<b>(e)</b>
	$\sim$	$\cdot$	•	(0)

• If any other individual who cooperates with an investigation expresses a fear of retaliation, does the agency take appropriate measures to protect that individual against retaliation?

⊠ Yes □ No

#### 115.367 (f)

Auditor is not required to audit this provision.

# **Auditor Overall Compliance Determination**

Ш	Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
$\boxtimes$	Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the
	standard for the relevant review period)
	Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

### Evidence Used in Compliance Determination:

- Agency's Policies and Protocols Addressing the Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA) in the Operations Manual
- Agency's Consumer Grievance and Appeal Policy in the Operations Manual
- Agency's Consumer Grievance and Appeal Procedures in the Procedures Manual
- Agency's Corporate Compliance Policy
- Agency's Operations Manual Physical Intervention Policy
- Agency's Policy to Provide Information About Detecting and Preventing Waste, Fraud, and Abuse, False Claims Recovery, and Whistleblower Protections
- HC Post Incident Checklist Following Allegation of Sexual Abuse
- HC Post Incident Checklist Following Allegation of Sexual Harassment
- Transition House Staff Expectations, Professionalism, and Protocols policy
- Interview with Program Director
- Interview with PREA Compliance Manager (responsible for ensuring documentation of monitoring for retaliation)
- Interview with Howard Center CEO

Review of agency policies provide evidence that clear expectations have been set regarding the agency's zero-tolerance approach for monitoring retaliation. The Howard Center PREA policy describes protection of youth against retaliation and dictates, "No facility employee, volunteer or contractor may retaliate against a resident, staff, volunteer, contractor or third party in any way for participating directly or indirectly in the grievance process. Employees, contractors and volunteers shall report any incident of retaliation against a resident, youth, staff, volunteer, contractor or third party for participation in the grievance process, to the facility PREA Compliance Manager who is responsible for monitoring and responding to retaliation." The HC PREA policy also directs, "...for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, the facility PREA Compliance Manager will monitor the conduct and treatment of residents or staff who reported the sexual abuse looking for any indicators that may suggest possible retaliation and act promptly to remedy it...Monitoring should include periodic check-ins with

residents and staff." In addition, the Transition House Staff Handbook states, "...there will be zero tolerance for retaliation toward any person making a report of abuse or harassment. Such behavior will be closely monitored by Program Leaders."

Other policies that support the zero tolerance for retaliation include the Howard Center "Consumer Grievance and Appeal Policy and Procedures" which states, "staff will be trained on the consumer complaint, grievance and appeal policy and procedures upon hire and annually thereafter. Any individuals initiating or pursuing a complaint, grievance or appeal will be free from any form of retaliation." Interviews revealed staff understand what to look regarding retaliation and that they are required to report suspicion and/or incidents of retaliation.

Staff interviews revealed there is a need to clarify who is responsible for monitoring retaliation. The Transition House leadership staff review resident progress in treatment and current issues during weekly team meetings. There is evidence (i.e., staff interviews, meeting minutes, etc.) to suggest that youth are continuously assessed and interactions between residents are regularly evaluated. Onsite interviews with staff verified they are required to take immediate action to end the retaliation if a youth who reports (or a victim) is being retaliated against. However, onsite interviews and review of documents indicate there is a need to designate an individual to conduct periodic check-ins with youth and document these interactions consistently. The auditor reminds the program that these periodic check-ins must occur for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse.

#### **Corrective Actions**

• Transition House is required to determine a more formal strategy for ensuring these periodic check-ins occur and that there is a consistent method for documenting these check-ins with youth who reported sexual abuse or sexual harassment and/or the victim. This corrective action will also require designating an individual(s) who will be responsible for these check-ins. Although the Howard Center policy states the PREA Compliance Manager is responsible for these duties, the agency/program may decide to expand this to other high-level managers. If the agency/program chooses to expand those responsible for monitoring retaliation, it will be important to revise agency policy to reflect these changes. The auditor reminds Transition House that documentation at a minimum should include the date, time, and a short description of the check-in that serves as evidence that the youth's welfare was assessed and maps out any follow-up actions that are needed – i.e., bed changes, 1:1 supervision, etc. In addition, PREA provisions require that youth be monitored for retaliation for at least 90 days.

During the corrective action period the agency determined a clear process for more formally monitoring retaliation (i.e., who is responsible; what this monitoring looks like; how periodic check-ins will be documented; etc.). The agency also updated its PREA policy to support the change in practice and to set clear expectations for staff. The policy now states: "For at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse or sexual harassment, the facility PREA Compliance Manager will monitor the conduct and treatment of residents or staff who reported the sexual abuse looking for any indicators that may suggest possible retaliation and act promptly to remedy it (see Response to Allegations of Sexual Harassment and or Retaliation Protocol below). The facility clinician will be assigned to do periodic check-ins with the resident and/or victim who reported sexual abuse or sexual harassment over a period of 90 days to

assess the youth's welfare and if any follow up action is warranted. These check-ins and action steps to address concerns will be documented in the resident's monthly summary. The immediate supervisor of a staff member who made the report of sexual abuse or sexual harassment will do periodic check-ins with the staff member over a period of 90 days to also assess their welfare and if any follow up action is warranted. These check-ins and action steps will be documented in supervision notes. Any concerns or follow up recommended during this 90-day period will be reported to the PREA Compliance Manager by the facility clinician or staff member's supervisor. Monitoring will continue beyond 90 days if the initial monitoring indicates a continuing need." The auditor applicable the program for setting clear expectations for staff.

By reviewing agency policies and having subsequent conversations with the Transition House Manager and the Agency PREA Coordinator, the auditor verified this new practice has been implemented. The auditor concludes the program is now in compliance with this standard.

#### Standard 115.368: Post-allegation protective custody

#### 115.368 (a)

■ Is any and all use of segregated housing to protect a resident who is alleged to have suffered sexual abuse subject to the requirements of § 115.342? ⊠ Yes □ No

# **Auditor Overall Compliance Determination**

Ш	Exceeds	Standa	rd (	(Substa	ıntıally	exceeds	requirement	of standa	rds)
<u> </u>			~						

- Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
- □ **Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

#### Evidence Used in Compliance Determination:

- Howard Center Operations Manual Physical Intervention Policy
- Interview with Program Director
- Interview with staff responsible for 1:1 supervision of youth
- Interviews with youth
- Interview with Transition House Clinician

The Howard Center policies prohibit the use of isolation. More specifically, the HC Operations Manual Physical Intervention Policy states, "locked seclusion may not be used." As previously described in this report, Transition House will separate youth for safety reasons (i.e., one-on-one supervision) but all youth continue to receive education, large-muscle exercise, and regular visits from the program clinician. Staff and youth interviews verified youth are never placed in isolation and if there is a need for separation from the group, youth are provided the required services. Incidents of sexual abuse and sexual harassment by Transition House youth are viewed as a lapse in treatment and addressed immediately. Transition House is in compliance with this PREA standard.

IN	J٧	Æ	ST	ГΤ	G	Δ	T	$\mathbf{C}$	1	J.	S

# Sta

Standard 115.371: Criminal and administrative agency investigations
115.371 (a)
■ When the agency conducts its own investigations into allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment, does it do so promptly, thoroughly, and objectively? [N/A if the agency/facility is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal OR administrative sexual abuse investigations. See 115.321(a).] ⊠ Yes □ No □ NA
<ul> <li>Does the agency conduct such investigations for all allegations, including third party and anonymous reports? [N/A if the agency/facility is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal OR administrative sexual abuse investigations. See 115.321(a).]</li> <li>☑ Yes □ No □ NA</li> </ul>
115.371 (b)
<ul> <li>Where sexual abuse is alleged, does the agency use investigators who have received specialized training in sexual abuse investigations involving juvenile victims as required by 115.334?</li> <li>         ⊠ Yes □ No     </li> </ul>
115.371 (c)
■ Do investigators gather and preserve direct and circumstantial evidence, including any available physical and DNA evidence and any available electronic monitoring data? ⊠ Yes □ No
$lacktriangledown$ Do investigators interview alleged victims, suspected perpetrators, and witnesses? $oximes$ Yes $\oximes$ N
■ Do investigators review prior reports and complaints of sexual abuse involving the suspected perpetrator? ⊠ Yes □ No
115.371 (d)
<ul> <li>Does the agency always refrain from terminating an investigation solely because the source of the allegation recants the allegation?</li></ul>
115.371 (e)
<ul> <li>When the quality of evidence appears to support criminal prosecution, does the agency conduct</li> </ul>

may be an obstacle for subsequent criminal prosecution?  $\boxtimes$  Yes  $\square$  No

compelled interviews only after consulting with prosecutors as to whether compelled interviews

115.371	
•	Do agency investigators assess the credibility of an alleged victim, suspect, or witness on an individual basis and not on the basis of that individual's status as resident or staff?  ☑ Yes □ No  Does the agency investigate allegations of sexual abuse without requiring a resident who alleges
	sexual abuse to submit to a polygraph examination or other truth-telling device as a condition for proceeding?   ☐ Yes ☐ No
115.371	L (g)
	Do administrative investigations include an effort to determine whether staff actions or failures to act contributed to the abuse? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No
	Are administrative investigations documented in written reports that include a description of the physical evidence and testimonial evidence, the reasoning behind credibility assessments, and investigative facts and findings? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No
115.371	
	Are criminal investigations documented in a written report that contains a thorough description of the physical, testimonial, and documentary evidence and attaches copies of all documentary evidence where feasible? ⊠ Yes □ No
115.371	1 (i)
•	Are all substantiated allegations of conduct that appears to be criminal referred for prosecution? ⊠ Yes □ No
<b>115.37</b> 1	L(j)
	Does the agency retain all written reports referenced in 115.371(g) and (h) for as long as the alleged abuser is incarcerated or employed by the agency, plus five years unless the abuse was committed by a juvenile resident and applicable law requires a shorter period of retention?  ⊠ Yes □ No
115.371	1 (k)
	Does the agency ensure that the departure of an alleged abuser or victim from the employment or control of the agency does not provide a basis for terminating an investigation?  ⊠ Yes □ No

#### 115.371 (l)

Auditor is not required to audit this provision.

#### 115.371 (m)

When an outside entity investigates sexual abuse, does the facility cooperate with outside
investigators and endeavor to remain informed about the progress of the investigation? (N/A if
an outside agency does not conduct administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See
115.321(a).) ⊠ Yes □ No □ NA

### **Auditor Overall Compliance Determination**

	Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
$\boxtimes$	Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the
	standard for the relevant review period)
	Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

#### Evidence Used in Compliance Determination:

- Agency's Policies and Protocols Addressing the Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA) in the Operations Manual
- State of VT Statutes online, Title 33, Chapter 49 Child Welfare Services
- State of Vermont DCF Policies 50, 51, 52, 56, 57, 60, 66, and 241
- RLSI Regulations 118, 119, 120, and 121
- Agency Personnel Policy 225 Complaint and Grievance
- Operations Manual Consumer Grievance and Appeal Policy
- Agency Consumer Grievance and Appeal Procedures
- HC Post Incident Checklist Following an Allegation of Sexual Abuse
- Certificate of Training Completion for RLSI investigator NIC Specialized Investigation Training
- Interview with DCF RLSI investigation staff (staff to youth sexual abuse)
- Interview with HR investigations staff (staff to youth sexual harassment allegations and retaliation)
- Interview with PREA Compliance Manager (who leads youth to youth sexual harassment allegations)
- Review of investigation records of youth-to-youth investigations (there have been no staff to youth allegations of sexual abuse, sexual harassment, or retaliation)

Residential Licensing and Special Investigations (RLSI) is a unit, housed in the Agency of Human Services, Family Services Division, Department for Children and Families (DCF). RLSI is responsible for investigating allegations of sexual abuse involving staff and youth as well as youth-on-youth sexual abuse in private regulated facilities.

When a mandatory reporter calls the DCF abuse hotline, a Centralized Intake and Emergency Services (CIES) social worker records the information in a statewide database, FSDNet. A CIES supervisor determines whether to "accept" or "not accept" the report for investigation of child sexual abuse based on statutory criteria. If the report is accepted for investigation of possible child sexual abuse, the case is assigned, and an investigation is formally launched by an RLSI investigator. If the report is not accepted by CIES supervisor for investigation, a second supervisor reviews the report, also based on statutory criteria. The supervisor conducting the "second read" makes the final determination. This means if the "first read" supervisor doesn't accept the report for investigation and the "second read" supervisor disagrees; the report is accepted, assigned and an investigation is formally launched by an RLSI investigator. This practice is supported in VT DCF Policy 52 which states, "If accepted by the second screener, a child safety intervention will commence within 72 hours of the receipt of the report. If the report was accepted based on further information received, the child safety intervention will commence within 72 hours of the receipt of that information." However, an interview with the RLSI Investigator verified that cases that involve allegations of sexual abuse are screened and approved the date the report is made or in some cases (in after-hours) immediately the following morning.

If the case is "not accepted" by both reviewers, then the case will not be investigated as child sexual abuse and the report is rerouted to RLSI for regulatory review. In other words, if the case does not meet the statutory threshold for sexual abuse, RLSI will investigate or cause the facility to investigate the same alleged incident.

When a report has been accepted for investigation of child sexual abuse the RLSI Investigator contacts the Chittenden County Unit for Specialized Investigation (CUSI) to conduct a joint investigation. During the investigation, if evidence substantiates allegations of child sexual abuse, the case is immediately referred to legal counsel to decide whether to pursue criminal prosecution. This practice is supported by State of Vermont AHS Policy 52 "Child Safety Interventions: Investigations and Assessments which describes situations in which joint investigations must be conducted. The policy requires DCF to contact law enforcement for assistance if the alleged perpetrator of child sexual abuse is ten years or older (page 4). An interview with the DCF RLSI investigator indicated they have a close and cooperative relationship with the Chittenden County Unit for Specialized Investigation (CUSI). He reported that he has conducted joint interviews with CUSI investigators and that the CUSI offices are diligent about keeping RLSI informed of the investigation progress and findings. As previously mentioned, the Howard Center has a draft MOU with CUSI and the Children's First Advocacy Center.

Interviews with RLSI staff revealed that if evidence substantiates allegations of sexual abuse, the case is referred to legal counsel for possible criminal prosecution. This process is the same whether the alleged sexual abuse has occurred between staff and youth or between two Transition House residents.

In the past 12 months there were two allegations of youth-to-youth sexual abuse (one substantiated and one unsubstantiated) In addition, there was two allegation of youth-to-youth sexual harassment (both unsubstantiated). RLSIU has not launched any formal sexual abuse investigations. All incidents were reported to State of Vermont DCF Centralized Intake as required. The cases were not accepted as "abuse" and therefore, the Transition House Program Director was directed to gather additional information from the victim, perpetrator, and witnesses. Review of incident reports and supporting documents provide sufficient evidence that comprehensive investigations are conducted by Transition House staff and that these investigations were completed in a timely manner.

The Howard Center PREA policy details the step-by-step process for responding to allegations of sexual harassment. The policy describes activities from the time an allegation is made, through the investigation process and required notifications. This section of the policy is comprehensive and includes specifics such as stating that a standard of the preponderance of evidence will be used when substantiating allegations; at what point law enforcement will be contacted; the requisite retention schedule for investigation reports and supporting documentation; and other important information. Interviews with Howard Center human resource staff verified these practices are in place. In addition, the Howard Center "Complaint and Grievance Procedure" details the process for conducting internal administrative investigations (i.e., interview victim, witnesses, and perpetrators; notifications to involved parties; etc.). As previously mentioned, during sexual abuse investigations local law enforcement work closely with RLSI and there is a shared responsibility for conducting interviews. If the allegations are substantiated, the local law enforcement will refer for prosecution.

The Howard Center PREA policy also states, with regard to cases of sexual harassment "Reports from third parties or anonymous sources shall be accepted for investigation. All reports will be handled promptly, thoroughly and objectively." In cases in which there have been allegations of sexual harassment against staff, volunteers, and contractors and any allegations of retaliation, the Howard Center's Human Resources, in coordination with the Agency PREA Coordinator, conduct these investigations.

AHS DCF policies do not require RLSI to investigate incidents of sexual harassment between youth. However, although a sexual harassment allegation would not be "accepted" as a report of sexual abuse, RLSI is notified of these reports and often delegates investigation of the incident to the program. RLSI ensures these incidents are properly investigated by closely monitoring the program. This may involve mapping out clear deliverables/expectations and requiring the program report back to RLSI on progress made in addressing the issue. Currently there is one Howard Center investigator who is responsible for investigating all personnel issues. Since the initial audit in 2015, there have been no cases of sexual harassment involving a staff member and a youth.

In situations involving youth-to-youth sexual harassment or sexual abuse allegations that are not accepted for abuse investigation by RLSI, the Transition House Program Director and PREA Compliance Manager would conduct the investigation. Interviews with the Agency PREA Coordinator and the Transition House Program Director verify the way in which sexual harassment investigations are conducted is consistent with federal guidelines and agency policies. More specifically, the Howard Center PREA policy explains:

- *All investigations will be timely, thorough, and complete.*
- Direct and circumstantial evidence will be collected, alleged victims, suspected perpetrators and witnesses will be interviewed.
- Any prior complaints will also be reviewed involving the suspected perpetrator.
- Effort to determine if staff actions or failures to act contributed to the abuse or harassment will be taken
- Written documentation of the information gathered via the investigation will be documented as appropriate. Documentation will be maintained at least five years after the employment of the harasser or retaliator has ended.

• If the investigation conducted by Howard Center staff indicates that a crime may have been committed, then it will be referred to the appropriate entity for prosecution.

Review of the incident report for the resident-on-resident sexual harassment and sexual abuse cases provided sufficient evidence that thorough investigations were conducted. The investigation included interviews with the alleged victim, perpetrator, and witnesses and concluded within one month. This information was detailed in investigation reports.

Interviews revealed that polygraph tests are not used by AHS to determine whether a victim's allegation is true by DCF RLSI, Howard Center, or Chittenden County Unit for Specialized Investigations (CUSI). In addition, the AHS RLSI does not terminate a sexual abuse investigation if a youth recants the allegation. This practice is supported by policy language in VT DCF Policy 241which states, "...once a report has been accepted for a child safety intervention, the assessment or investigation must be commenced per Policy 52. The child safety intervention will not be terminated if the child or youth recants the allegation." Similarly, in sexual harassment investigations, Howard Center PREA policy specifically states, "The investigation will not be terminated based solely on the source of the allegation recants or departure of the alleged abuser or victim from the program or employment. The credibility of an alleged victim, suspect or witness shall be assessed on an individual basis and shall not be determined by the person's status as a resident or staff." Interviews with Transition House leadership confirmed adherence to the agency policy.

Review of AHS DCF agency policies and RLSI staff interviews verified that there is significant effort on behalf of investigators to determine whether staff actions or failures to act contributed to abuse. Sexual abuse investigations are conducted promptly and once an investigation is completed, information is summarized in a written report that contains a thorough description of physical, testimonial, and documentary evidence. These final reports are stored in the electronic system, FSDNet. Consistent with PREA expectations, the VT DCF Policy 241 directs, "Written reports of child safety interventions include descriptions of physical and testimonial evidence, the reasoning behind credibility assessments, and investigative facts and findings. The division retains all written reports and documentation related to child safety interventions in FSDNet forever (which exceeds the requirements outlined in 28 CFR 115.371(j))." At the conclusion of sexual abuse investigations, a formal letter detailing the outcome of the investigation is sent to the program in which the youth reside, indicating whether the report was substantiated or unsubstantiated. Although there have been no sexual abuse allegations that were investigated by RLSI in the past 12 months, an interview with the RLSI investigator confirmed these practices are followed closely.

All RLSI investigation employees are required to complete specialized training. As described under Standard 115.334, the RLSI investigator assigned to the Transition House has completed specialized training on conducting sexual abuse investigations including the National Institute of Corrections online course entitled, "PREA: Investigating Sexual Abuse in a Confinement Setting." The State of Vermont revised Policy 241 requires this specialized training for investigative staff. The auditor reviewed training completion certificates from the RLSI investigator assigned to the Transition House. The auditor applauds RLSI for its commitment to ensuring its investigators are thoroughly trained.

In addition, the State of Vermont Policy 241 "Licensing Residential Treatment Programs and Regulatory Interventions" addresses several critical pieces of the investigation process that align with PREA standards. For example, the policy:

- Prohibits the use of a polygraph examination or other truth-telling devices as a condition for proceeding with the child safety intervention and/or criminal investigation;
- Details a coordinated response to gather evidence during the investigation: "RLSI social workers collaborate with law enforcement in the gathering and preserving direct and circumstantial evidence, including any available physical and DNA evidence and any available electronic monitoring data. RLSI social workers collaborate with law enforcement when interviewing child/youth victims, alleged actors, and witnesses."
- Requires written investigative reports to include descriptions of physical and testimonial evidence, the reasoning behind credibility assessments, and investigative facts and findings;
- Requires programs to conduct a sexual abuse incident reviews at the conclusion of every sexual
  abuse investigation and states that RLSI investigators will participate on these reviews and make
  recommendations for improvement.

Interviews with the RLSI investigator assigned to Transition House verified these components are a part of the investigative process.

The Howard Center's "Post Incident Checklist Following an Allegation of Sexual Abuse" dictates the PREA Compliance Manager will:

- Maintain contact with external investigators to know what progress is being made in the investigation.
- Inform the victim of the investigation progress.
- Ensure all required notifications to the victim, their parent(s)/guardian(s) and the victim's attorney.
- Provide post-incident support to the staff.
- Schedule a review within 30 days of the conclusion of the investigation.
- Document the review and forward it to the appropriate parties.

Review of policies and investigation reports coupled with staff interviews allows the auditor to conclude the program is in compliance with provisions listed in this standard.

Although not required, during the corrective action period the agency updated its PREA policy to more clearly reflect provisions in this standard. More specifically the agency policy now clearly states: "The Agency and the PREA facility will cooperate with any external investigation. The facility PREA Compliance Manager will periodically contact the external investigators for information about the progress of the investigation" (page 8). Further support of this standard is provided by additional policy language which holds the Facility PREA Compliance Manager responsible for "periodically contact the external investigators for information about the progress of the investigation" (page 11). This revised policy language offers additional evidence for provision (m).

In addition, policy language was revised to support the expectation that "the investigation will be prompt, thorough and objective. Upon request from external investigators, the Agency may delay its

internal investigation and shall endeavor to remain informed of the progress of the external investigation." This further supports PREA standard provision 115.371 (a).

In addition, during the corrective action period, the Howard Center updated its investigation/incident report template to ensure all notifications are made. The report template now includes the following information:

- Offering youth to call DCF worker, their lawyer, their family member, and emotional support services (i.e., Hope Works)
- Clearly listing the witnesses and providing specific information and statements regarding what they observed/experienced
- Clearly listing the evidence used in the determination (interviews with victims, perpetrators, and staff members; review of video; etc.)
- More clearly stating the program's response to keeping youth safe and preserving evidence (separating youth and instructing youth not to shower, use bathroom, etc.)
- Indicating the outcome of the investigation and the date the investigation was completed
- Indicating when youth was notified regarding the outcome of the investigation (date and time) and who made the notification
- Documenting the date, time, and who made notifications to the parent/guardian, lawyer, and Centralized Intake
- Indicating the detailed plan for retaliation (i.e., who will monitor, how often, what check-ins will look like, etc.)
- Describing in detail the areas required by standard 115.386 to be discussed during the sexual abuse committee. The program also added the date this discussion occurred to provide evidence of the 30-day timeframe required by provision 115.386 (b) as well as who attended the meeting to provide evidence for compliance with provision (c).

There have been no allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment since the onsite review and therefore the auditor could not with fully verify this new report template has been implemented. That said, the auditor is confident in the facility leadership and expects the new template to be used in future investigations. The auditor determines the program is in compliance on this standard.

#### Standard 115.372: Evidentiary standard for administrative investigations

#### 115.372 (a)

	it true that the agency does not impose a standard higher than a preponderance of the evidence determining whether allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment are substantiated?
Auditor (	Overall Compliance Determination
	Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
$\boxtimes$	<b>Meets Standard</b> (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
	Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

## Evidence Used in Compliance Determination:

- State of VT DCF Policy 241
- Agency Operations Manual Policies and Procedures Addressing the Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA)
- Interview with DCF RLSI Investigator
- Interview with PREA Compliance Manager
- Interview with Transition House Program Director
- Review of investigation reports from youth-to-youth sexual harassment and inappropriate sexual contact investigations

Interviews with RLSI investigative staff indicate that AHS DCF imposes a standard of preponderance of evidence for proof, or a lower standard, when determining whether allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment are substantiated. The State of Vermont DCF Policy 241 includes language to support this standard. More specifically, Policy 241 states, "The substantiation standard described above is consistent with the "reasonable belief standard" or "reasonable suspicion standard", which is lower than the "preponderance of evidence standard" and meets the requirements of 28 CFR 115.372." An interview with the RLSI Investigator, HR personnel, and the Transition House Program Director verified this standard is used when substantiating allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment.

Review of investigation reports also provided evidence that investigations are thoroughly conducted by RLSI and Transition House Program Director. Investigation reports also provides additional evidence that the burden of proof used by the program is lower than that required by federal PREA standards.

#### Standard 115.373: Reporting to residents

#### 115.373 (a)

■ Following an investigation into a resident's allegation that he or she suffered sexual abuse in an agency facility, does the agency inform the resident as to whether the allegation has been determined to be substantiated, unsubstantiated, or unfounded? 

✓ Yes 

✓ No

#### 115.373 (b)

If the agency did not conduct the investigation into a resident's allegation of sexual abuse in an agency facility, does the agency request the relevant information from the investigative agency in order to inform the resident? (N/A if the agency/facility is responsible for conducting administrative and criminal investigations.) 

Yes □ No □ NA

#### 115.373 (c)

• Following a resident's allegation that a staff member has committed sexual abuse against the resident, unless the agency has determined that the allegation is unfounded, or unless the resident

has been released from custody, does the agency subsequently inform the reside staff member is no longer posted within the resident's unit? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No	nt whenever: The
Following a resident's allegation that a staff member has committed sexual abus resident, unless the agency has determined that the allegation is unfounded, or u has been released from custody, does the agency subsequently inform the reside staff member is no longer employed at the facility? ⋈ Yes □ No	ınless the resident
Following a resident's allegation that a staff member has committed sexual abuse resident, unless the agency has determined that the allegation is unfounded, or use has been released from custody, does the agency subsequently inform the reside agency learns that the staff member has been indicted on a charge related to sexual facility? ⊠ Yes □ No	inless the resident ent whenever: The
• Following a resident's allegation that a staff member has committed sexual abus resident, unless the agency has determined that the allegation is unfounded, or u has been released from custody, does the agency subsequently inform the reside agency learns that the staff member has been convicted on a charge related to se within the facility? ⊠ Yes □ No	inless the resident ent whenever: The
115.373 (d)	
<ul> <li>Following a resident's allegation that he or she has been sexually abused by ano does the agency subsequently inform the alleged victim whenever: The agency I alleged abuser has been indicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the f</li></ul>	learns that the
<ul> <li>Following a resident's allegation that he or she has been sexually abused by ano does the agency subsequently inform the alleged victim whenever: The agency I alleged abuser has been convicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the</li></ul>	learns that the
115.373 (e)	
■ Does the agency document all such notifications or attempted notifications? ⊠	Yes 🗆 No
115.373 (f)	
<ul> <li>Auditor is not required to audit this provision.</li> </ul>	

# **Auditor Overall Compliance Determination**

	Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
$\boxtimes$	Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the
	standard for the relevant review period)
	Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

Evidence Used in Compliance Determination:

- State of VT DCF Policy 241
- Agency's Policies and Protocols Addressing the Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA) in the Operations Manual
- Interview with Program Director
- Interview with RLSI Investigator
- Review of investigation report verifying requisite notifications were made

The Howard Center PREA policy provides evidence of compliance with provisions of this standard. The policy states, "If a staff member is alleged to have committed the sexual abuse then the resident must be informed when the staff member: 1) will no longer work in the facility, 2) no longer employed at the facility, 3) has been indicted on a charge related to sexual abuse at the facility, or 4) has been convicted on a charge related to sexual abuse in the facility. If another resident is alleged to have committed the sexual abuse, then the victim will be informed when the alleged abuser has been indicted on a charge related to sexual abuse in the facility or has been convicted on a charge of sexual abuse in the facility. All such notifications shall be documented." This agency PREA policy also holds the PREA Compliance Manager responsible for ensuring he receives the findings of the investigation by stating, "Following the investigation of an allegation of sexual abuse facility staff will request from the investigators information so we may inform the resident of the outcome of the investigation as to whether the allegation has been determined to be substantiated, unsubstantiated or unfounded."

Provision (e) of this PREA standard requires youth to be notified of the outcome of the sexual abuse investigation and that "all such notifications or attempted notification shall be documented." As part of the State of VT DCF process, the RLSI investigator sends a formal letter to the parent/legal guardian informing them of the outcome of the sexual abuse investigation. RLSI also sends a letter to the Transition House program. In addition, Howard Center's PREA directs, "the notification may be done in person by the facility PREA Compliance Manager." The Howard Center policy also speaks to notifying youth for investigations into allegations of sexual harassment. More specifically, the agency PREA policy states, "Following the investigation of an allegation of sexual harassment or retaliation the facility PREA Compliance Manager shall inform the resident of the outcome as to whether the allegation has been determined to be substantiated, unsubstantiated or unfounded. The resident's parents, their DCF worker and their attorney must also be notified. All such notifications must be within 60 days of the conclusion of the investigation and shall be documented."

Although there have been no allegations of sexual abuse at the Transition House that have been accepted for investigation for RLSI during the past 12 months, an interview with the RLSI Investigator staff confirmed in cases of sexual abuse, once an investigation is completed the final report is stored in the

electronic state system, FSDNet. A formal letter detailing the outcome of the investigation is sent to Program Director of the facility in which the youth currently resides. If the youth is a ward of the state, a formal letter is sent notifying the youth's DCF case worker. Victims are notified of the determination, regardless of the investigation outcome (i.e., whether the case was substantiated or unsubstantiated). Since the State of Vermont does not include an "unfounded" investigatory finding, notifying the victim regardless of the outcome is required to achieve compliance with this PREA standard.

Interviews and review of documentation onsite revealed a need to improve documentation that notification of investigation outcomes are made when allegations of sexual abuse are not "accepted" by RLSI to be investigated (but instead directs the program to investigate the incident). The Transition House will be required to establish a formal system for making the requisite notification to youth. It is important to note that the Howard Center policy requires that the youth, their DCF worker, and their attorney be notified of the investigation outcome. As such, the program must determine who will be responsible for this notification and where this notification will be documented. For consistency and clarity, it is suggested that the Howard Center revise existing policy to reflect where these notifications will be documented. The agency should also consider revising the Post Incident Checklist to include the requisite notifications (i.e., the date and who made the notifications).

#### **Corrective Actions**

- The Transition House is required to establish a formal system for the requisite notifications the are made, including the youth, their DCF worker, and their attorney. It is important for the program to clearly determine who is responsible for this notification and where this notification will be documented.
- For consistency and clarity, the Howard Center should revise existing policy and the Post Incident Checklist to reflect where these notifications will be documented, the date the notification was made, and by whom.

During the corrective action period, the agency updated the Post Incident Checklist to clearly state "Date and summary of who gave notification of the outcome (of the investigation) to the victim and perpetrator....Dates of notifications to parents/guardians, attorney, and Centralized Intake." The auditor reviewed the document and verbally confirmed with the Program Director that this checklist will be used moving forward. The auditor determines, the program is now in compliance with this PREA standard.

# DISCIPLINE

# Standard 115.376: Disciplinary sanctions for staff

#### 115.376 (a)

<ul> <li>Is termination the presumptive disciplinary sanction for staff who have</li> <li>         ⊠ Yes □ No     </li> </ul>	engaged in sexual abuse?
115.376 (c)	
• Are disciplinary sanctions for violations of agency policies relating to sharassment (other than actually engaging in sexual abuse) commensurative circumstances of the acts committed, the staff member's disciplinary his imposed for comparable offenses by other staff with similar histories?	te with the nature and story, and the sanctions
115.376 (d)	
<ul> <li>Are all terminations for violations of agency sexual abuse or sexual har resignations by staff who would have been terminated if not for their re Law enforcement agencies (unless the activity was clearly not criminal)</li> </ul>	esignation, reported to:  )?   Yes   No
<ul> <li>Are all terminations for violations of agency sexual abuse or sexual har resignations by staff who would have been terminated if not for their re Relevant licensing bodies?</li></ul>	•
<b>Auditor Overall Compliance Determination</b>	
<ul> <li>□ Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of stand</li> <li>□ Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all mate standard for the relevant review period)</li> <li>□ Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)</li> </ul>	· ·
Evidence Used in Compliance Determination:	
<ul> <li>3 Vermont Statue Annotated (V.S.A), 128 "Disciplinary action to b</li> <li>State of VT RLSI regulations</li> <li>Agency's Policies and Protocols Addressing the Prison Rape Elimin Operations Manual</li> </ul>	•

- ıe
- Agency's Policy Prohibiting Physical, Emotional, and Sexual Abuse and Sexual Harassment of Clients in the Operations Manual
- Agency Personnel Policy 237 Violence Prevention and Weapon-Free Workplace Policy
- Agency Personnel Policy 212 Immediate Discharge
- Howard Center and the American Federation of State, County, and Municipal Employees AFL-CIO Howard Mental Health Chapter of Local #1674 collective bargaining agreement
- Transition House Staff Handbook
- Interview with Howard Center Executive Director
- Interview with Director of Human Resources
- Interview with Human Resource Specialist

115.376 (b)

- Interview with Transition House Program Director
- Interview with PREA Compliance Manager

As previously described in this report, the Howard Center has several policies supporting zero tolerance. The agency disciplinary sanctions include termination if a staff member violates the agency's sexual abuse and harassment policies. One policy specifically states, "Any sexual activity inappropriate touching between client and staff is an act of egregious misconduct that can result in harm to the client. The same is true of sexual harassment of clients. Under no circumstances will such behavior on the part of a staff member be tolerated. Allegations of abuse or harassment will be investigated and any substantiated allegations will result in the immediate dismissal of that employee." Howard Center Policy 212 Immediate Discharge" states, "...discharge from employment with the Agency may be immediate for a probationary employee or for an employee who commits a serious infraction of Agency policy, which may include, but is not limited to.... unethical and destructive behavior; Inappropriate behavior with present or past clients...a breach of confidentiality; etc." Interviews with the Howard Center Executive Director and Transition House Program Director verified that the agency acts in accordance with its policies and federal regulations.

Agency policies and practice are also reinforced by the formal collective bargaining agreement between the Howard Center and the American Federation of State, County, and Municipal Employees AFL-CIO Howard Mental Health Chapter of Local #1674 (effective July 2018). This legally binding agreement upholds that any behavior deemed unethical and/or destructive to past or current clients will be grounds for discipline up to and including termination (Section 807). In addition, the state licensing regulations specifically direct that a residential treatment program may not continue to employ any person who has been substantiated for child abuse or neglect ("AHS DCF Licensing Regulations for Residential Treatment Programs in Vermont," Standard 402).

The Transition House Staff Handbook supports the agency policy by clearly stating, "Staff at the T-House are expected to uphold the ethical, professional conduct and personnel policies and expectations outlined extensively in the agency's Code of Ethics and personnel policies. Failure to do so could result in progressive discipline, and include termination." The auditor applauds the Transition House for reminding staff of the zero-tolerance policy and the consequences that will result if agency ethics policies are violated.

To date, the Transition House program has not had any staff member alleged to have sexually abused or sexually harassed youth in the program. Interviews with Howard Center Human Resources Director and Human Resource Specialist confirmed that any staff member substantiated for sexual abuse would be immediately terminated (and would have been on administrative leave during the investigation process). In the event the determination of an investigation for staff-to-youth sexual harassment was substantiated, the Human Resources Director reported that the agency's response would be to prohibit the staff member from working directly with any youth and likely terminate their employment with the Howard Center. She also stated that if during a personnel investigation there was evidence that there may be criminal charges, she would contact local law enforcement immediately. This information was verified by the Human Resources Specialist.

Vermont state statute, 3 (V.S.A), 128 "Disciplinary action to be reported to the Office" requires licensed agencies to report disciplinary actions related to staff. Specifically, the statute dictates, "(1) Any

hospital, clinic, community mental health center, or other health care institution in which a licensee performs professional services shall report to the Office, along with supporting information and evidence, any disciplinary action taken by it or its staff that limits or conditions the licensee's privilege to practice or leads to suspension or expulsion from the institution. (2) The report shall be made within 10 days of the date the disciplinary action was taken, regardless of whether the action is the subject of a pending appeal, and in the case of a licensee who is employed by, or under contract with, a community mental health center, a copy of the report shall also be sent to the Commissioners of Mental Health and of Disabilities, Aging, and Independent Living." The VSA clearly states that the misconduct or allegations of misconduct that resulted in "an unexpected adverse outcome in the care or treatment of a patient" must be reported "(b) Within 30 days of any judgment or settlements involving a claim of professional negligence by a licensee, any insurer of the licensee shall report such information to the Office, regardless of whether the action is the subject of a pending appeal." As a licensed community residential care program, the Howard Center is governed by State of VT statute and is therefore, required to report terminations for violations of agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies, or resignations by staff who would have been terminated, to all licensing boards.

Further supporting the existing practice is the agency's PREA policy which states, "Any staff member, volunteer, or contractor terminated by Howard Center for criminal behavior related to an allegation of sexual abuse will be reported to law enforcement and, if applicable, to the appropriate licensing body. The Senior Director of Human Resources will contact the licensing body to report the alleged criminal behavior. An external consultant may be contacted for the purpose of performing an investigation, and agency legal council will be notified." The Howard Center agency PREA policy also employs this same practice in cases of sexual harassment that involve potentially criminal behavior.

In addition, the State of Vermont DCF Policy 241 requires RLSI to notify any licensing bodies of substantiated allegations of sexual abuse when staff are alleged perpetrators.

Interviews, state policy, and agency policies support current practice and therefore, Transition House is in compliance with this PREA standard.

During the corrective action period, although not required, the State of Vermont revised Policy 241 to hold the VT DCF responsible for ensuring this notification is made. The revised policy now states, "In alignment with PREA regulation 28 CRF § 115.376, RTP directors or designees are responsible for employer mandatory reporting to the Office of Professional Regulation as required by 3 V.S.A. § 128. RTP directors are permitted to share RLSI's letter/notice about the substantiation with the Office of Professional Regulation or the Vermont Board of Medical Practices" (page 8).

During the corrective action period the Howard Center also made a practice change regarding who is responsible for reporting substantiated criminal allegations of sexual abuse to relevant licensing bodies for staff, contractors, and volunteers. The agency also clarified where these notifications would be documented. To support this practice change, the agency revised its PREA policy to more clearly state: "Any staff member, volunteer, intern, or contractor terminated by Howard Center for criminal behavior related to an allegation of sexual abuse will be reported to law enforcement and, if applicable, to the appropriate licensing body. The Chief Client Services Officer will contact the licensing body to report the alleged criminal behavior. An external consultant may be contacted for the purpose of performing

an investigation, and agency legal council will be notified. Reports will be documented in the Agency's compliance database, LaborSoft."

Standard	1115.3//: Corrective action for contractors and volunteers
115.377	$(\mathbf{a})$
	any contractor or volunteer who engages in sexual abuse prohibited from contact with esidents?   Yes  No
	any contractor or volunteer who engages in sexual abuse reported to: Law enforcement gencies (unless the activity was clearly not criminal)? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No
	any contractor or volunteer who engages in sexual abuse reported to: Relevant licensing odies? ⊠ Yes □ No
115.377	<b>(b)</b>
co	the case of any other violation of agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies by a contractor or volunteer, does the facility take appropriate remedial measures, and consider the hether to prohibit further contact with residents? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No
Auditor	Overall Compliance Determination

#### Evidence Used in Compliance Determination:

- 3 Vermont Statue Annotated (V.S.A), 128 Disciplinary action to be reported to the Office
- Agency's Policies and Protocols Addressing the Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA) in the **Operations Manual**
- Agency's Policy Prohibiting Physical, Emotional, and Sexual Abuse and Sexual Harassment of Clients in the Operations Manual
- Agency Personnel Policy 237. Violence Prevention and Weapon-Free Workplace Policy
- Agency Personnel Policy 212 Immediate Discharge
- Interview with Program Director
- Interview with Howard Center Human Resources Director
- Interview with Howard Center Human Resources Specialist

**Does Not Meet Standard** (Requires Corrective Action)

- Interview with Howard Center Executive Director
- Review of contract attachments ("Compliance with PREA")

Although the Transition House does not currently have contractors and volunteers, they do have two interns. As per the Howard Center policies all contractors and volunteers are required to sign an attachment to their contracts titled, "Compliance with the Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA)." The requisite attachments state, "The Provider understands that failure to comply with the PREA requirements is grounds for immediate termination of the contract." Interviews with agency leaders and the Transition House Program Director verified that violations of the signed agreement by contractors and volunteers would automatically result in prohibiting these individuals from working with program youth.

Vermont state statute, 3 (V.S.A), 128 "Disciplinary action to be reported to the Office" requires licensed agencies to report disciplinary actions related to staff. Specifically, the statute dictates, "(1) Any hospital, clinic, community mental health center, or other health care institution in which a licensee performs professional services shall report to the Office, along with supporting information and evidence, any disciplinary action taken by it or its staff that limits or conditions the licensee's privilege to practice or leads to suspension or expulsion from the institution. (2) The report shall be made within 10 days of the date the disciplinary action was taken, regardless of whether the action is the subject of a pending appeal, and in the case of a licensee who is employed by, or under contract with, a community mental health center, a copy of the report shall also be sent to the Commissioners of Mental Health and of Disabilities, Aging, and Independent Living." The VSA clearly states that the misconduct or allegations of misconduct that resulted in "an unexpected adverse outcome in the care or treatment of a patient" must be reported "(b) Within 30 days of any judgment or settlements involving a claim of professional negligence by a licensee, any insurer of the licensee shall report such information to the Office, regardless of whether the action is the subject of a pending appeal." As a licensed community residential care program, the Howard Center is governed by State of VT statute and therefore, is required to report terminations for violations of agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies, or resignations by contractor or volunteer who would have been terminated, to law enforcement and all licensing boards.

In addition, the State of Vermont DCF Policy 241 requires RLSI to notify any licensing bodies of substantiated allegations of sexual abuse when staff are alleged perpetrators.

Further supporting the existing practice is the agency's PREA policy which states, "Any staff member, volunteer, or contractor terminated by Howard Center for criminal behavior related to an allegation of sexual abuse will be reported to law enforcement and, if applicable, to the appropriate licensing body. The Senior Director of Human Resources will contact the licensing body to report the alleged criminal behavior. An external consultant may be contacted for the purpose of performing an investigation, and agency legal council will be notified." The Howard Center agency PREA policy also employs this same practice in cases of sexual harassment that involve potentially criminal behavior. More specifically, the agency PREA policy also states, "Any staff member, volunteer, intern or contractor terminated by Howard Center for criminal behavior related to an allegation of sexual harassment or retaliation will be reported to law enforcement and, if applicable, to the appropriate licensing body. The Senior Director of Human Resources will contact the licensing body to report the alleged criminal behavior. An external consultant may be contacted for the purpose of performing an investigation, and agency legal council will be notified." Interviews with HR staff, the Transition House Program Director, Howard Center agency leaders, and the DCF RLSI Investigator verified this practice is fully embedded in agency and program operations.

Information derived from interviews and additional evidence described in Standard 115.376 of this report, support compliance with this PREA standard. To date, there have been no volunteers, interns, or contractors working at the Transition House who have violated these policies.

As previously stated, during the corrective action period the agency made a practice change regarding who is responsible for reporting substantiated criminal allegations of sexual abuse to relevant licensing bodies for staff, contractors, and volunteers. The agency also clarified where these notifications would be documented. To support this practice change, the agency revised its PREA policy to more clearly state: "Any staff member, volunteer, intern, or contractor terminated by Howard Center for criminal behavior related to an allegation of sexual abuse will be reported to law enforcement and, if applicable, to the appropriate licensing body. The Chief Client Services Officer will contact the licensing body to report the alleged criminal behavior. An external consultant may be contacted for the purpose of performing an investigation, and agency legal council will be notified. Reports will be documented in the Agency's compliance database, LaborSoft."

115.378 (a)			
	Following an administrative finding that a resident engaged in resident-on-resident sexual abuse or following a criminal finding of guilt for resident-on-resident sexual abuse, may residents be	e,	
	subject to disciplinary sanctions only pursuant to a formal disciplinary process? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ N	No	
115.37	8 (b)		
	Are disciplinary sanctions commensurate with the nature and circumstances of the abuse		

Standard 115.378: Interventions and disciplinary sanctions for residents

- Are disciplinary sanctions commensurate with the nature and circumstances of the abuse committed, the resident's disciplinary history, and the sanctions imposed for comparable offenses by other residents with similar histories? ⋈ Yes □ No
   In the event a disciplinary sanction results in the isolation of a resident, does the agency ensure the resident is not denied daily large-muscle exercise? ⋈ Yes □ No
   In the event a disciplinary sanction results in the isolation of a resident, does the agency ensure the resident is not denied access to any legally required educational programming or special education services? ⋈ Yes □ No
   In the event a disciplinary sanction results in the isolation of a resident, does the agency ensure the resident receives daily visits from a medical or mental health care clinician? ⋈ Yes □ No
- In the event a disciplinary sanction results in the isolation of a resident, does the resident also have access to other programs and work opportunities to the extent possible?  $\boxtimes$  Yes  $\square$  No

115.378 (c)			
When determining what types of sanction, if any, should be imposed, does the disciplinary process consider whether a resident's mental disabilities or mental illness contributed to his or her behavior?   Yes □ No			
115.378 (d)			
■ If the facility offers therapy, counseling, or other interventions designed to address and correct underlying reasons or motivations for the abuse, does the facility consider whether to offer the offending resident participation in such interventions? ⊠ Yes □ No			
■ If the agency requires participation in such interventions as a condition of access to any rewards-based behavior management system or other behavior-based incentives, does it always refrain from requiring such participation as a condition to accessing general programming or education? ☑ Yes □ No			
115.378 (e)			
■ Does the agency discipline a resident for sexual contact with staff only upon a finding that the staff member did not consent to such contact?   Yes □ No			
115.378 (f)			
■ For the purpose of disciplinary action does a report of sexual abuse made in good faith based upon a reasonable belief that the alleged conduct occurred NOT constitute falsely reporting an incident or lying, even if an investigation does not establish evidence sufficient to substantiate the allegation? ⊠ Yes □ No			
115.378 (g)			
<ul> <li>Does the agency always refrain from considering non-coercive sexual activity between residents to be sexual abuse? (N/A if the agency does not prohibit all sexual activity between residents.)</li> <li>         ⊠ Yes □ No □ NA     </li> </ul>			
<b>Auditor Overall Compliance Determination</b>			
<ul> <li>□ Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)</li> <li>□ Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)</li> <li>□ Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)</li> </ul>			

Howard Center: Transition House

Evidence Used in Compliance Determination:

- Agency's Policies and Protocols Addressing the Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA) in the Operations Manual
- Agency's Consumer Grievance and Appeal Policy in the Operations Manual
- Agency's Consumer Grievance and Appeal Procedures in the Procedures Manual
- Transition House Youth Resident Handbook
- Transition House Staff Handbook
- Interview with Program Director
- Interview with mental health clinician
- Interviews with direct care staff
- Interview with youth who perpetrated sexual abuse and/or sexual harassment

The Transition House prohibits all contact between residents. This information is provided in the youth resident handbook stating, "...clients are prohibited from any form of sexual behavior or activity with other residents. Any report of sexual contact, abuse or harassment must be reported to the program supervisor and/or director on call for investigations." This information is supported in several Howard Center policies. Transition House staff and DCF RLSI interviews verified in the past 12 months there have been no criminal or administrative findings of guilt for resident-on-resident sexual abuse at the Transition House. However, within the 12-month period from March 2020 through March 2021, there was one unsubstantiated allegation of youth-to-youth sexual abuse, and two unsubstantiated allegations of youth-to-youth sexual harassment. Interviews with Transition House leaders and direct care staff revealed that these incidents were treated as lapses in treatment. Safety plans were developed and the perpetrator and victim were kept separate until the investigation was completed.

Staff interviews revealed that if there was a resident-on-resident sexual abuse incident this would be treated as a significant lapse in treatment. The Transition House Staff Handbook supports this approach by dictating, "Sexual abuse and harassment behavior will be viewed as 'treatment lapse' and the client, their team and support people/family will convene to review recommendations for treatment and discuss fitness of a community-based program like the Transition house. All disciplinary actions will be the result of legally initiated consequences. T-House will address this behavior through increased support, treatment, education or referral to a high level of care/specialized program for youth with sexually harmful behaviors" The staff manual also states that if a youth must be isolated to ensure youth safety (either alleged perpetrator or victim), "these individuals will continue to receive their full programming as outlined in their IPC, but with increased support and/or supervision." As per agency policy, a youth is never placed in isolation.

As previously mentioned, the Transition House Staff Handbook clearly states that a report made in good faith will not result in discipline. The Howard Center Policies and Protocols Addressing the Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA) Operations Manual provides further support of provisions in this standard by clearly stating, "Facility staff shall not discipline or otherwise retaliate against youth for filing a good faith grievance."

Interviews with program leadership, including clinical staff, revealed that mental health factors are consistently considered when developing an individualized treatment plan, and would also be heavily considered after an incident of sexual abuse. Other factors considered when developing a treatment plan and/or an individualized crisis management plan are cognitive functioning/capacity, response to previous treatment modalities, and motivation for sexual offending, to name a few.

As previously mentioned, Howard Center has several policies that address zero tolerance for retaliation for reporting incidents of sexual abuse or harassment. Although examples have been provided throughout this report, for the purposes of demonstrating compliance with this standard the auditor will cite the "Consumer Grievance and Appeal Policy and Procedures." This agency policy states: "Any individuals initiating or pursuing a complaint, grievance or appeal will be free from any form of retaliation."

Agency policies, staff interviews, and review of incident reports and youth files, provide sufficient evidence to determine Transition House is in compliance with the provisions put forth in this PREA standard.

#### MEDICAL AND MENTAL CARE

# Standard 115.381: Medical and mental health screenings; history of sexual abuse

#### 115.381 (a)

If the screening pursuant to § 115.341 indicates that a resident has experienced prior sexual victimization, whether it occurred in an institutional setting or in the community, do staff ensure that the resident is offered a follow-up meeting with a medical or mental health practitioner within 14 days of the intake screening? ⊠ Yes □ No

#### 115.381 (b)

If the screening pursuant to § 115.341 indicates that a resident has previously perpetrated sexual abuse, whether it occurred in an institutional setting or in the community, do staff ensure that the resident is offered a follow-up meeting with a mental health practitioner within 14 days of the intake screening? ⊠ Yes □ No

#### 115.381 (c)

Is any information related to sexual victimization or abusiveness that occurred in an institutional setting strictly limited to medical and mental health practitioners and other staff as necessary to inform treatment plans and security management decisions, including housing, bed, work, education, and program assignments, or as otherwise required by Federal, State, or local law?

Howard Center: Transition House

 $\boxtimes$  Yes  $\square$  No

# 115.381 (d)

■ Do medical and mental health practitioners obtain informed consent from residents before reporting information about prior sexual victimization that did not occur in an institutional setting, unless the resident is under the age of 18? 

Yes □ No

# **Auditor Overall Compliance Determination**

	Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
$\boxtimes$	Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the
	standard for the relevant review period)
	Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

Evidence Used in Compliance Determination:

- Agency's Policies and Protocols Addressing the Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA) in the Operations Manual
- Agency's Policy on Individual Plans of Care and Individual Support Agreements in the Operations Manual
- Completed Transition House Vulnerability Assessment Instrument: Risk of Victimization and/or Sexually Aggressive Behavior/Violent Behavior
- Transition House Staff Handbook
- Review of clinical notes in youth files verifying all youth with history of victimization and perpetration were seen within 14 days of intake
- Interview with Clinician
- Interview with Program Director
- Job descriptions for Transition House Mental Health Clinician

The Transition House requires specific documents to accompany youth when they are referred to the program for services. Among these documents are various assessments that include mental health evaluations, legal court documents, Individual Education Plans (IEP), medical records (i.e., date of last medical examination), and other important documents. The Transition House has an intake process that includes individual meetings with the Program Director, Program Clinician, and youth advisors; establishing individual treatment goals; and reviewing the program handbook with youth. The intake process is described in detail in the Transition House Staff Handbook.

On the day a youth arrives to the Transition House, the Clinician uses the Howard Center's Vulnerability Assessment Instrument: Risk of Victimization and/or Sexually Aggressive Behavior/Violent Behavior (adapted from Florida Department of Juvenile Justice) to gather information about the youth. The Transition House uses this information along with the referral documents to develop a Crisis Plan within three days of arrival, as per Howard Center policy. While onsite, review of youth files indicate program youth are assessed at intake using the Howard Center's vulnerability risk assessment.

The Transition House Staff Handbook states, "Clients that disclose any past abuse will be offered a follow up with a medical provider to occur no later than 14 days in the future." While onsite the auditor

reviewed clinical files for all youth currently residing in the program (N=4) and all youth discharged from the program within the past 12 months (N=6). The auditor verified that youth who disclosed prior sexual victimization and/or sexual perpetration saw the Transition clinician within a two-week period (as evidenced by clinical note). The program's practice is for all youth to see a clinician within one week of arriving to the program.

To ensure that information regarding sexual victimization or abusiveness occurring in an institutional setting is protected, Transition House retains completed vulnerability assessment information in locked filing cabinet in the Clinician's office. In addition, the Howard Center stores this information electronically in the youth's medical record. Although these completed assessments are uploaded to the youth's electronic case record, all Howard Center staff are required to sign an Agreement to Protect the Privacy, Confidentiality and Security of Protected Health Information and Education Records upon hire, providing an extra layer of protection of sensitive information (as described in other sections of this report). Staff interviews supported that client information is protected and staff adhere to privacy information policies and expectations. The auditor determines that the program is sufficiently protecting this sensitive information consistent with federal PREA expectations.

The Transition House program did not have any youth who have entered the program in the past 12 months disclose being abused at a prior placement.

A review of youth records (four current youth and six discharged youth) indicate youth are seen by a mental health clinician within the 14-day target timeframe. While the program meets the PREA standard, the program is encouraged to ensure the date of the initial engagement is clearly noted in the monthly clinical note.

# Standard 115.382: Access to emergency medical and mental health services

# 115.382 (a)

■ Do resident victims of sexual abuse receive timely, unimpeded access to emergency medical treatment and crisis intervention services, the nature and scope of which are determined by medical and mental health practitioners according to their professional judgment? 

✓ Yes 

✓ No

# 115.382 (b)

- If no qualified medical or mental health practitioners are on duty at the time a report of recent sexual abuse is made, do staff first responders take preliminary steps to protect the victim pursuant to § 115.362? ⊠ Yes □ No
- Do staff first responders immediately notify the appropriate medical and mental health practitioners? ⊠ Yes □ No

Howard Center: Transition House

# 115.382 (c)

■ Are resident victims of sexual abuse offered timely information about and timely access to emergency contraception and sexually transmitted infections prophylaxis, in accordance with professionally accepted standards of care, where medically appropriate? 

✓ Yes

# 115.382 (d)

# **Auditor Overall Compliance Determination**

	Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
$\boxtimes$	Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the
	standard for the relevant review period)
	Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

Evidence Used in Compliance Determination

- Agency's Policies and Protocols Addressing the Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA) in the Operations Manual
- Draft MOU with Childrens Advocacy Center (CAC)
- Executed MOU with HOPE Works
- University of Vermont Medical Center SANE policy
- Review of incident reports
- Interviews with first responders
- Interviews with Clinician
- Interview with University of Vermont Medical Center SANE Coordinator

The Howard Center has a policy that ensures victims of sexual abuse receive timely, unimpeded access to emergency medical treatment and crisis intervention services. The agency's PREA policy explicitly states how to appropriately respond to a youth disclosure of abuse beginning with separating the victim and alleged perpetrator. More specifically, the policy directs staff to "Provide an assessment of the victim's acute medical or mental health needs; offer the victim the opportunity to have a forensic medical examination at the hospital. Explain to the victim that the exam is conducted by medical staff trained to provide services to abuse victims and will be billed to the resident's insurance. Any out of pocket expenses will be paid by the Vermont Center for Crime Services Sexual Assault Program; Inform the victim that there are victim advocates available to provide support through the examination process and the investigative interviews and they will also provide emotional support, crisis intervention, information and referral; the victim will be provided with an opportunity to contact the victim advocates or, if requested, a staff member will contact victim advocates on behalf of the victim; if the victim chooses to do the forensic examination, staff will transport the victim to the hospital and will bring the resident's insurance information with them..." Interviews with Transition House staff verified they are

aware of the response protocol which includes separating the victim and perpetrator and providing emotional support (i.e. contacting the advocacy center).

The Howard Center policy includes sexual abuse victims receiving forensic examinations from an offsite Sexual Assault Nurse Examiner (SANE) at the University of Vermont Medical Center. As per the hospital's policy, once a youth is examined he would be offered access to sexually transmitted infections prophylaxis, in accordance with professionally accepted standards of care. An interview with the UVMMC SANE Coordinator verified this practice. Additionally, interviews with Transition House staff revealed they understand the steps to take when a youth alleges sexual abuse, including offering the victim a medical examination and counseling services.

As previously mentioned, Transition House also has a draft MOU with the Chittenden County Children Advocacy Center (CAC) and a fully executed MOU with HOPE Works. This MOU states they will provide emotional support services to Transition House youth as needed. In addition, the UVMMC policy clearly states the hospital follows the protocols put forth by the US Department of Justice National Protocol for Sexual Assault Medical Forensic Exams. An interview with the UVMMC SANE Coordinator and review of the UVMMC's SANE policy provides sufficient evidence with provisions of this standard.

# Standard 115.383: Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers

1	1	5	3	83	-	(a)	١
1	1	J		oJ	٠,	a	,

1	Does the facility offer medical and mental health evaluation and, as appropriate, treatment to all residents who have been victimized by sexual abuse in any prison, jail, lockup, or juvenile facility? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No
115.383	<b>3</b> (b)
1	Does the evaluation and treatment of such victims include, as appropriate, follow-up services, treatment plans, and, when necessary, referrals for continued care following their transfer to, or placement in, other facilities, or their release from custody? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No
115.383	3 (c)

Does the facility provide such victims with medical and mental health services consistent with

### 115.383 (d)

■ Are resident victims of sexually abusive vaginal penetration while incarcerated offered pregnancy tests? (N/A if all-male facility.) □ Yes □ No ⋈ NA

the community level of care?  $\boxtimes$  Yes  $\square$  No

115.383 (e)
■ If pregnancy results from the conduct described in paragraph § 115.383(d), do such victims receive timely and comprehensive information about and timely access to all lawful pregnancy-related medical services? (N/A if all-male facility.) □ Yes □ No ☒ NA
115.383 (f)
<ul> <li>Are resident victims of sexual abuse while incarcerated offered tests for sexually transmitted infections as medically appropriate?</li></ul>
115.383 (g)
<ul> <li>■ Are treatment services provided to the victim without financial cost and regardless of whether the victim names the abuser or cooperates with any investigation arising out of the incident?</li> <li>☑ Yes □ No</li> </ul>
115.383 (h)
■ Does the facility attempt to conduct a mental health evaluation of all known resident-on-resident abusers within 60 days of learning of such abuse history and offer treatment when deemed appropriate by mental health practitioners?   Yes □ No
<b>Auditor Overall Compliance Determination</b>
☐ <b>Exceeds Standard</b> (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
□ <b>Does Not Meet Standard</b> (Requires Corrective Action)
Evidenced Used in Compliance Determination:

- Agency's Policies and Protocols Addressing the Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA) in the Operations Manual
- Interviews with first responders
- Interviews with Clinician
- Interviews with residents who reported abuse
- Clinical notes indicating follow-up services and mental health assessment conducted on resident abusers

The Howard Center PREA policy states, "The victim's ongoing medical and mental health needs will continue to be a priority and the facility will ensure continuing access to those services. If necessary, treatment services to the victim following an assault will be paid by the agency as long as the victim remains in the facility. The facility will ensure that a victim has access to outside victim advocates for ongoing emotional support services and will take steps to ensure confidential communications between

the victim and the advocates." In addition, the policy dictates that if the alleged abuser remains in the program then a mental health evaluation must be completed within 60 days of the sexual abuse incident. Interviews with the Transition House leadership team members confirmed they are dedicated to the health and well-being of program residents and would ensure youth receive the necessary treatment, including referrals for continued care if youth was discharged to the community or transferred to another facility.

Although there have been no sexual abuse allegations that involved penetration, review of youth case files indicated that youth who alleged sexual abuse or sexual harassment are seen by a mental health clinician immediately following the event. Additionally, as part of treatment at Transition House program all youth meet with a clinician individually a minimum of twice a month. Staff interviews and review of clinical notes verified regular mental health services are provided to all youth several times per month.

As stated previously in this report, the agency PREA policy also requires that treatment services be provided to youth at no cost. In the event a youth has been sexually abused, the youth would be transported to the local hospital to be examined by a SANE. As part of this process the youth would be offered Sexually Transmitted Disease (STD) testing. Since Transition House is an all-male facility several of the provisions in this standard do not apply (i.e., offering pregnancy testing).

# DATA COLLECTION AND REVIEW

# Standard 115.386: Sexual abuse incident reviews 115.386 (a) Does the facility conduct a sexual abuse incident review at the conclusion of every sexual abuse investigation, including where the allegation has not been substantiated, unless the allegation has been determined to be unfounded? ☑ Yes ☐ No 115.386 (b) Does such review ordinarily occur within 30 days of the conclusion of the investigation? ☑ Yes ☐ No 115.386 (c) Does the review team include upper-level management officials, with input from line supervisors, investigators, and medical or mental health practitioners? ☑ Yes ☐ No 115.386 (d) Does the review team: Consider whether the allegation or investigation indicates a need to

PREA Audit Report Page 114 of 124 Howard Center: Transition House

change policy or practice to better prevent, detect, or respond to sexual abuse?  $\boxtimes$  Yes  $\square$  No

	Does the review team: Consider whether the incident or allegation was motivated by race; ethnicity; gender identity; lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, or intersex identification, status, or perceived status; gang affiliation; or other group dynamics at the facility? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No			
•	Does the review team: Examine the area in the facility where the incident allegedly occurred to assess whether physical barriers in the area may enable abuse? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No			
•	Does the review team: Assess the adequacy of staffing levels in that area during different shifts? ⊠ Yes □ No			
	■ Does the review team: Assess whether monitoring technology should be deployed or augmente to supplement supervision by staff?   Yes □ No			
	Does the review team: Prepare a report of its findings, including but not necessarily limited to determinations made pursuant to §§ 115.386(d)(1) - (d)(5), and any recommendations for improvement and submit such report to the facility head and PREA compliance manager?  ⊠ Yes □ No			
115.38	6 (e)			
110100				
	Does the facility implement the recommendations for improvement, or document its reasons for not doing so? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No			
Audito	or Overall Compliance Determination			
	☐ <b>Exceeds Standard</b> (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)			
	Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)			
	□ <b>Does Not Meet Standard</b> (Requires Corrective Action)			
Eviden	ce Used in Compliance Determination:			

- Agency's Policies and Protocols Addressing the Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA) in the **Operations Manual**
- State of VT DCF Policy 241
- HC Checklist for Reviewing Incidents of Sexual Abuse
- Review of Transition House youth-to-youth investigation summary reports showing recommendations from Sexual Abuse Incident Review Committee
- Interview with Program Director
- Interview with PREA Compliance Manager
- Interview with DCF Investigator and member of the Transition House Incident Review Committee

The Howard Center PREA policy dictates that all allegations of sexual abuse (except those that have been determined to be unfounded) will be reviewed within 30 days of the conclusion of the investigation. The policy defines the review team to include the PREA Facility Compliance Manager, the supervisor of the PREA Compliance Manager, the PREA Coordinator, the Director of Human Services, the facility staff assigned to the victim or perpetrator, mental health practitioner who works with the victim or perpetrator, and DCF investigators. The State of Vermont Policy 241 clearly states, that RLSI investigators are required to participate in the sexual abuse incident review committee.

The Howards Center's PREA policy specifically directs the topics to be addressed during the Incident Review Committee. For example, the policy states the committee must consider: If the incident or allegation was motivated by race; ethnicity; gender identity: lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, intersex identification, status or perceived status; or, gang affiliation; or resulting from other group dynamics at the facility; whether the staff levels where the incident occurred are adequate; whether monitoring technology should be considered or augmented to supplement staff supervision; and other areas required by the provisions set forth in these standards. The policy also requires a formal summary report be generated to capture the discussion and decisions during this committee meeting.

To ensure all required topics are discussed during the Sexual Abuse Incident Committee, the Program Director uses the Howard Center's Checklist for Reviewing Incidents of Sexual Abuse template. The template includes each of the topics previously mentioned; date of the meeting; the required participants (including upper-level managers, investigators, clinicians, etc.); to whom the PCM should submit the completed form; etc. Although the agency has these structures in place, review of the investigation report indicated that there was not a formal sexual abuse incident review conducted within 30 days of investigation completion as required by PREA standards. The State of Vermont and the Howard Center both use two categories for concluding outcome of investigations: Substantiated or Unsubstantiated. The term "unfounded" is not used when describing a possible outcome of an investigation case. PREA standards require all sexual abuse incidents that have been investigated, are subject to a formal review process within 30 days. Since the term "unfounded" is not used, according to PREA standards, <u>all</u> cases of sexual abuse would need to be formally reviewed by the incident review committee. This expectation is supported in the Howard Center and State of Vermont DCF policies. The Transition House will be required to implement these policies into practice.

### **Corrective Actions**

- The Transition House is required to conduct a sexual abuse review for the two allegations of sexual abuse (despite it being past the 30-day requirement). Since the Howard Center has a standardized form, the Transition House is required to complete this form and submit these to the auditor during the corrective action period. In addition, the Transition House is encouraged to use this form moving forward.
- The program is also required to create a process to better ensure these comprehensive reviews
  occur within 30 days of investigation completion for all allegations of sexual abuse. It is also
  important to ensure the required parties and/or information from required parties are included in
  these reviews.

During the corrective action period, the Transition House reconvened the sexual abuse incident committee and submitted a more thorough written review of the last incident involving a youth-to-youth sexual abuse allegation. The auditor reviewed the completed the Howard Center's "Checklist for Reviewing of Incidents of Sexual Abuse" and confirmed the appropriate parties were present; the

required PREA topics were discussed; and recommendations for improvement were documented. A follow-up call with the Program Director confirmed the Transition House will be using this form moving forward. In addition, the Program Director explained a new process for ensuring these reviews are completed within the 30 day timeframe. The auditor concludes the program is now in compliance on this standard.

Standard 115.387: Data collection
115.387 (a)
113.307 (a)
■ Does the agency collect accurate, uniform data for every allegation of sexual abuse at facilities under its direct control using a standardized instrument and set of definitions?   ✓ Yes □ No
115.387 (b)
<ul> <li>■ Does the agency aggregate the incident-based sexual abuse data at least annually?</li> <li>☑ Yes □ No</li> </ul>
115.387 (c)
■ Does the incident-based data include, at a minimum, the data necessary to answer all questions from the most recent version of the Survey of Sexual Violence conducted by the Department of Justice?   Yes □ No
115.387 (d)
<ul> <li>Does the agency maintain, review, and collect data as needed from all available incident-based documents, including reports, investigation files, and sexual abuse incident reviews?</li> <li>         ⊠ Yes □ No     </li> </ul>
115.387 (e)
■ Does the agency also obtain incident-based and aggregated data from every private facility with which it contracts for the confinement of its residents? (N/A if agency does not contract for the confinement of its residents.) ⊠ Yes □ No □ NA
115.387 (f)
<ul> <li>Does the agency, upon request, provide all such data from the previous calendar year to the Department of Justice no later than June 30? (N/A if DOJ has not requested agency data.)</li> <li>         ⊠ Yes □ No □ NA     </li> </ul>

# **Auditor Overall Compliance Determination**

	Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
$\boxtimes$	Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the
	standard for the relevant review period)
	Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

# Evidence Used in Determining Compliance:

- State of Vermont contract with Howard Center (ending 6/30/2021)
- Agency's Policies and Protocols Addressing the Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA) in the Operations Manual
- HC data collection forms for reviewing sexual abuse incidents
- Review of secure folder in which data resides and only the PREA Compliance Managers and Agency PREA Coordinator have access
- Review of HC 2020 annual report providing data and discussing recommendations implemented (posted on agency website)

The State of Vermont has included language in its contract with the Howard Center (ending 6/30/2021) requiring collection of PREA related data. The contract specifically states, "In accordance with State Licensing Regulations and §115.387 of the PREA National Standards, contractor will collect accurate and uniform data for every allegation of sexual abuse at Transition House and Transition House. Contractor will aggregate the incident-based sexual abuse data at least annually. Contractor will provide sexual abuse and sexual harassment data, admission and adjudication data, and the most recent version of the Survey of Sexual Violence conducted by the Department of Justice to the State Licensing Authority and Juvenile Justice Director no later than January 30 each calendar year." The auditor reviewed copies of completed DOJ surveys that are submitted to the State of Vermont DCF in the event these data are requested by DOJ. As stated above, the Howard Center has memorialized the practice of annual data collection in its PREA policy. The policy sets forth clear expectations about annual document submission to DCF, using the information from the DOJ survey to make program improvements, and developing an annual report detailing sexual abuse data and related PREA information.

The Howard Center PREA policy supports provisions in this standard by stating:

- Collect accurate and uniform data for every allegation of sexual abuse at the Park Street and the Transition House programs;
- Use a Howard Center tool based upon the current Survey of Victimization Incident Form to collect data:
- Review and collect data from relevant available incident reports, investigation files and reports, and sexual abuse incident reviews:
- Aggregate the incident-based sexual abuse data at least annually;
- Provide sexual abuse and sexual harassment data, admission and adjudication data, and the most recent version of the Survey of Sexual Violence conducted by the Department of Justice to Vermont's State Licensing Authority and Juvenile Justice Director

The Howard Center uses an electronic survey form/database to capture the data elements set forth by the BJA in the DOJ Survey of Sexual Victimization Juvenile Incident (FORM SSV-IJ). Following an allegation sexual abuse, assault, and/or harassment, the PREA Compliance Manager enters data into the Howard Center PREA survey form/database. From this, the T-House PREA Compliance Manager runs a data report detailing incidents — i.e. time incidents most frequently occur, area in which incident occurred, number of victims, outcome of the investigation outcome, etc. These reports allow Transition House to complete the Survey of Sexual Victimization Summary Form for Locally or Privately-Operated Juvenile Facilities (FORM SSV-6). This form is completed and sent to the Agency PREA Coordinator, who then sends it onto the State of Vermont as required per contract. These completed forms are also posted on the agency's website (Safe Environment Standards — Howard Center).

During the onsite review, the auditor confirmed that facility maintains, reviews, and collects data as needed from all available incident-based documents, including reports, investigation files, and sexual incident reviews. All incident information is stored in the Howard Center's electronic incident database. Investigation files are kept with DCF RLSIU in the electronic investigation database, FSDNet. Information related to a report of sexual abuse or harassment is maintained in a manual hardcopy PREA file in the Program Directors office.

The agency PREA policy ensures the protection of data from the Survey of Victimization Incident Form. The agency PREA policy describes, "Documentation regarding PREA compliance efforts (pre-audit prep, policies, corrective action plans, meeting minutes, etc.) is stored in a secure folder on the agency's network. Members of the agency's PREA team, as well as the Director of Evaluation and Outcomes, have access to this folder. Within that folder is a Data Collection folder where all of the data noted above will be stored. Access to this folder will be limited to the facility PREA Compliance manager, the PREA Coordinator, the Director of Compliance, and the Director of Evaluation and Outcomes. This data will be maintained for at least 10 years after its initial collection. Once the retention period has been met, paper records will be securely destroyed and electronic data deleted."

### Standard 115.388: Data review for corrective action

### 115.388 (a)

•	Does the agency review data collected and aggregated pursuant to § 115.387 in order to assess and improve the effectiveness of its sexual abuse prevention, detection, and response policies, practices, and training, including by: Identifying problem areas? ⊠ Yes □ No
•	Does the agency review data collected and aggregated pursuant to § 115.387 in order to assess and improve the effectiveness of its sexual abuse prevention, detection, and response policies, practices, and training, including by: Taking corrective action on an ongoing basis?  ⊠ Yes □ No
•	Does the agency review data collected and aggregated pursuant to § 115.387 in order to assess and improve the effectiveness of its sexual abuse prevention, detection, and response policies, practices, and training, including by: Preparing an annual report of its findings and corrective actions for each facility, as well as the agency as a whole? ⊠ Yes □ No

115.388 (b)
■ Does the agency's annual report include a comparison of the current year's data and corrective actions with those from prior years and provide an assessment of the agency's progress in addressing sexual abuse ⊠ Yes □ No
115.388 (c)
■ Is the agency's annual report approved by the agency head and made readily available to the public through its website or, if it does not have one, through other means?   ✓ Yes   ✓ No
115.388 (d)
■ Does the agency indicate the nature of the material redacted where it redacts specific material from the reports when publication would present a clear and specific threat to the safety and security of a facility? ⊠ Yes □ No
Auditor Overall Compliance Determination
☐ Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)

Evidence Used in Compliance Determination:

 $\boxtimes$ 

П

 Agency's Policies and Protocols Addressing the Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA) in the Operations Manual

**Meets Standard** (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the

- HC annual PREA report on website
- Interview with Agency PREA Coordinator

standard for the relevant review period)

**Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

- Interview with PREA Compliance Manager
- Interview with HC Executive Director

The Howard Center has an annual agency PREA report that highlights progress and compliance with federal PREA standards. The report is titled, "Eliminating Sexual Abuse and Sexual Harassment in Howard Center's Park Street and Transition House Programs" and is posted on the Safe Environmental Standards website (<a href="https://howardcenter.org/safe-environment-standards/">https://howardcenter.org/safe-environment-standards/</a>). The webpage includes reports from 2015-2020. Each individual report includes comparison data for sexual abuse incidents from the prior year. Just prior to the onsite review, the auditor checked the website, and all report links are in working order.

The practice of creating an annual progress report is supported by the Howard Center PREA policy which states the agency will, "Complete annual reports for each facility as well as the Howard Center

as a whole and include a comparison of the current year's data and corrective actions to prior years and evaluate the agency's progress in addressing sexual abuse; redact from the report any resident identifiable information as well as any information Howard Center believes poses a clear and specific threat to the safety and security of either of the facilities; have these reports approved by Howard Center's CEO." During interviews with the Howard Center CEO and the Director of Home and Community Services, the auditor confirmed the Agency PREA Coordinator drafts the annual PREA report and that they review and approve the report prior it being posted to the agency's website.

The Agency PREA Coordinator meets with Park Street and Transition House Program Directors and PREA Compliance Managers throughout the year to review PREA data and discuss challenges. The Transition House Program Director also reported that the Agency PREA Coordinator makes himself available for consultation at any time and is readily available during/following allegations of sexual abuse and/or sexual harassment.

Standard 115.389: Data storage, publication, and destruction				
115.389 (a)				
<ul> <li>Does the agency ensure that data collected pursuant to § 115.387 are securely retained?</li> <li>         ⊠ Yes □ No     </li> </ul>				
115.389 (b)				
■ Does the agency make all aggregated sexual abuse data, from facilities under its direct control and private facilities with which it contracts, readily available to the public at least annually through its website or, if it does not have one, through other means? ⊠ Yes □ No				
115.389 (c)				
<ul> <li>Does the agency remove all personal identifiers before making aggregated sexual abuse data publicly available?</li></ul>				
115.389 (d)				
<ul> <li>Does the agency maintain sexual abuse data collected pursuant to § 115.387 for at least 10 years after the date of the initial collection, unless Federal, State, or local law requires otherwise?</li> <li>         ⊠ Yes □ No     </li> </ul>				
<b>Auditor Overall Compliance Determination</b>				
☐ <b>Exceeds Standard</b> (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)				
<b>1</b>				
Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)				
□ <b>Does Not Meet Standard</b> (Requires Corrective Action)				

Evidence Used in Compliance Determination:

- Agency's Policies and Protocols Addressing the Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA) in the Operations Manual
- Completed Department of Justice Surveys of Sexual Violence posted on the HC website
- Agency's Policy on Records Retention, Disclosure and Disposition in the Operations Manual
- Interview with Agency PREA Coordinator

The Howard Center's record retention schedule states, "PREA administrative and criminal investigations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment" will be retained "as long as the alleged abuser is still employed by the agency or as long as they are incarcerated, plus 5 years." In addition, the agency retention schedule states that PREA sexual abuse data will be retained for "10 years after the date of initial collection." This information is also part of the agency's PREA policy. All completed data from the Department of Justice Surveys of Sexual Violence are posted on the HC website (years 2015-2020). The auditor checked the links, and all are in working order.

The Howard Center PREA policy states that the facility PREA Compliance Manager will be responsible for securely storing any paper files or information related to sexual abuse onsite.

Sexual abuse investigation reports are maintained by State of Vermont AHS in the electronic database FSDNet and currently there is no "expiration date" on accessing these records/reports. The facility and agency retain sexual abuse data consistent with PREA standards.

# **AUDITING AND CORRECTIVE ACTION**

# Standard 115.401: Frequency and scope of audits

110.TU1 (u)	115.	401	(a)
-------------	------	-----	-----

•	During the three-year period starting on August 20, 2013, and during each three-year period
	thereafter, did the agency ensure that each facility operated by the agency, or by a private
	organization on behalf of the agency, was audited at least once? (N/A before August 20, 2016.)
	⊠ Yes □ No □ NA

# 115.401 (b)

■ During each one-year period starting on August 20, 2013, did the agency ensure that at least one-third of each facility type operated by the agency, or by a private organization on behalf of the agency, was audited? 

✓ Yes 

✓ No

### 115.401 (h)

■ Did the auditor have access to, and the ability to observe, all areas of the audited facility?
 ✓ Yes □ No

115.401 (i)		
Was the auditor permitted to request and receive copies of any relevant documents (including electronically stored information)? ⊠ Yes □ No		
115.401 (m)		
<ul> <li>Was the auditor permitted to conduct private interviews with inmates, residents, and detainees?</li> <li>         ⊠ Yes □ No     </li> </ul>		
115.401 (n)		
<ul> <li>Were residents permitted to send confidential information or correspondence to the auditor in the same manner as if they were communicating with legal counsel?</li></ul>		
Additor Overan Comphanic Determination		
☐ <b>Exceeds Standard</b> (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)		
Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)		
□ <b>Does Not Meet Standard</b> (Requires Corrective Action)		
This audit represents the third PREA audit for the Howard Center Transition House program. Since the		

This audit represents the third PREA audit for the Howard Center Transition House program. Since the first audit was conducted in July 2015 and a second audit was conducted in 2017 (completed in 2018), the Howard Center agency is in compliance with Standard 115.401 (a) and (b) which requires agencies to ensure one-third of its facilities undergo an audit during each audit cycle.

The audit was conducted consistent with Department of Justice PREA expectations. Some of the highlights demonstrating compliance in this area include conducting extensive review of program materials, protocols, agency policies, staff records, youth files, various internal/external reports and licensing reports, and conducting a facility tour. The process also included interviews with several staff, contractors, and youth as well as a conversation with the local hospital's SANE Coordinator and community advocate. To the best of her knowledge, the auditor adhered to the expectations outlined in the PREA Auditor Handbook (revised 2021) – i.e., sampling methods; not receiving financial compensation from Howard Center; and other provisions.

# Standard 115.403: Audit contents and findings

# 115.403 (f)

The agency has published on its agency website, if it has one, or has otherwise made publicly available, all Final Audit Reports within 90 days of issuance by auditor. The review period is for prior audits completed during the past three years PRECEDING THIS AGENCY AUDIT. In the case of single facility agencies, the auditor shall ensure that the facility's last audit report was

	published. The pendency of any agency appeal pursuant to 28 C.F.R. § 115.405 does not excus noncompliance with this provision. (N/A if there have been no Final Audit Reports issued in the past three years, or in the case of single facility agencies that there has never been a Final Audit Report issued.) $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No $\square$ NA		
Auditor Overall Compliance Determination			
		Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)	
	$\boxtimes$	Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the	
		standard for the relevant review period)	
		Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)	
been p	osted to	s confirmed that the Transition House final PREA audit reports (2015 and 2018) have the agency's Safe Environmental Standards website: <a href="http://www.howardcenter.org/Safe-Etandards">http://www.howardcenter.org/Safe-Etandards</a> .	

# **AUDITOR CERTIFICATION**

# I certify that:

- ☐ The contents of this report are accurate to the best of my knowledge.
- No conflict of interest exists with respect to my ability to conduct an audit of the agency under review, and
- I have not included in the final report any personally identifiable information (PII) about any resident or staff member, except where the names of administrative personnel are specifically requested in the report template.

The auditor is a federal PREA auditor certified by the Department of Justice. She has not received any financial compensation from the agency being audited. There are no other conflicts of interest, as defined by Standard 115.402 and 115.403, between the auditor and the Howard Center Transition House Program.

All personally identifiable information about any resident or staff member have been removed, except for administrative personnel.

Sharon Pette, Certified DOJ PREA Auditor

August 10, 2021

**Auditor Signature** 

PREA Audit Report

**Date** 

Page 124 of 124 Howard Center: Transition House